

# Some properties of $\theta$ -congruent numbers

Masahiko FUJIWARA  
Department of Mathematics, Ochanomizu University

(Received December 5, 2001)

## Abstract

The concept of  $\theta$ -congruent numbers was first introduced by myself as a generalization of classical congruent numbers. Since then, several interesting properties have been found. This paper gives still further theorems related to  $\theta$ -congruent numbers.

## 1 Introduction

A natural number  $n$  is called a congruent number if it is the area of a right triangle with rational sides. A lot of studies have been made on congruent numbers. Particularly, the recent approach through elliptic curves brought about some beautiful results ([4], [5], [7]).

Of special interest is the fact that square free natural numbers  $n$  congruent to 5, 6 or 7 modulo 8 are always congruent numbers, provided that the weak Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture is true.

The concept of congruent numbers was first generalized to  $\theta$ -congruent numbers connected to arbitrary triangles with rational sides in [1].

Let us review the definition of  $\theta$ -congruent numbers. Suppose that there is a triangle with rational sides  $X, Y, Z$ . Denote by  $\theta$  the angle between  $X$  and  $Y$ .  $\cos \theta$  is necessarily rational. Thus  $\cos \theta = \frac{s}{r}$  ( $r > 0, (r, s) = 1$ ). Then  $\sin \theta = \frac{\alpha_\theta}{r}$  where  $\alpha_\theta = \sqrt{r^2 - s^2}$  is uniquely determined by  $\theta$ . We now define  $\theta$ -congruent numbers as follows:

**Definition 1** A natural number  $n$  is a  $\theta$ -congruent number if there exists a triangle such that

1. three sides are rational
2. one angle is  $\theta$
3. the area is  $n\alpha_\theta$

A  $\theta$ -congruent number for  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$  is nothing but an ordinary congruent number, since  $\alpha_{\frac{\pi}{2}} = 1$ . Thus 6 is a  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ -congruent number, since 6 is the area of the right triangle with  $X = 3, Y = 4, Z = 5$ . 1 is a  $\frac{\pi}{3}$ -congruent number since  $\alpha_{\frac{\pi}{3}} = \sqrt{3}$  and  $\sqrt{3}$  is the area of the equilateral triangle with  $X = Y = Z = 2$ . As a little more complicated example, 19 is  $\frac{2\pi}{3}$ -congruent since  $\alpha_{\frac{2\pi}{3}} = \sqrt{3}$  and  $19\sqrt{3}$  is the area of the triangle with  $X = \frac{544}{105}, Y = \frac{1995}{136}, Z = \frac{254659}{14280}$ .

Obviously  $n$  is  $\theta$ -congruent if and only if  $nk^2$ , for some integer  $k$ , is  $\theta$ -congruent. Therefore, henceforth we assume  $n$  square free and also assume that  $\theta$  has rational cosine unless otherwise stated.

We introduced the following elliptic curve attached to  $n$  and  $\theta$  ([1]):

$$E_{n,\theta} : y^2 = x(x + (r + s)n)(x - (r - s)n).$$

Several theorems on  $\theta$ -congruent numbers have been proved by way of this  $E_{n,\theta}$ . To mention a few, for any  $\theta$  and  $n (\neq 1, 2, 3, 6)$ ,  $n$  is  $\theta$ -congruent if and only if  $E_{n,\theta}(\mathbb{Q})$  has a positive rank ([1]). A prime  $p$  is

not  $\frac{\pi}{3}$ -congruent (resp. not  $\frac{2\pi}{3}$ -congruent) if  $p$  is 5, 7 or 19 (resp. 7, 11 or 13) modulo 24 ([1], [2]), and is both  $\frac{\pi}{3}$  and  $\frac{2\pi}{3}$ -congruent if  $p \equiv 23 \pmod{24}$  ([2], [3]).

In the following,  $E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}$  denotes the group of points of finite order in  $E(\mathbb{Q})$ . Our main results in the present paper are as follows, where Theorem 1 is a version of a theorem due to my student Miss M. Otsuka.

**Theorem 1** *Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve defined by*

$$E : y^2 = x(x + A)(x + B)$$

where  $A, B$  are integers with  $B < 0 < A$ . Then

- (I)  $E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_8$  if and only if there exist integers  $a, b, k > 0$  such that  $a$  and  $b$  are coprime, of opposite parity, and satisfy either of the following:
- (i)  $-B = k^2(a^2 - b^2)^4$ ,  $A - B = 16k^2a^4b^4$ ,  $(1 + \sqrt{2})b > a > b$
  - (ii)  $-B = 16k^2a^4b^4$ ,  $A - B = k^2(a^2 - b^2)^4$ ,  $a > (1 + \sqrt{2})b$
- (II)  $E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_6$  if and only if there exist integers  $u, v, k > 0$  such that  $(u, v) = 1$ ,  $u > 2v$  and  $A = u^3(u - 2v)k^2$ ,  $B = v^3(v - 2u)k^2$
- (III)  $E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4$  if and only if  $-B$  and  $A - B$  are squares but not satisfy (I)
- (IV)  $E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ , otherwise.

Applying the above theorem to our  $E_{n,\theta}$ , we have

**Theorem 2** (I)  $E_{n,\theta}(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_8$  if and only if there exist integers  $a, b > 0$  such that  $a$  and  $b$  are coprime, of opposite parity, and satisfy either of the following:

- (i)  $n = 1$ ,  $r = 8a^4b^4$ ,  $r - s = (a^2 - b^2)^4$ ,  $(1 + \sqrt{2})b > a > b$
- (ii)  $n = 2$ ,  $r = (a^2 - b^2)^4$ ,  $r - s = 32a^4b^4$ ,  $a > (1 + \sqrt{2})b$

(II)  $E_{n,\theta}(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_6$  if and only if there exist integers  $u, v > 0$  such that  $(u, v) = 1$ ,  $u > 2v$  and satisfy either of the following:

- (i)  $n = 1$ ,  $r = \frac{1}{2}(u - v)^3(u + v)$ ,  $r + s = u^3(u - 2v)$
- (ii)  $n = 2$ ,  $r = (u - v)^3(u + v)$ ,  $r + s = 2u^3(u - 2v)$
- (iii)  $n = 3$ ,  $r = \frac{1}{6}(u - v)^3(u + v)$ ,  $r + s = \frac{1}{3}u^3(u - 2v)$
- (iv)  $n = 6$ ,  $r = \frac{1}{3}(u - v)^3(u + v)$ ,  $r + s = \frac{2}{3}u^3(u - 2v)$

(III)  $E_{n,\theta}(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4$  if and only if either of the following holds:

- (i)  $n = 1$ ,  $2r$  and  $r - s$  are squares but not satisfy (i) of (I)
- (ii)  $n = 2$ ,  $r$  and  $2(r - s)$  are squares but not satisfy (ii) of (I)

(IV)  $E_{n,\theta}(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ , otherwise.

This theorem naturally gives rise to the following corollary that supplements Theorem 1 of [1].

**Corollary** For those  $n = 1, 2, 3, 6$  and  $\theta$  prescribed in (I), (II), (III) of Th.2,  $n$  is  $\theta$ -congruent. For all the other  $n$  and  $\theta$ ,  $n$  is  $\theta$ -congruent if and only if  $E_{n,\theta}(\mathbb{Q})$  has a positive rank.

The following theorem is a generalization of Theorem 2 in [1] and signifies abundance of  $\theta$ -congruent numbers.

**Theorem 3** For any  $\theta$ , there exist infinitely many  $\theta$ -congruent numbers in any arithmetic progression.

The  $\theta$ -congruent numbers in Theorem 3 can not always be square free, since, for example, integers congruent to 4 mod 8 are divisible by 4. The following theorem gives Diophantine approximation to arbitrary angle  $\theta$  by  $\theta_{r,s}$  for which given  $n$ , not necessarily square free, is  $\theta_{r,s}$ -congruent.

**Theorem 4** For any integer  $n > 0$  and any  $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ , there exist infinitely many integers  $r$  and  $s$  such that

$$r > 0, (r, s) = 1, r > |s|, n \text{ is } \theta_{r,s}\text{-congruent and } |\theta_{r,s} - \theta| < \frac{c(\theta)}{r}$$

$$\text{where } \cos \theta_{r,s} = \frac{s}{r}, c(\theta) = \frac{9}{\sin \theta}.$$

**Corollary** For any integer  $n > 0$ ,  $\{\theta \in (0, \pi); n \text{ is } \theta\text{-congruent}\}$  is dense in  $(0, \pi)$ .

## 2 Proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2

We first give two preliminary lemmas necessary to prove Theorem 1.

**Lemma 1** (well-known [4], [5]) Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve defined by

$$E : y^2 = (x - \alpha)(x - \beta)(x - \gamma)$$

with  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $P = (x_0, y_0)$  be a point in  $E(\mathbb{Q})$ . Then  $P \in 2E(\mathbb{Q})$  if and only if  $x_0 - \alpha, x_0 - \beta, x_0 - \gamma \in \mathbb{Q}^2$ .

**Lemma 2** Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve in Theorem 1 and  $P = (x_0, y_0)$  be a point in  $E(\mathbb{Q})$ . Then

$$(I) \text{ } x\text{-coordinate of } 2P = \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{y_0}{x_0 + A} + \frac{y_0}{x_0 + B} - \frac{y_0}{x_0} \right)^2$$

$$(II) \text{ Suppose } (A, B) \text{ square free, then } P \in 2E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}} \text{ if and only if } \frac{|y_0|}{x_0}, \frac{|y_0|}{x_0 + A}, \frac{|y_0|}{x_0 + B} \in \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

*Proof of lemma 2.* Note first that

$$x_0 = \frac{y_0^2}{(x_0 + A)(x_0 + B)}, \quad x_0 + A = \frac{y_0^2}{x_0(x_0 + B)}, \quad x_0 + B = \frac{y_0^2}{x_0(x_0 + A)} \quad (*)$$

(I) By addition formula,  $x$ -coordinate of  $2P = \left(\frac{dy}{dx}\right)_{x_0}^2 - (A + B) - 2x_0$ . It is easy to show

$$\left(\frac{dy}{dx}\right)_{x_0} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{y_0}{x_0 + A} + \frac{y_0}{x_0 + B} + \frac{y_0}{x_0} \right).$$

Taking (\*) into account, straightforward computation leads to the formula in (I).

(II) If  $P \in 2E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}$ , then by lemma 1 and Lutz-Nagell theorem,  $x_0, x_0 + A, x_0 + B \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ . Therefore  $\frac{y_0}{x_0}, \frac{y_0}{x_0 + A}, \frac{y_0}{x_0 + B} \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Remark that these three integers are pairwise coprime; in fact, suppose there exists a prime  $p$  dividing  $(\frac{y_0}{x_0}, \frac{y_0}{x_0 + A})$ . Then from (\*),  $p$  divides  $x_0, x_0 + A, x_0 + B$ . It follows that  $p^2$  divides  $x_0, x_0 + A, x_0 + B$  and therefore  $p^2$  divides  $A$  and  $B$ , contradicting  $(A, B)$  being square free. Similarly,  $(\frac{y_0}{x_0}, \frac{y_0}{x_0 + B}) = (\frac{y_0}{x_0 + A}, \frac{y_0}{x_0 + B}) = 1$ , as claimed.

Therefore, by (\*) again, we have the desired conclusion. We can follow the above argument backwards to prove the converse.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Owing to Mazur's theorem on the structure of torsion group ([6]),  $E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}$  is isomorphic to either  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_6$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_8$ , since  $E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tor}}$  obviously contains  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

(I) $\Leftrightarrow$  We have only to show existence of a point of order 8 in  $E(\mathbb{Q})$ . Put  $v$  (resp.  $w$  in case (ii)) =  $a^2 - b^2$  and  $w$  (resp.  $v$  in case (ii)) =  $2ab$ . Then in both (i) and (ii),  $v^2 + w^2 = u^2$  for some integer  $u$  and  $A = k^2(w^4 - v^4), B = -k^2v^4$ . Put  $P = (k^2u^2v^2, k^3u^2v^2w^2)$ . Then direct computation shows that  $P \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ . Moreover

$$x_0 + A = k^2u^2v^2 + k^2(w^4 - v^4) = k^2w^2u^2, \quad x_0 + B = k^2u^2v^2 - k^2v^4 = k^4v^2w^2.$$

Therefore by lemma 1,

$$P \in 2E(\mathbb{Q}) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{y_0}{x_0} = kw^2, \quad \frac{y_0}{x_0 + A} = kv^2, \quad \frac{y_0}{x_0 + B} = ku^2.$$

By (I) of lemma 2,  $x$  coordinate of  $2P = \frac{1}{4}(kv^2 + ku^2 - kw^2)^2 = k^2v^4 = -B$ . Consequently  $2P = (-B, 0)$  and order of  $P$  is 4.

$\Rightarrow$  Let  $E^*$  be an elliptic curve defined by  $y^2 = x(x + \frac{A}{k^2})(x + \frac{B}{k^2})$  where  $k^2$  is the square part of  $(A, B)$ . Since  $E(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq E^*(\mathbb{Q})$ , there exists a point  $P = (x_0, y_0)$  in  $2E^*(\mathbb{Q})$  of order 4 and  $y_0 > 0$ . As  $2P$  has order 2 and  $B < 0 < A$ , due to lemma 1, we obtain  $2P = (-\frac{B}{k^2}, 0)$ . By (II) of lemma 2, putting  $\frac{y_0}{x_0} = w^2, \frac{y_0}{x_0 + \frac{A}{k^2}} = v^2$  and  $\frac{y_0}{x_0 + \frac{B}{k^2}} = u^2$  for some positive integers  $u, v, w$ ,

$$\frac{1}{4}(v^2 + u^2 - w^2) = x\text{-coordinate of } 2P = -\frac{B}{k^2} = x_0 - (x_0 + \frac{B}{k^2}) = v^2u^2 - w^2v^2.$$

It follows that  $(v^2 + w^2 - u^2)^2 = 0$  and therefore  $u^2 = v^2 + w^2$ . This in turn implies that

$$\frac{B}{k^2} = v^2w^2 - v^2u^2 = -v^4, \quad \frac{A}{k^2} = u^2w^2 - u^2v^2 = u^2(w^2 - v^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{A - B}{k^2} = u^2(w^2 - v^2) + v^2(u^2 - w^4) = w^4.$$

Consequently  $(v, w) = 1$ . Thus,  $v, w$  and  $u$  are Pythagorean triple and therefore  $v = a^2 - b^2$  (resp.  $2ab$ ) and  $w = 2ab$  (resp.  $a^2 - b^2$ ) for some integers  $a, b$  with  $(a, b) = 1, a > b > 0$ , of opposite parity. Here

$$\begin{aligned} A > 0 > B &\Leftrightarrow w > v > 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow 2ab > a^2 - b^2 > 0 \quad (\text{resp. } a^2 - b^2 > 2ab) \\ &\Leftrightarrow (1 + \sqrt{2})b > a > b \quad (\text{resp. } a > (1 + \sqrt{2})b), \end{aligned}$$

as mentioned in (i) and (ii) of (I).

(II) $\Leftrightarrow$  Put  $P = (u^2v^2k^2, u^2v^2(u-v)^2k^3)$ . We have only to prove that  $P$  is of order 3. It is straightforward, by using  $A = u^3(u - 2v)k^2$  and  $B = v^3(v - 2u)k^2$ , that  $P$  is on  $E(\mathbb{Q})$ . On the other hand,  $x_0 + A = u^2v^2k^2 + u^3(u - 2v)k^2 = u^2(u - v)^2k^2$  and similarly  $x_0 + B = v^2(u - v)^2k^2$ . It follows that

$$\frac{y_0}{x_0} = (u - v)^2k, \quad \frac{y_0}{x_0 + A} = v^2k \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{y_0}{x_0 + B} = u^2k.$$

Using (I) of lemma 2,

$$x - \text{coordinate of } 2P = \frac{1}{4}(u^2k + v^2k - (u - v)^2k)^2 = u^2v^2k^2 = x_0.$$

Thus, order of  $P = 3$ .

$\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $E^*$  be an elliptic curve defined by  $E^* : y^2 = x(x + \frac{A}{k^2})(x + \frac{B}{k^2})$  where  $k^2$  is the square part of  $(A, B)$ . Since  $E(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq E^*(\mathbb{Q})$ , there exists a point  $P = (x_0, y_0) \in 2E^*(\mathbb{Q})$  of order 3 and  $y_0 > 0$ . By (II) of lemma 2,  $\frac{y_0}{x_0} = w^2$ ,  $\frac{y_0}{x_0 + \frac{A}{k^2}} = v^2$  and  $\frac{y_0}{x_0 + \frac{B}{k^2}} = u^2$  for some positive integers  $u, v, w$ . Because  $x$ -

coordinate of  $2P$  is  $x_0$ , by (I) of lemma 2,  $\frac{1}{4}(v^2 + u^2 - w^2)^2 = x_0 = u^2v^2$ . Therefore  $u^2 + v^2 - w^2 = \pm 2uv$ . Thus  $(u \mp v)^2 = w^2$ .

Since  $\frac{B}{k^2} = (x_0 + \frac{B}{k^2}) - x_0 = v^2w^2 - u^2v^2 = v^3(v \mp 2u)$  and  $B < 0$ ,  $(u - v)^2 = w^2$  and  $\frac{B}{k^2} = v^3(v - 2u)$ .  $\frac{A}{k^2} = u^2w^2 - u^2v^2 = u^3(u - 2v)$ . Note that  $u > 2v$  since  $B < 0 < A$ . Since  $(\frac{A}{k^2}, \frac{B}{k^2})$  is square free,  $(u, v) = 1$ .

(III) There exists a point of order 4 in  $E(\mathbb{Q})$  if and only if  $(-B, 0) \in 2E(\mathbb{Q})$ , since  $(0, 0)$  and  $(-A, 0)$  can not belong to  $2E(\mathbb{Q})$  owing to lemma 1. This in turn is equivalent to  $-B, A - B \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ , owing again to lemma 1. Taking (I) into account, the proof of (III) is finished. (q.e.d.)

*Proof of Theorem 2.* We apply Th.1 to our curve  $E_{n,\theta}$  where  $A = (r + s)n$  and  $B = -(r - s)n$ . We first remark that  $r > |s|$  if and only if  $A > 0 > B$ . We also note here that, for our  $E_{n,\theta}$ , (I) or (III) in Th.1 takes place only when  $n = 1$  or  $2$ ; in fact, since  $-B = (r - s)n$  and  $A - B = 2rn$  are squares, we can write  $(r - s)n = t^2$ ,  $2rn = m^2$  for some integers  $r, m$ . Suppose an odd prime  $p$  divides  $n$ , then  $p$  divides both  $t$  and  $m$ . Consequently,  $n$  being square free,  $p$  divides  $r$  and  $s$ . This implies  $n = 1$  or  $2$ .

(I) In both (i) and (ii) of (I) in Th.1, there exist positive integers  $u, v, w, k$  such that  $-B = (r - s)n = k^2v^4$ ,  $A - B = 2rn = k^2w^4$ ,  $v^2 + w^2 = u^2$ ,  $(v, w) = 1$ .

subcase  $n = 1$ . We have

$$-B = r - s = k^2v^4, \quad A - B = 2r = k^2w^4, \quad v^2 + w^2 = u^2.$$

Here  $k$  has to be equal to 1 since, if a prime  $p$  divides  $k$ ,  $p$  divides  $r$  and  $s$ . Since  $w$  is clearly even, (i) of (I) in Th.1 must occur and obtain (i) in Th.2.

subcase  $n = 2$ . We have

$$-B = 2(r - s) = k^2v^4, \quad A - B = 4r = k^2w^4, \quad v^2 + w^2 = u^2, \quad (v, w) = 1,$$

in both (i) and (ii) of (I). If an odd prime  $p$  (resp. 4) divides  $k$ , then  $p$  (resp. 2) divides  $r$  and  $s$ . Therefore  $k$  must be 1 or 2. If  $k = 1$ , then 2 divides  $v$  and  $w$ , which contradicts  $(v, w) = 1$ . It follows that  $k = 2$  and we have

$$-B = 2(r - s) = 4v^4, \quad A - B = 4r = 4w^4, \quad v^2 + w^2 = u^2.$$

Hence,  $w$  must be odd and (ii) of (I) in Th.1 must occur, leading to (ii) of (I) in Th.2.

(III)  $-B = (r - s)n$  and  $A - B = 2rn$  are squares but not satisfy (I). Since  $n$  is 1 or 2 as was mentioned in the beginning of the proof of Th.2, we have either

$$\begin{aligned} n = 1, & \quad -B = r - s \text{ and } A - B = 2r, & \text{ or} \\ n = 2, & \quad -B = 2(r - s) \text{ and } A - B = 4r, \end{aligned}$$

corresponding respectively to (i) or (ii) of (I) in Th.2.

(II) From (II) of Th.1, there are integers  $u, v, k > 0$  such that

$$A = (r + s)n = u^3(u - 2v)k^2, \quad B = -(r - s)n = v^3(v - 2u)k^2, \quad (u, v) = 1, \quad u > 2v > 0.$$

Subtraction leads to  $2rn = k^2(u - v)^3(u + v)$ . Since  $k^2$  divides  $(r + s)n$  and  $2rn$ ,  $n$  being square free and  $(r, s) = 1$ , we conclude that  $k = 1$  or  $2$ .

subcase  $k = 1$ . We have

$$(r + s)n = u^3(u - 2v), \quad -(r - s)n = v^3(v - 2u) \quad \text{and} \quad 2rn = (u - v)^3(u + v), \quad (u, v) = 1, \quad u > 2v > 0.$$

We claim here that  $n = 1$  or  $3$  in our case. In fact, let  $p$  be a prime dividing  $u$ , then  $p$  does not divide  $n$ . That is,  $(u, n) = 1$ . This implies  $u^3$  divides  $r + s$ . Similarly we can show that  $(v, n) = 1$  and  $v^3$  divides  $r - s$ . It follows that  $n$  divides both  $u - 2v$  and  $v - 2u$ , hence divides  $3(u - v)$ . It is easy to see that  $n$  does not divide  $u - v$ . Therefore  $n = 1$  or  $3$  as claimed.

Consequently we have

- $n = 1, \quad r + s = u^3(u - 2v), \quad 2r = (u - v)^3(u + v) \quad \text{or}$
- $n = 3, \quad 3(r + s) = u^3(u - 2v), \quad 6r = (u - v)^3(u + v).$

These respectively correspond to (i) or (iii) of (II) in Th.2.

subcase  $k = 2$ . We have

$$(r + s)n = 4u^3(u - 2v), \quad -(r - s)n = 4v^3(v - 2u), \quad 2rn = 4(u - v)^3(u + v), \quad (u, v) = 1, \quad u > 2v > 0.$$

We claim here that  $n = 2$  or  $6$  in our case. In fact, let  $p$  be an odd prime dividing  $u$ , then  $p$  does not divide  $n$ . Namely,  $(u, n) = 1$  or  $2$ . Similarly  $(v, n) = 1$  or  $2$ .  $n$  must be even since, otherwise  $2$  divides  $r$  and  $s$ . Putting  $n = 2m$ ,  $m$  is obviously odd.  $m$  divides both  $u - 2v$  and  $v - 2u$ , thus dividing  $3(u - v)$ . It is easy to see that  $m$  does not divide  $u - v$ . Consequently  $m = 1$  or  $3$  and  $n = 2$  or  $6$  as claimed.

Therefore we have

- $n = 2, \quad r + s = 2u^3(u - 2v), \quad r = (u - v)^3(u + v) \quad \text{or}$
- $n = 6, \quad r + s = \frac{2}{3}u^3(u - 2v), \quad r = \frac{1}{3}(u - v)^3(u + v).$

These respectively correspond to (ii) or (iv) of (II) in Th.2. (q.e.d.)

### 3 Proofs of Theorem 3 and Theorem 4

We need the following lemma of Kan for the proofs.

**Lemma 3** ([1], [2]) *A square free natural number  $n$  is  $\theta$ -congruent if and only if there exist natural numbers  $(p, q)$  with  $(p, q) = 1$  such that  $n = \text{square free part of } pq(p + q)(2rq + p(r - s))$ .*

(Remark) It turns out, by examining the proof of the lemma, that  $r$  and  $s$  need not be coprime, and  $p$  and  $q$  neither, in lemma 3.

*Proof of Theorem 3.* Let  $\theta$  be as in Th.3 and  $m$  be any natural number. As is usual,  $\cos \theta = \frac{s}{r}$ ,  $r > |s|$ ,  $(r, s) = 1$ . Let  $c$  be an integer  $0 < c \leq m$ . Let  $q$  be a positive integer defined by  $q = cm^2(r - s)$  and  $p$  be a prime defined by  $p = 1 + tq$ , where  $t$  is to be determined later. We note that  $p$  and  $q$  are coprime. Let  $n$  be a natural number defined by

$$n = \frac{pq(p + q)(2rq + p(r - s))}{m^2(r - s)^2}.$$

By lemma 3, though  $n$  may not be square free,  $n$  is still a  $\theta$ -congruent number. Moreover, by substitution, we can easily show that

$$n = c(1 + tq)(1 + tq + cm^2(r - s))(2rcm^2 + 1 + tq) \equiv c \pmod{m}.$$

Owing to Dirichlet's theorem on primes in arithmetic progressions, there exist infinitely many integers  $t$  that make our  $p$  primes. Since these primes  $p$ , as long as  $p > 2r$ , obviously divide  $n$ , we have infinitely many  $\theta$ -congruent numbers  $n$  such that  $n \equiv c \pmod{m}$ . This completes our proof. (q.e.d.)

*Proof of Theorem 4.* By Dirichlet's pigeon hole principle, there exist infinitely many integers  $a, b > 0$  such that  $|\frac{3 - \cos \theta}{2n} - \frac{b}{a}| < \frac{1}{a^2}$ . Here we put  $r = ab + 1$ . Obviously  $r > 0$  and

$$|\frac{3 - \cos \theta}{2n} - \frac{b^2}{r}| \leq |\frac{3 - \cos \theta}{2n} - \frac{b}{a}| + |\frac{b}{a} - \frac{b^2}{ab+1}| < \frac{1}{a^2} + \frac{b}{a(ab+1)} = \frac{1}{ab+1}(\frac{2b}{a} + \frac{1}{a^2}).$$

For any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\frac{b}{a} + \frac{1}{2a^2} < \frac{3 - \cos \theta + \epsilon}{2n}$  for all sufficiently large  $a$ . Therefore

$$|\frac{3 - \cos \theta}{2n} - \frac{b^2}{r}| < \frac{3 - \cos \theta + \epsilon}{nr}.$$

Now define  $s$  by  $s = 3r - 2nb^2$ . Here we claim that  $r > |s|$  and  $n$  is  $\theta_{r,s}$ -congruent. In fact, from above inequality,

$$|r(3 - \cos \theta) - 2nb^2| < 2(3 - \cos \theta + \epsilon) < 8.$$

Therefore, for large  $r$ , we have

$$-r < r \cos \theta - 8 < 3r - 2nb^2 < r \cos \theta + 8 < r.$$

Hence  $r > |s|$ . Put  $p = r$  and  $q = nb^2 - r$  in lemma 3. Then it is easy to see that

$$pq(p+q)(2rq+p(r-s)) = 4np^2q^2b^2.$$

Considering the remark after lemma 3,  $n$  is  $\theta_{r,s}$ -congruent. On the other hand,

$$|\cos \theta_{r,s} - \cos \theta| = |\frac{s}{r} - \cos \theta| = |\frac{3r - 2nb^2}{r} - \cos \theta| = 2n|\frac{3 - \cos \theta}{2n} - \frac{b^2}{r}| < 2n\frac{3 - \cos \theta + \epsilon}{nr} < \frac{8}{r}.$$

Using mean value theorem, putting  $\theta_{r,s} - \theta = \alpha$  for brevity,

$$\cos \theta_{r,s} - \cos \theta = -\alpha \sin(\theta + t\alpha) \text{ for some } 0 < t < 1. \quad (*)$$

Again, by mean value theorem

$$\sin(\theta + t\alpha) = \sin \theta + t\alpha \cos(\theta + t'\alpha) \text{ for some } 0 < t' < 1.$$

As  $r$  tends to  $\infty$ , from the above inequality,  $\cos \theta - \cos \theta_{r,s}$  tends to 0. This in turn implies  $\theta_{r,s} - \theta = \alpha$  tends to 0. Thus  $|t\alpha \cos(\theta + t'\alpha)|$  tends to 0 and therefore, for any  $\epsilon' > 0$ , we have for large  $r$ ,  $|\sin(\theta + t\alpha)| > (1 - \epsilon') \sin \theta$ . Consequently by (\*),  $|\cos \theta_{r,s} - \cos \theta| > (1 - \epsilon')|\theta_{r,s} - \theta| \sin \theta$  and hence, by (\*) again  $\frac{8}{r(1 - \epsilon') \sin \theta} > |\theta_{r,s} - \theta|$ . Therefore we obtained the inequality in Th.4.

It only remains to prove  $(r, s) = 1$ . Let  $d$  be the greatest common divisor of  $r$  and  $s$ . Then obviously  $d = (r, s) = (r, 2n)$  by our choice of  $r$  and  $s$ . That is to say,  $d$  is a divisor of  $2n$ . For those infinitely many  $r$  and  $s$  chosen above, we put  $r' = \frac{r}{d}$  and  $s' = \frac{s}{d}$ . Then we have still infinitely many  $r'$  and  $s'$  with  $(r', s') = 1$  such that  $r'$  and  $s'$  satisfy all the conditions in Th.4. (q.e.d.)

## References

- [1] Fujiwara, M.,  *$\theta$ -congruent numbers*, Number Theory, K.Györy, A.Pethö and V.Sós (eds.), de Gruyter, 1997, 235–241.
- [2] Kan, M.,  *$\theta$ -congruent numbers and elliptic curves*, Acta Arithmetica, XCIV.2, 2000, 153–160.
- [3] Hibino, T. and Kan, M.,  *$\theta$ -congruent numbers and Heegner points*, to appear in Archiv der mathematik.
- [4] Knapp, A.W., *Elliptic curves*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1993.

- [5] Koblitz, N., Introduction to elliptic curves and modular forms, Springer Verlag, New York-Berlin-Heidelberg, 1984.
- [6] Silverman, J.H., The arithmetic of elliptic curves, Springer Verlag, New York-Berlin-Heidelberg, 1986.
- [7] Tunnel, J.B., *A classical Diophantine problem and modular forms of weights  $\frac{3}{2}$* , Invent. math. **72**, 1983, 323–334.