

On a Torsion-free Class with Separable Types

Noriko Asamoto and Toshiko Koyama

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,
Ochanomizu University, Tokyo
(Received April 10, 1985)

§ 1. Introduction.

Throughout this paper, all groups are abelian and notations and terminology mostly follow [3]. A torsion-free class is defined in [1] as a family of finite rank torsion-free groups which is closed with respect to finite direct sums, pure subgroups, and torsion-free homomorphic images. We have to introduce "separable type" which will play an important role in this paper. A type is said to be *separable* if in a hight-sequence which belongs to this type, there does not appear symbol ∞ .

Our aim in this paper is to investigate the torsion-free class which is generated by all rank 1 torsion-free groups of separable type.

The authors wish to express their gratitude to Professor Khalid Benabdallah for his suggestion about T -groups.

§ 2. T -groups.

If a group G satisfies that $\text{Hom}(G, Z(p^\infty))$ is a torsion group for every prime p , we call G a T -group.

PROPOSITION 1. *The family of all T -groups is closed with respect to a finite direct sum, a homomorphic image, a subgroup, and an extension by a T -group.*

PROOF. First part follows from $\text{Hom}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n G_i, Z(p^\infty)) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \text{Hom}(G_i, Z(p^\infty))$.

Let $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow G \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence. Then

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H, Z(p^\infty)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G, Z(p^\infty)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(K, Z(p^\infty)) \rightarrow 0$$

is also an exact sequence since $Z(p^\infty)$ is injective. Consequently, $\text{Hom}(G, Z(p^\infty))$ is a torsion group if and only if $\text{Hom}(H, Z(p^\infty))$ and $\text{Hom}(K, Z(p^\infty))$ are torsion groups. Thus the rest of our proposition has been proved.

LEMMA 1. *Let G be a p -group. Then G is a T -group if and only if G is bounded.*

PROOF. Suppose G has an unbounded basic subgroup. Then we can choose a basic subgroup B such that $G/B \cong \bigoplus Z(p^\infty) \neq 0$. Since $\text{Hom}(Z(p^\infty), Z(p^\infty))$ is the additive group of p -adic integers, G is not a T -group. The sufficiency is obvious.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let G be a torsion group. Then G is a T -group if and only if the p -primary part G_p of G is bounded for every prime p .*

PROOF. This follows immediately from Lemma 1 and from the fact $\text{Hom}(G, Z(p^\infty)) \cong \prod_q \text{Hom}(G_q, Z(p^\infty)) = \text{Hom}(G_p, Z(p^\infty))$.

COROLLARY. *The notation of T -group is invariant under a quasi-isomorphism, where the definition of quasi-isomorphism follows [1].*

PROOF. Let G be a T -group and suppose G and G' are quasi-isomorphic. There exists a homomorphism $f: G \rightarrow G'$ such that $G'/f(G)$ is bounded. By Propositions 1 and 2, G' is a T -group.

PROPOSITION 3. *Let G be a rank 1 torsion-free group. G is a T -group if and only if $\text{type}(G)$ is separable.*

PROOF. Suppose $\text{type}(G)$ is not separable. Then G is p -divisible for some prime p . Let x be a non-zero element of G and let f be a non-trivial homomorphism from a free group $\langle x \rangle$ to $Z(p^\infty)$. Since $Z(p^\infty)$ is injective, f can be extended to $f': G \rightarrow Z(p^\infty)$. $f'(G)$ is p -divisible because G is p -divisible. Since non-trivial p -divisible subgroup of $Z(p^\infty)$ is $Z(p^\infty)$ itself, it follows that $f'(G) = Z(p^\infty)$. Hence f' is a torsion-free element of $\text{Hom}(G, Z(p^\infty))$.

Conversely, suppose $\text{type}(G)$ is separable. For any prime p , there exists an element x of G such that $h_p(x) = 0$. Let y be an arbitrary element of G . There is a relation $sy = tx$ where s and t are integers with $(s, t) = 1$. Besides, $(s, p) = 1$ follows from $h_p(x) = 0$. For any $f \in \text{Hom}(G, Z(p^\infty))$, $p^n f(x) = 0$ for some integer n . Hence $sp^n f(y) = tp^n f(x) = 0$. Invoking $(s, p) = 1$, we know $p^n f(y) = 0$ for each element y of G . Therefore $\text{Hom}(G, Z(p^\infty))$ is a torsion group.

LEMMA 2. *Let G be a torsion-free T -group. Then, G is of finite rank.*

PROOF. It is sufficient to show that G is not a T -group in case G is a free group of countable rank. Suppose $G = \bigoplus \langle x_i \rangle$ where $\langle x_i \rangle \cong Z$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. There is an element a_i in $Z(p^\infty)$ whose order is p^i . Define a homomorphism $f_i \in \text{Hom}(\langle x_i \rangle, Z(p^\infty))$ by $f_i(x_i) = a_i$. Then $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots)$ belongs to $\text{Hom}(G, Z(p^\infty))$ but its order is not finite.

PROPOSITION 4. *A torsion-free group is a T -group if and only if it is a subgroup of a finite direct sum of rank 1 T -groups.*

PROOF. Let G be a torsion-free T -group. By Lemma 2, G can be embedded in a finite direct sum of Q 's. Let H_1, \dots, H_n be the projections of G in each Q . By Proposition 1, H_1, \dots, H_n are rank 1 T -groups. Hence G is isomorphic to a subgroup of $H_1 \oplus \dots \oplus H_n$. Sufficiency follows immediately from Proposition 1.

§ 3. The torsion-free class which is generated by all rank 1 T -groups.

We will call the members of the least torsion-free class which contains all rank 1 torsion-free groups " R -groups" following [1].

PROPOSITION 5. *The family of all torsion-free T -groups is a torsion-free class.*

PROOF. This is an immediate consequence of Proposition 1 and Lemma 2.

PROPOSITION 6. *The torsion-free class generated by all rank 1 torsion-free groups of separable type coincides with the family of R -groups with separable types.*

PROOF. Let G be the member of the torsion-free class generated by all rank 1 torsion-free groups of separable type. Clearly G is an R -group. By Propositions 1 and 3, G is a T -group. For any $x \neq 0$ in G , the pure subgroup $\langle x \rangle_*$ of G generated by x is again a T -group. Hence $\text{type}(x)$ is separable.

Conversely let G be an R -group with separable types. We can write $G = A_1 + \dots + A_n$ where A_i is a pure rank 1 subgroup of G by Theorem 4.4 in [1]. Hence $\text{type}(A_i)$ is separable. This completes the proof of Proposition 6.

PROPOSITION 7. *A torsion-free T -group is not necessarily an R -group.*

PROOF. We will construct a rank 2 torsion-free T -group with infinite type set, since an R -group must have a finite type set by Theorem 4.6 in [1].

Let A be a subgroup of Q such that $1 \in A$ and hight sequence of 1 in A is $(1, 1, 1, \dots)$. Let $t_i = (1, i) \in A \oplus A$, $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and let P be the set of all primes. Write $P = \cup P_i$ as the disjoint union of infinitely many infinite sets. $h^A(1) = (1, 1, 1, \dots)$ implies $(1/p)t_i \in A \oplus A$ for any prime p . Define G to be the subgroup of $A \oplus A$ generated by all $(1/p_i)t_i$ where $p_i \in$

P_i and $i=1, 2, 3, \dots$. G is clearly a T -group. Now we will show that G has infinitely many different types.

Suppose $\text{type}(t_i)=\text{type}(t_j)$ for some $i \neq j$. That is $h_p(t_i)=h_p(t_j)$ for almost all primens p . Fix j , then for any $p \in P_j$, $h_p(t_j) \geq 1$. Hence for infinitely many p which belong to P_j , $h_p(t_i)=h_p(t_j) \geq 1$. That is

$$\frac{1}{p}(1, i) = r \frac{1}{p}(1, j) + \sum_{k=1}^n r_k \frac{1}{q_k}(1, i_k),$$

$$(1, i) = r(1, j) + p \sum_{k=1}^n r_k \frac{1}{q_k}(1, i_k).$$

Hence $1-r = p \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{r_k}{q_k} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since each q_k is different from p , $\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{r_k}{q_k} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

And since q_k 's are different from each other, $r_k/q_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $k=1, 2, \dots, n$. Therefore $1 \equiv r \pmod{p}$ and $i \equiv rj \pmod{p}$ for infinitely many p . It follows that $i=j$. This contradicts the assumption $i \neq j$. Hence G has infinitely many different types.

References

- [1] Arnold, D. M. : Finite rank torsion free abelian groups and rings. Springer-Verlag Lecture Notes #931, 1982.
- [2] Butler, M. C. R. : A class of torsion-free abelian groups of finite rank. Proc. London Math. Soc. 15 (1965), 680-698.
- [3] Fuchs, L. : Infinite Abelian Groups, Vol. I, II. Academic Press, 1970, 1973.
- [4] Griffith, P. : Infinite Abelian Groups. Chicago Lectures in Mathematics (Chicago and London 1970).
- [5] Kaplansky, I. : Infinite Abelian Groups. University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, Michigan, 1969.
- [6] Rotman, J. : An Introduction to Homological Algebra. Academic Press, 1979.