

## On the Existence of Condenser-type Measures with Respect to Function-Kernels

Hisako Watanabe

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,  
Ochanomizu University

(Received April 9, 1983)

### § 1. Introduction.

Let  $X$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space with a countable base,  $G$  be a continuous function-kernel on  $X$  and  $N$  be a lower semicontinuous function-kernel which is locally bounded outside the diagonal set. Further, assume that each non-empty open set is not  $G$ -negligible and not  $N$ -negligible. In this note we shall ask necessary and sufficient conditions in order that for any pair  $\langle K, F \rangle$  of disjoint compact sets there exists a  $(G, N)$ -condenser-type measure  $\alpha$  of each  $\lambda \in M_K^+$  of which  $N\lambda$  is locally bounded on  $K$ , i. e., there exists a measure  $\alpha = \mu_0 - \mu_1$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp}(\mu_0) &\subset K, & \text{supp}(\mu_1) &\subset F, \\ G\alpha &= N\lambda \quad G\text{-n.e. on } K, & G\alpha &= 0 \quad G\text{-n.e. on } F, \\ 0 &\leq G\alpha \leq N\lambda \quad \text{on } X. \end{aligned}$$

In case where  $N=1$  (the constant kernel) this problem has been solved in [6], i. e.  $G$  satisfies the condenser principle if and only if,  $G$  is non-degenerate and it satisfies the domination and the classical maximum principles.

In § 3 we shall show that for any pair  $\langle K, F \rangle$  of a non- $G$ -negligible compact set  $K$  and a compact set  $F$  with  $A(K) \cap F = \emptyset$ , there exists a  $(G, N)$ -condenser-type measure of each  $\lambda \in M_K^+$  of which  $N\lambda$  is bounded on  $K$  if and only if,  $G$  satisfies the domination principle and the relative domination principle with respect to  $N$ .

It is well-known that in Dirichlet spaces there exists a condenser measure for any pair  $\langle \bar{U}, \bar{V} \rangle$  of a relatively compact open set  $U$  and an open set  $V$  with  $\bar{U} \cap \bar{V} = \emptyset$ . Further, C. Berg has proved in [1] the existence of the condenser measure for any pair  $\langle \bar{U}, \bar{V} \rangle$  with respect to the kernel  $\kappa = \int \mu_t dt$ , where  $(\mu_t)_{t>0}$  be a transient convolution semigroup on a locally compact abelian group.

In § 4 we shall ask similar problems for any pair  $\langle K, F \rangle$  of a non- $G$ -negligible compact set  $K$  and a closed set  $F$  with  $A(K) \cap F = \emptyset$ .

## § 2. Various domination principles.

Let  $X$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space with a countable base. A lower semicontinuous function-kernel on  $X$  is a non-negative lower semicontinuous function defined on  $X \times X$  which is strictly positive on the diagonal set  $\Delta$  of  $X \times X$ . A continuous function-kernel is a lower semicontinuous kernel which is continuous in the extended sense in  $X \times X$  and finite-valued outside  $\Delta$ . We use  $G$  and  $N$  for denoting lower semicontinuous function-kernels and introduce various domination principles.

DEFINITION 1. We say that  $G$  satisfies the relative domination principle with respect to  $N$ , if for  $\mu, \nu \in M_K^+$  with  $\int G\mu d\mu < +\infty$

$$G\mu \leq N\nu \text{ on } \text{supp}(\mu) \text{ implies } G\mu \leq N\nu \text{ in } X.$$

We use the symbol  $G \prec N$  for this principle.

" $G \prec G$ " is said to be simply the domination principle.

" $G \prec 1$ " is said to be the classical maximum principle.

DEFINITION 2. We say that  $G$  satisfies the transitive domination principle with respect to  $N$ , if for  $\mu, \nu \in M_K^+$  with  $\int G\mu d\mu < +\infty$

$$G\mu \leq G\nu \text{ on } \text{supp}(\mu) \text{ implies } N\mu \leq N\nu \text{ in } X.$$

We use the symbol  $G \sqsubset N$  for this principle.

DEFINITION 3. We say that  $G$  satisfies the relative balayage principle with respect to  $N$ , if for every compact set  $K$  and every  $\mu \in M_K^+$  such that  $N\mu \neq +\infty$  on  $K$  there exists  $\nu \in M_K^+$  such that

$$\text{supp}(\nu) \subset K$$

$$G\nu \leq N\mu \text{ in } X$$

$$G\nu = N\mu \text{ G-n.e. on } K.$$

Here " $G\nu = N\mu$  G-n.e. on  $K$ " means that the set  $\{x \in K; G\nu(x) \neq N\mu(x)\}$  is a  $G$ -negligible set and a Borel measurable subset  $A$  of  $X$  is called  $G$ -negligible if any measure  $\lambda \in M_K^+$  with  $\text{supp}(\lambda) \subset A$  and  $\int G\lambda d\lambda < +\infty$  is equal to 0. Such a measure  $\nu$  is called a relatively balayaged measure of  $\mu$  onto  $K$  with respect to  $(G, N)$ . If  $G$  satisfies the relative balayage principle with respect to  $G$ , we say that  $G$  satisfies the balayage principle and a relatively balayaged measure with respect to  $(G, G)$  is called a  $G$ -balayaged measure, simply a balayaged measure. If  $G$  satisfies the relative balayage principle with respect to the constant kernel 1, we say that  $G$  satisfies the equilibrium principle.

DEFINITION 4. We say that  $G$  satisfies the continuity principle if  $G\mu$  ( $\mu \in M_K^+$ ) is finite and continuous everywhere whenever it is finite and continuous on

$\text{supp}(\mu)$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{F}(G)$ ) the set of measures  $\mu \in M_K^+$  such that  $G\mu$  are locally bounded (resp.  $G\mu$  are finite and continuous).

Hereafter we shall assume that  $G$  is a continuous function-kernel on  $X$  and  $N$  is a lower semicontinuous function-kernel on  $X$  which is locally bounded outside the diagonal set  $\Delta$  of  $X \times X$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Assume that each non-empty open set is not  $G$ -negligible and not  $N$ -negligible. If  $G \ll N$ , then  $\mu \in \mathcal{L}(G)$  implies  $\mu \in \mathcal{L}(N)$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $\mu$  be a measure in  $\mathcal{L}(G)$ . Obviously the potential  $N\mu$  is locally bounded outside  $\text{supp}(\mu)$ . Let  $x_0$  be an arbitrary point in  $\text{supp}(\mu)$ . Since  $G(x_0, x_0) > 0$ , we can find a compact neighborhood  $K$  of  $x_0$  and a constant  $c$  such that  $cG(x, y) \geq 1$  on  $K \times K$ . Denote by  $\mu_0$  the restriction of  $\mu$  to  $K$ . Since  $G\mu_0$  is also locally bounded, there are a compact neighborhood  $K_1$  of  $x_0$  and a constant  $b$  such that  $K_1 \subset K$  and  $G\mu_0 \leq b$  on  $K_1$ . First, assume that  $x_0$  is an isolated point. Then  $\{x_0\}$  is not  $G$ -negligible and not  $N$ -negligible by the assumption and we have  $G(x_0, x_0) < +\infty$  and  $N(x_0, x_0) < +\infty$ . Denote by  $\mu_1$  the restriction of  $\mu_0$  to  $K_1$ . Then  $G\mu_1 \leq bcG\epsilon_{x_0}$  on  $K_1$  and hence  $N\mu_1 \leq bcN\epsilon_{x_0}$  on  $X$  by  $G \ll N$ . Since  $N\epsilon_{x_0}$  and  $N(\mu - \mu_1)$  are bounded on  $K_1$ ,  $N\mu$  is also bounded on  $K_1$ . Secondly, we consider the case where  $x_0$  is not an isolated point. Take  $x_1 \in K_1 \setminus \{x_0\}$  and find a compact neighborhood  $K_2$  of  $x_0$  satisfying  $K_2 \subset K_1$  and  $x_1 \in K_2$ . If we denote by the restriction of  $\mu_0$  to  $K_2$ , we have  $G\mu_2 \leq bcG\epsilon_{x_1}$  on  $K_2$  and hence  $N\mu_2 \leq bcN\epsilon_{x_1}$  on  $X$ . Since  $N\epsilon_{x_1}$  and  $N(\mu - \mu_2)$  are bounded on  $K_2$ ,  $N\mu$  is bounded on  $K_2$ . Thus we obtain that  $N\mu$  is locally bounded.

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Assume that each non-empty open set is not  $G$ -negligible and not  $N$ -negligible. If  $G$  satisfies the continuity principle and  $G \ll N$ , then  $\check{G}$  satisfies the relative balayage principle with respect to  $\check{N}$ . Here  $\check{G}$  (resp.  $\check{N}$ ) is the adjoint kernel of  $G$  (resp.  $N$ ).*

**PROOF.** Let  $K$  be a non- $G$ -negligible compact set and  $\mu$  be a measure in  $M_K^+$  with  $N\mu \neq +\infty$  on  $K$ . Put

$$\mathcal{S} := \{u = G\sigma - G\tau; \sigma \in M_K^+, \tau \in \mathcal{F}(G), \text{supp}(\tau) \subset K\}$$

and define for each  $f \in C(K)$

$$p(f) := \inf \left\{ \int N\sigma d\mu - \int N\tau d\mu; u = G\sigma - G\tau \in \mathcal{S}, u \geq f \text{ on } K \right\}.$$

We remark that  $N\tau$  is locally bounded by Proposition 1 and  $\int N\tau d\mu < +\infty$ . By the assumption we can find, for each  $f \in C(K)$ ,  $\sigma_0 \in \mathcal{F}(G)$  satisfying  $|f| \leq G\sigma_0$  on  $K$ . Then  $p(f) \leq \int N\sigma_0 d\mu < +\infty$ . Take  $u = G\sigma - G\tau \in \mathcal{S}$  with  $u \geq f$  on  $K$  and  $\text{supp}(\tau) \subset K$ . Then  $G\tau \leq G\sigma + G\sigma_0$  on  $K$  and  $G \ll N$ , we have  $N\tau \leq N\sigma + N\sigma_0$ . Consequently  $p(f) \geq -\int N\sigma_0 d\mu > -\infty$ . Since the mapping  $f \mapsto p(f)$  is sublinear

on  $C(K)$ , there exists a linear functional  $\nu$  on  $C(K)$  such that

$$\nu(f) \leq p(f) \quad \text{for all } f \in C(K).$$

If  $f \leq 0$ , it follows that  $\nu(f) \leq p(f) \leq 0$ . Hence  $\nu$  is a positive measure on  $K$ . For each  $\sigma \in M_K^+$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int G\sigma d\nu &= \sup \left\{ \int f d\nu; 0 \leq f \leq G\sigma \text{ on } K, f \in C(K) \right\} \\ &\leq \sup \{ p(f); 0 \leq f \leq G\sigma \text{ on } K, f \in C(K) \} \leq \int N\sigma d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Especially,  $\int G\varepsilon_x d\nu \leq \int N\varepsilon_x d\mu$  and hence  $\check{G}\nu(x) \leq \check{N}\mu(x)$  for each  $x \in X$ . For each  $\tau \in \mathcal{F}(G)$  with  $\text{supp}(\tau) \subset K$ , we have

$$\int -G\tau d\nu \leq p(-G\tau) \leq \int -N\tau d\mu.$$

Thus  $\int \check{G}\nu d\tau = \int \check{N}\mu d\tau$  for each  $\tau \in \mathcal{F}(G)$  with  $\text{supp}(\tau) \subset K$ . Since  $G$  satisfies the continuity principle by the assumption, it follows that  $\check{G}\nu = \check{N}\mu$   $G$ -n.e. on  $K$ .

### § 3. Condenser-type theorems for disjoint compact sets.

Hereafter we assume that  $G$  is a continuous function-kernel and  $N$  is a lower semicontinuous function-kernel which is locally bounded outside  $\Delta$ . Further, assume that each non-empty open set is not  $G$ -negligible and not  $N$ -negligible.

For each  $x \in X$  and for a closed set  $K$ , we define

$$A(x) := \{y \in X; b > 0, G(z, y) = bG(z, x) \text{ for all } z \in X\}$$

and

$$A(K) := \bigcup_{x \in K} A(x).$$

We shall consider the necessary and sufficient conditions in order that  $(G, N)$  has the following property:

(b) Let  $K$  be a non- $G$ -negligible compact set,  $F$  be a compact set with  $A(K) \cap F = \emptyset$  and  $\lambda$  be a measure in  $M_K^+$  of which  $N\lambda$  is bounded on  $K$ . Then there exists a  $(G, N)$ -condenser-type measure  $\alpha = \mu_0 - \mu_1$  of  $\lambda$  onto  $\langle K, F \rangle$ , i.e., there exist  $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{L}(G)$  and  $\mu_1 \in \mathcal{L}(G)$  such that

- $b_1)$   $\text{supp}(\mu_0) \subset K, \text{supp}(\mu_1) \subset F,$
- $b_2)$   $G\alpha = N\lambda \quad G\text{-n.e. on } K, \quad G\alpha = 0 \quad G\text{-n.e. on } F,$
- $b_3)$   $0 \leq G\alpha \leq N\lambda \text{ on } X.$

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $N, G$  be continuous function-kernels. Then the following assertions (1), (2) are equivalent;*

- (1)  $(G, N)$  has the property (b).
- (2)  $G \prec G$  and  $G \prec N$ .

**PROOF.** (1)  $\rightarrow$  (2): Let  $K$  be a non- $G$ -negligible set and  $\lambda$  be a measure in  $M_K^+$  such that  $N\lambda$  is bounded on  $K$ . Then there exists a  $(G, N)$ -condenser-type

measure  $\mu_0 - \mu_1$  of  $\lambda$  onto  $\langle K, \emptyset \rangle$  by the assumption. Since  $\mu_1 = 0$ ,  $\mu_0$  is a relatively balayaged measure of  $\lambda$  onto  $K$  with respect to  $(G, N)$ . Using this, we can easily show that  $G$  satisfies the elementary relative domination principle (cf. Proof of Lemma 2 in [3]). By Theorem 1 in [3] we have  $G \prec N$ . Next, we shall show that  $G \prec G$ . Assume that  $\check{G}\mu \leq \check{G}\varepsilon_x$  on  $\text{supp}(\mu)$ , where  $\mu \in M_K^+$  with  $\int G\mu d\mu < +\infty$  and  $x \in C(\text{supp}(\mu))$ . If there is a point  $x_1 \in X \setminus \text{supp}(\mu)$  such that  $G\mu(x_1) > \check{G}\varepsilon_x(x_1)$ , we can find a compact neighborhood  $K$  of  $x_1$  such that  $\check{G}\mu(y) > \check{G}\varepsilon_x(y)$  for all  $y \in K$ . Remark that  $A(K) \cap \text{supp}(\mu) = \emptyset$ . By the assumption there exists a  $(G, N)$ -condenser-type measure  $\mu_0 - \mu_1$  of  $\varepsilon_x$  for  $z \in \text{supp}(\mu)$  onto  $\langle K, \text{supp}(\mu) \rangle$ . It is obvious that  $\mu_0 \neq 0$ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int (G\mu_0 - G\mu_1) d\mu = \int \check{G}\mu d\mu_0 - \int \check{G}\mu d\mu_1 > \int \check{G}\varepsilon_x d\mu_0 - \int \check{G}\varepsilon_x d\mu_1 \\ &= G\mu_0(x) - G\mu_1(x) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction. Thus we have  $\check{G}\mu \leq \check{G}\varepsilon_x$  on  $X$ . Since  $G \prec N$  implies that  $G$  satisfies the continuity principle (cf. [3]). Consequently  $\check{G}$  satisfies the domination principle (cf. [5, Theorem II.3]) and so  $G$  does (cf. [2]).

(2)  $\rightarrow$  (1): Since  $G \prec G$ ,  $G$  satisfies the continuity principle. Let  $K$  be a non- $G$ -negligible compact set,  $F$  be a compact set with  $A(K) \cap F = \emptyset$  and  $\lambda$  be a measure in  $M_K^+$  such that  $N\lambda$  is bounded on  $K$ . The assumption  $G \prec N$  implies  $\check{G}[\check{N}]$ . By Proposition 2,  $G$  satisfies the relative balayage principle with respect to  $N$ . If  $\nu_0$  is a relatively balayaged measure of  $\lambda$  onto  $K$  with respect to  $(G, N)$ ,  $G\nu_0$  is bounded on  $K$ . Since there is  $\alpha_0 \in \mathcal{F}(G)$  satisfying  $G\nu_0 \leq G\alpha_0$  on  $X$ ,  $G\nu_0$  is locally bounded. Further we can choose successively a sequence  $\{\nu_n\}$  of measures in  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  with the following properties:

- (i)  $\text{supp}(\nu_{2m}) \subset K, \text{supp}(\nu_{2m+1}) \subset F$
- (ii)  $\nu_{2m+1}$  is a  $G$ -balayaged measure of  $\nu_{2m}$  onto  $F$ ,
- (iii)  $\nu_{2m+2}$  is a  $G$ -balayaged measure of  $\nu_{2m+1}$  onto  $K$ .

Then  $\{G\nu_n\}$  is decreasing and  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} G\nu_{2m} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} G\nu_{2m+1}$ . Since  $G \prec G$ , we have  $\check{G} \prec \check{G}$ . Let  $\beta$  be an arbitrary measure in  $\mathcal{F}(\check{G})$ . Then we can find  $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}(\check{G})$  and  $\tau \in \mathcal{L}(\check{G})$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \check{G}\sigma - \check{G}\tau &= \check{G}\beta \text{ } G\text{-n.e. on } K, \quad \check{G}\sigma - \check{G}\tau = 0 \text{ } G\text{-n.e. on } F, \\ 0 &\leq \check{G}\sigma - \check{G}\tau \leq \check{G}\beta \text{ } G\text{-n.e. on } X \text{ (cf. [8])}. \end{aligned}$$

Remarking that  $\text{supp}(\nu_{2m}) \subset K$  and  $\text{supp}(\nu_{2m+1}) \subset F$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} G\nu_{2m} d\beta &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int G\nu_{2m} d\beta = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int \check{G}\beta d\nu_{2m} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int (\check{G}\sigma - \check{G}\tau) d\nu_{2m} \\ &= \int (\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} G\nu_{2m}) d\sigma - \int (\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} G\nu_{2m}) d\tau \\ &= \int (\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} G\nu_{2m+1}) d\sigma - \int (\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} G\nu_{2m+1}) d\tau \end{aligned}$$

$$= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int (\check{G}\sigma - \check{G}\tau) d\nu_{2m+1} = 0.$$

Consequently  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} G\nu_{2m} = 0$   $G$ -n. e. on  $X$  and hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G\nu_n = 0$   $G$ -n. e. on  $X$ . Thus we see that the alternative series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n G\nu_n$  converges  $G$ -n. e. on  $X$ . Put

$$g := \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (G\nu_{2m} - G\nu_{2m+1}).$$

Since  $G\nu_{2m} - G\nu_{2m+1} = 0$   $G$ -n. e. on  $F$  and  $G\nu_{2m+1} - G\nu_{2m+2} = 0$   $G$ -n. e. on  $K$ , we have

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} g &= G\nu_0 = N\lambda \text{ } G\text{-n. e. on } K, \quad g = 0 \text{ } G\text{-n. e. on } F, \\ 0 &\leq g \leq G\nu_0 \leq N\lambda \text{ on } X. \end{aligned}$$

Further we can choose  $\gamma, \delta \in \mathcal{L}(\check{G})$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \check{G}\gamma - \check{G}\delta &\geq 1 \text{ } G\text{-n. e. on } K, \quad \check{G}\gamma - \check{G}\delta = 0 \text{ } G\text{-n. e. on } F, \\ 0 &\leq \check{G}\gamma - \check{G}\delta \text{ } G\text{-n. e. on } X. \end{aligned}$$

For any  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=0}^p \int d\nu_{2m} &\leq \sum_{m=0}^p \int (\check{G}\gamma - \check{G}\delta) d\nu_{2m} - \sum_{m=0}^p \int (\check{G}\gamma - \check{G}\delta) d\nu_{2m+1} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^p \int (G\nu_{2m} - G\nu_{2m+1}) d\gamma - \sum_{m=0}^p \int (G\nu_{2m} - G\nu_{2m+1}) d\delta \\ &\leq \int g d\gamma = \int G\nu_0 d\gamma < +\infty \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int d\nu_{2m} < +\infty$ . Put  $\mu_0 := \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \nu_{2m}$ . Then  $\mu_0$  is a positive measure supported by  $K$ . If we choose  $\beta_0 \in \mathcal{L}(\check{G})$  satisfying  $\check{G}\beta_0 > 1$  on  $F$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int d\nu_{2m+1} &\leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int \check{G}\beta_0 d\nu_{2m+1} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int G\nu_{2m+1} d\beta_0 \\ &\leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int G\nu_{2m} d\beta_0 = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int \check{G}\beta_0 d\nu_{2m} < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently  $\mu_1 := \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \nu_{2m+1}$  is a positive measure supported by  $F$ . Obviously it follows that  $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} G\nu_{2m} = G\mu_0$ ,  $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} G\nu_{2m+1} = G\mu_1$  and  $g = G\mu_0 - G\mu_1$  on  $X$ . Since  $G\mu_0 \leq G\mu_1 - G\nu_0$  and  $G\mu_1$  is continuous on  $K$ ,  $G\mu_0$  is bounded on  $K$  and hence it is locally bounded on  $X$ . Using  $G\mu_1 \leq G\mu_0$ ,  $G\mu_1$  is also locally bounded on  $X$ . Therefore  $\mu_0 - \mu_1$  is a  $(G, N)$ -condenser-type measure of  $\lambda$  onto  $\langle K, F \rangle$ .

Putting  $N=G$  in Theorem 1, we obtain the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 1.**  *$G$  satisfies the domination principle if and only if  $(G, G)$  has the property (b).*

**DEFINITION 5.** We say that  $G$  satisfies the condenser principle if for each

non- $G$ -negligible compact set  $K$  and each compact set  $F$  with  $K \cap F = \emptyset$ , there exists a measure  $\alpha = \mu_0 - \mu_1$  ( $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{L}(G)$ ,  $\mu_1 \in \mathcal{L}(G)$ ) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp}(\mu_0) \subset K \text{ and } \text{supp}(\mu_1) \subset F, \\ G\alpha = 1 \text{ } G\text{-n.e. on } K, \quad G\alpha = 0 \text{ } G\text{-n.e. on } F, \\ 0 \leq G\alpha \leq 1 \text{ on } X. \end{aligned}$$

If  $A(x) = \{x\}$  for any  $x \in X$ , we say that  $G$  is non-degenerate. Putting  $N=1$  (the constant kernel) in Theorem 1, we have easily the following well-known result (cf. [6])

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $G$  be non-degenerate. Then  $G$  satisfies the condenser principle if and only if  $G < G$  and  $G < 1$ .*

**COROLLARY 3.** *If  $G < G$ ,  $G < N$  and  $G$  is non-degenerate, then for any pair of a non- $G$ -negligible compact set  $K$  and a compact set  $F$  with  $K \cap F = \emptyset$ , there exists uniquely a measure  $\alpha = \mu_0 - \mu_1$  ( $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \mathcal{L}(G)$ ) satisfying  $b_1), b_2), b_3)$  in Theorem 1.*

**PROOF.** Let  $K$  be a non- $G$ -negligible compact set and  $F$  be a compact set with  $K \cap F = \emptyset$ . Since  $G$  is non-degenerate, we have  $A(K) \cap F = \emptyset$ . By Theorem 1 there exists a measure  $\alpha = \mu_0 - \mu_1$  ( $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \mathcal{L}(G)$ ) satisfying  $b_1), b_2), b_3)$ . Let  $\beta = \nu_0 - \nu_1$  ( $\nu_0, \nu_1 \in \mathcal{L}(G)$ ) be another measure satisfying  $b_1), b_2), b_3)$ . Since  $G < G$  and  $G$  is non-degenerate,  $\check{G} < \check{G}$  and  $\check{G}$  is also non-degenerate. By Corollary 1 there exists, for each  $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}(\check{G})$ , a measure  $\delta = \sigma - \tau$  ( $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{L}(\check{G})$ ) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \check{G}\delta = \check{G}\gamma \text{ } G\text{-n.e. on } K, \quad \check{G}\delta = 0 \text{ } G\text{-n.e. on } F, \\ 0 \leq \check{G}\delta \leq \check{G}\gamma \text{ on } X. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int \check{G}\gamma d\mu_0 &= \int (\check{G}\sigma - \check{G}\tau) d\mu_0 - \int (\check{G}\sigma - \check{G}\tau) d\mu_1 \\ &= \int (G\mu_0 - G\mu_1) d\sigma - \int (G\mu_0 - G\mu_1) d\tau \\ &= \int (G\nu_0 - G\nu_1) d\sigma - \int (G\nu_0 - G\nu_1) d\tau \\ &= \int (\check{G}\sigma - \check{G}\tau) d\nu_0 = \int \check{G}\gamma d\nu_0. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $f$  be a non-negative continuous function on  $X$  with compact support. Since  $G$  is non-degenerate, we can find sequences  $\{\sigma_n\} \{\tau_n\}$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\check{G})$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \check{G}\sigma_n - \check{G}\tau_n \leq \check{G}\lambda \text{ on } X \text{ for some } \lambda \in F(\check{G}), \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\check{G}\sigma_n - \check{G}\tau_n) = f \text{ } G\text{-n.e. on } X. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently we have  $\mu_0 = \nu_0$ . If  $F$  is non- $G$ -negligible, we have  $\mu_1 = \nu_1$  analogously. If  $F$  is  $G$ -negligible, it follows that  $\mu_1 = \nu_1 = 0$ . Thus we have  $\mu_0 = \nu_0$  and  $\mu_1 = \nu_1$ .

**§ 4.  $(G, N)$ -condedser-type theorems for a pair  $\langle K, F \rangle$  of a compact set  $K$  and a closed set  $F$ .**

We say that  $(G, N)$  has the property  $(b')$  if the following property  $(b')$  is satisfied:

$(b')$  Let  $K$  be a non- $G$ -negligible compact set and  $F$  be a closed set with  $A(K) \cap F = \emptyset$ . Then for each  $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}(N)$  there exists a measure  $\alpha = \mu_0 - \mu_1$  satisfying

$$b'_1) \text{ supp}(\mu_0) \subset K, \text{ supp}(\mu_1) \subset F,$$

$$b'_2) \int G\mu_1 d\beta < +\infty \quad \text{for each } \beta \in \mathcal{L}(\check{G}),$$

$$b'_3) \mu_0(B) = \mu_1(B) = 0 \quad \text{for each } G\text{-negligible set } B,$$

$$b'_4) G\alpha = N\lambda \text{ } G\text{-n.e. on } K, \quad G\alpha = 0 \text{ } G\text{-n.e. on } F,$$

$$b'_5) 0 \leq G\alpha \leq N\lambda \text{ on } X \text{ if } F \text{ is compact and } 0 \leq G\alpha \leq N\lambda \text{ } G\text{-n.e. on } X \text{ if } F \text{ is not compact.}$$

In this section we ask necessary and sufficient conditions in order that  $(G, N)$  has property  $(b')$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Assume that  $G < G$  and  $\mathcal{L}(N) \neq \{0\}$ . Then  $(G, N)$  has the property  $(b')$  if and only if  $(G, N)$  has the following three properties (c), (d), (e):*

(c) *Let  $F$  be a non- $G$ -negligible compact set. Then for every  $\mu \in L(N)$  there exists a measure  $\nu \in M_K^+$  such that*

$$\text{supp}(\nu) \subset K, \quad G\nu = N\mu \text{ } G\text{-n.e. on } K, \quad G\nu \leq N\mu \text{ on } X.$$

(d) *Any  $\mu \in \mathcal{L}(G)$  is balayable onto any closed set  $F$ , i.e., there exists a positive measure  $\nu$  such that*

$$G\nu = G\mu \text{ } G\text{-n.e. on } F, \quad G\nu \leq G\mu \text{ on } X.$$

(e) *Let  $K$  be a non- $G$ -negligible compact set and  $F$  be a closed set with  $A(K) \cap F = \emptyset$ . Then there exist sequences  $\{\sigma_n\}, \{\tau_n\}$  ( $\sigma_n, \tau_n \in L(\check{G})$ ) satisfying*

$$e_1) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\check{G}\sigma_n - \check{G}\tau_n) = 0 \text{ } G\text{-n.e. on } F, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\check{G}\sigma_n - \check{G}\tau_n) \geq 1 \text{ } G\text{-n.e. on } K,$$

$$e_2) 0 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\check{G}\sigma_n - \check{G}\tau_n) \leq \check{G}\eta \text{ } G\text{-n.e. on } X \text{ for some } \eta \in \mathcal{L}(\check{G}),$$

$$e_3) \check{G}\sigma_n \leq \check{G}\sigma_{n+1} \text{ and } \check{G}\tau_n \leq \check{G}\tau_{n+1} \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbf{N},$$

$$e_4) \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \check{N}\sigma_n d\beta < +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \check{N}\tau_n d\beta < +\infty \quad \text{for each } \beta \in \mathcal{L}(N).$$

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $(G, N)$  has the property  $(b')$ .

(c): Let  $K$  be a non- $G$ -negligible set and  $\mu$  be a measure in  $\mathcal{L}(N)$ . Then there exists a  $(G, N)$ -condedser-type measure  $\alpha = \mu_0 - \mu_1$  of  $\mu$  onto  $\langle K, \emptyset \rangle$ . Since  $\mu_1 = 0$ , we have

$$G\mu_0 = N\mu \text{ G-n.e. on } K, \quad G\mu_0 \leq N\mu \text{ on } X.$$

(d): We remark that it is sufficient to prove that for each closed set  $F$

$$(4.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\check{G}\sigma_n - \check{G}\tau_n) = 0 \text{ G-n.e. on } F \text{ implies } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\check{G}\sigma_n - \check{G}\tau_n) = 0$$

G-n.e. on  $X$ , where  $\{\sigma_n\}, \{\tau_n\} \subset \mathcal{L}(\check{G})$  satisfying

$$\text{supp}(\sigma_n) \subset F, \quad \text{supp}(\tau_n) \subset F,$$

$$0 \leq \check{G}\sigma_n - \check{G}\tau_n \leq \check{G}\gamma \text{ G-n.e. on } F \text{ for some } \gamma \in \mathcal{L}(\check{G}),$$

$$\check{G}\sigma_{n+1} - \check{G}\tau_{n+1} \leq \check{G}\sigma_n - \check{G}\tau_n \text{ G-n.e. on } F \text{ (cf. [8, Theorem 1'])}$$

Assume that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\check{G}\sigma_n - \check{G}\tau_n) \neq 0$  G-n.e. on  $X$ . Since  $0 \leq \check{G}\sigma_n - \check{G}\tau_n$  on  $X$ , there exists a non-G-negligible compact set contained in  $\{x \in CF; \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\check{G}\sigma_n(x) - \check{G}\tau_n(x)) > 0\}$ . We remark that  $A(K) \cap F = \emptyset$ . By the assumption there exists, for  $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}(N) (\lambda \neq 0)$ , a measure  $\mu_0 - \mu_1$  satisfying  $b'_1 \sim b'_0$ . Since  $\lambda \neq 0$ , we have  $\mu_0 \neq 0$ . Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \int (G\mu_0 - G\mu_1) d\sigma_n - \int (G\mu_0 - G\mu_1) d\tau_n \right\} \\ &= \int \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\check{G}\sigma_n - \check{G}\tau_n) d\mu_0 - \int \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\check{G}\sigma_n - \check{G}\tau_n) d\mu_1 \\ &= \int \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\check{G}\sigma_n - \check{G}\tau_n) d\mu_0 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction. Thus  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\check{G}\sigma_n - \check{G}\tau_n) = 0$  G-n.e. on  $F$  implies  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\check{G}\sigma_n - \check{G}\tau_n) = 0$  G-n.e. on  $X$ .

(e): Let  $\{K_n\}$  be an increasing sequence of compact sets with  $\bigcup_n K_n = X$ .

Suppose that  $K$  is a non-G-negligible compact set and  $F$  be a closed set with  $A(K) \cap F = \emptyset$ . Put  $F_n := F \cap K_n$ . We can find  $\lambda_0 \in \mathcal{F}(\check{G})$  satisfying  $\check{G}\lambda_0 \geq 1$  on  $K$ . Since  $\check{G} < \check{G}$ , there exists, by Corollary 1,  $\delta_n = \alpha_n - \beta_n$  such that

$$\text{supp}(\alpha_n) \subset K, \quad \text{supp}(\beta_n) \subset F_n,$$

$$\check{G}\delta_n = \check{G}\lambda_0 \text{ G-n.e. on } K, \quad \check{G}\delta_n = 0 \text{ G-n.e. on } F_n,$$

$$0 \leq \check{G}\delta_n \leq \check{G}\lambda_0 \text{ on } X.$$

Since  $\check{G}\alpha_n - \check{G}\beta_n \leq \check{G}\alpha_{n-1} - \check{G}\beta_{n-1}$  on  $K \cup F_n$ ,  $\check{G}\alpha_n - \check{G}\beta_n \leq \check{G}\alpha_{n-1} - \check{G}\beta_{n-1}$  on  $X$ . We remark that  $\check{G}\alpha_n \leq \check{G}\alpha_{n+1}$  on  $X$ . In fact, there exists, for each  $\eta \in \mathcal{L}(G)$ , a measure  $\sigma_n - \tau_n (\sigma_n, \tau_n \in \mathcal{L}(G))$  satisfying

$$\text{supp}(\sigma_n) \subset K, \quad \text{supp}(\tau_n) \subset F_n,$$

$$G\sigma_n - G\tau_n = G\eta \text{ G-n.e. on } K, \quad G\sigma_n - G\tau_n = 0 \text{ G-n.e. on } F_n,$$

$$0 \leq G\sigma_n - G\tau_n \leq G\eta.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \check{G}\alpha_n d\eta &= \int G\eta d\alpha_n \\
&= \int (G\sigma_n - G\tau_n) d\alpha_n - \int (G\sigma_n - G\tau_n) d\beta_n \\
&= \int (\check{G}\alpha_n - \check{G}\beta_n) d\sigma_n - \int (\check{G}\alpha_n - \check{G}\beta_n) d\tau_n \\
&\leq \int (\check{G}\alpha_{n+1} - \check{G}\beta_{n+1}) d\sigma_n - \int (\check{G}\alpha_{n+1} - \check{G}\beta_{n+1}) d\tau_n \\
&= \int (G\sigma_n - G\tau_n) d\alpha_{n+1} - \int (G\sigma_n - G\tau_n) d\beta_{n+1} \\
&\leq \int G\eta d\alpha_{n+1} = \int \check{G}\alpha_{n+1} d\eta.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently  $\check{G}\alpha_n \leq \check{G}\alpha_{n+1}$   $G$ -n. e. on  $X$  and hence  $\check{G}\alpha_n \leq \check{G}\alpha_{n+1}$ . Similarly we have  $\check{G}\beta_n \leq \check{G}\beta_{n+1}$  on  $X$ . Let  $\lambda$  be an arbitrary measure in  $\mathcal{L}(N)$ . By the assumption we can find  $\alpha = \mu_0 - \mu_1$  satisfying  $b'_1 \sim b'_5$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
+\infty &> \int \check{G}\lambda_0 d\mu_0 \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int (\check{G}\alpha_n - \check{G}\beta_n) d\mu_0 - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int (\check{G}\alpha_n - \check{G}\beta_n) d\mu_1 \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \int (G\mu_0 - G\mu_1) d\alpha_n - \int (G\mu_0 - G\mu_1) d\beta_n \right\} \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int N\lambda d\alpha_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \check{N}\alpha_n d\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\check{G}\beta_n \leq \check{G}\alpha_n$  on  $X$  and  $\alpha_n, \beta_n \in \mathcal{L}(\check{G})$ , we have, using (c),

$$\int \check{N}\beta_n d\lambda \leq \int \check{N}\alpha_n d\lambda \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathcal{L}(\check{N}).$$

Consequently  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \check{N}\beta_n d\lambda \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \check{N}\alpha_n d\lambda < +\infty$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $(G, N)$  has the properties (c), (d), (e). Let  $K$  be a non- $G$ -negligible compact set and  $F$  be a closed set with  $A(K) \cap F = \emptyset$  and  $\lambda$  be a measure in  $\mathcal{L}(N)$ . We denote by  $\nu_0$  a relatively balayaged measure of  $\lambda$  onto  $K$  with respect to  $(G, N)$ . Since  $G$  has the property (d), we can choose a sequence  $\{\nu_n\}$  of positive measures satisfying (i)~(iii) in Theorem 1. Obviously  $\{G\nu_n\}$  is decreasing. We shall show that  $\lim G\nu_n = 0$   $G$ -n. e. on  $X$ . Let  $\beta$  be an arbitrary measure in  $\mathcal{L}(\check{G})$  and  $b$  be a positive real number satisfying  $b\check{G}\beta \leq 1$  on  $K$ . Using the property (e), for  $u := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \check{G}\sigma_n, v := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \check{G}\tau_n$  in (e) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int u d\nu_n &= \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \int \check{G}\sigma_p d\nu_n = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \int G\nu_n d\sigma_p \\
&= \liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} \int N\lambda d\sigma_p = \liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} \int \check{N}\sigma_p d\lambda < +\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the sequence  $\left\{ \int u d\nu_n \right\}$  is decreasing, it follows that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int u d\nu_{2m} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int u d\nu_{2m+1} < +\infty.$$

Analogously

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int v d\nu_{2m} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int v d\nu_{2m+1} < +\infty.$$

Using these relations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} b \int \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} G\nu_{2m} d\beta &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int b G\nu_{2m} d\beta = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int b \check{G} \beta d\nu_{2m} \\ &\leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} (\check{G}\sigma_p - \check{G}\tau_p) d\nu_{2m} \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int u d\nu_{2m} - \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int v d\nu_{2m} \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int u d\nu_{2m+1} - \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int v d\nu_{2m+1} \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} (\check{G}\sigma_p - \check{G}\tau_p) d\nu_{2m+1} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently  $\int \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} G\nu_{2m} d\beta = 0$  for all  $\beta \in \mathcal{L}(\check{G})$ . Thus we have  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} G\nu_{2m} = 0$  G-n.e. on  $X$ . Thus we see the alternative series series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n G\nu_n$  converges G-n.e. on  $X$ . Put

$$g := \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (G\nu_{2m} - G\nu_{2m+1}).$$

Then  $g$  has the property (3.1). Next we shall show that  $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \nu_{2m}$  is a positive measure. For any  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=0}^q \int d\nu_{2m} &\leq \sum_{m=0}^q \int \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} (\check{G}\sigma_p - \check{G}\tau_p) d\nu_{2m} - \sum_{m=0}^q \int \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} (\check{G}\sigma_p - \check{G}\tau_p) d\nu_{2m+1} \\ &= \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=0}^q \int (G\nu_{2m} - G\nu_{2m+1}) d\sigma_p \\ &\quad - \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=0}^q \int (G\nu_{2m} - G\nu_{2m+1}) d\tau_p \\ &\leq \liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} \int g d\sigma_p \leq \liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} \int N\lambda d\sigma_p < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently  $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int d\nu_{2m} \leq \liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} \int N\lambda d\sigma_p < +\infty$  and hence  $\mu_0 := \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \nu_{2m}$  is a positive measure supported by  $K$ . Let  $f$  be a non-negative continuous function on  $X$  with compact support and  $\eta$  be a measure in  $\mathcal{F}(\check{G})$  with  $\check{G}\eta \geq f$  on  $X$ . Then

$$\int f d\nu_{2m+1} \leq \int \check{G} \eta d\nu_{2m+1} = \int G\nu_{2m+1} d\eta \leq \int G\nu_{2m} d\eta = \int \check{G} \eta d\nu_{2m}.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int f d\nu_{2m+1} \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int \check{G} \eta d\nu_{2m} < +\infty.$$

This implies that the positive linear functional:  $f \mapsto \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int f d\nu_{2m+1}$  on  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  (the vector space of continuous real-valued functions on  $X$  with compact support) is a positive measure  $\mu_1$  supported by  $F$ . Obviously  $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} G\nu_{2m} = G\mu_0$  and  $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} G\nu_{2m+1} = G\mu_1$ . For any  $\beta \in \mathcal{L}(\check{G})$  we obtain

$$\int G\mu_1 d\beta = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int G\nu_{2m+1} d\beta \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int G\nu_{2m} d\beta \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int \check{G} \beta d\nu_{2m} < +\infty.$$

Thus both  $G\mu_1$  and  $G\mu_0$  are finite on  $G$ -n.e. on  $X$  and it follows that  $g = G\mu_0 - G\mu_1$   $G$ -n.e. on  $X$ . We remark that  $g = G\mu_0 - G\mu_1$  on  $X$  if  $F$  is compact. Therefore  $\mu_0 - \mu_1$  is a  $(G, N)$ -condensertype measure of  $\lambda$  onto  $\langle K, F \rangle$ .

We define

$$\mathcal{S}(\check{G}) := \left\{ u = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \check{G} \sigma_n; \sigma_n \in \mathcal{L}(\check{G}), \check{G} \sigma_n \leq \check{G} \sigma_{n+1}, \int u d\beta < +\infty \text{ for all } \beta \in \mathcal{F}(G) \right\}.$$

Putting  $G=N$ , we have easily the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 4.** Assume that  $G \prec G$ . Then  $(G, G)$  has the property (b') if and only if  $G$  has the following properties (d), (e'):

- (d) Any  $\mu \in \mathcal{L}(G)$  is balayable onto any closed set  $F$ ,
- (e') Let  $K$  be a non- $G$ -negligible compact set and  $F$  be a closed set with  $A(K) \cap F = \emptyset$ . Then there exist  $u, v \in \mathcal{S}(\check{G})$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} u - v &\geq 1 \text{ } G\text{-n.e. on } K, & u - v &= 0 \text{ } G\text{-n.e. on } F, \\ 0 &\leq u - v \leq \check{G} \eta \text{ } G\text{-n.e. on } X \text{ for some } \eta \in L(\check{G}). \end{aligned}$$

**PROPOSITION 3.** Assume that  $G$  is non-degenerate and  $G \prec G$ . Further assume that  $G$  has the following property (f):

- (f) For any  $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}(\check{G})$ , for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for any compact set  $K$  there exist  $u, v \in \mathcal{S}(\check{G})$  and a compact set  $K'$  such that

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} v &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \check{G} \sigma_n, & \text{supp}(\sigma_n) &\subset K' \\ u - v &\leq \varepsilon \text{ } G\text{-n.e. on } K, & u - v &\geq \check{G} \lambda \text{ } G\text{-n.e. on } CK', \\ 0 &\leq u - v \text{ } G\text{-n.e. on } X. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $(G, G)$  has the property (b').

PROOF. First we remark that we can choose  $u, v \in \mathcal{S}(\check{G})$  in (f) satisfying (4.2) and

$$u-v = \check{G}\lambda \text{ G-n.e. on } CK', \quad 0 \leq u-v \leq \check{G}\lambda \text{ G-n.e. on } X.$$

In fact, since  $(u-v) \wedge \check{G}\lambda = u \wedge (\check{G}\lambda + v) - v$  and  $u \wedge (\check{G}\lambda + v) \in \mathcal{S}(\check{G})$ , we can take  $(u-v) \wedge \check{G}\lambda$  instead of  $u-v$ . By Proposition 4 in [8] any  $\mu \in \mathcal{L}(G)$  is balayable to any closed set. Further, let  $K$  be a non- $G$ -negligible compact set and  $F$  be a closed set with  $K \cap F = \emptyset$ . Choose  $\lambda_0 \in \mathcal{F}(\check{G})$  satisfying  $\check{G}\lambda_0 \geq 2$  on  $K$ . By the assumption (f) there exist  $u, v \in \mathcal{S}(\check{G})$  and a compact set  $K'$  with  $K \subset K'$  such that

$$u-v = \check{G}\lambda_0 \text{ G-n.e. on } CK', \quad u-v \leq 1 \text{ G-n.e. on } K$$

$$0 \leq u-v \leq \check{G}\lambda_0 \text{ G-n.e. on } X.$$

Then we obtain, putting  $w_1 := \check{G}\lambda_0 + v - u$ ,

$$w_1 \geq 1 \text{ G-n.e. on } K, \quad w_1 = 0 \text{ G-n.e. on } CK'.$$

$$0 \leq w_1 \leq \check{G}\lambda_0 \text{ G-n.e. on } X.$$

Since  $(F \cap K') \cap K = \emptyset$  and  $\check{G} < \check{G}$ , it follows from Corollary 1 that there exists  $w_2 = \check{G}\alpha - \check{G}\beta$  ( $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{L}(\check{G})$ ) such that

$$w_2 = \frac{1}{2} \check{G}\lambda_0 \geq 1 \text{ G-n.e. on } K, \quad w_2 = 0 \text{ G-n.e. on } F \cap K',$$

$$0 \leq w_2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \check{G}\lambda_0 \text{ G-n.e. on } X.$$

We can write  $w_1 \wedge w_2 = u_1 - u_2$  ( $u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{S}(\check{G})$ ) G-n.e. on  $X$  and obtain

$$u_1 - u_2 \geq 1 \text{ G-n.e. on } K, \quad u_1 - u_2 = 0 \text{ G-n.e. on } F$$

$$0 \leq u_1 - u_2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \check{G}\lambda_0 \text{ G-n.e. on } X.$$

Thus  $(G, G)$  has the property (b') by Corollary 4.

### References

- [1] C. Berg, On the existence of condenser potentials, Nagoya Math. J. 70 (1978), 157-165.
- [2] I. Higuchi and M. Ito, On the theorems of Kishi for a continuous function-kernel, Nagoya Math. J. 53 (1974), 127-135.
- [3] I. Higuchi, On the transitive domination principle for continuous function-kernels, Nagoya Math. J. 57 (1975), 27-35.
- [4] I. Higuchi, Régularité et propriété de convergence dominée des potentiels d'un noyau-fonction non-symétrique, Séminaire de Théorie du Potentiel, Univ. Paris VI, à paraître.
- [5] M. Kishi, Maximum principle in the potential theory, Nagoya Math. J. 23 (1963),

165-187.

- [ 6 ] M. Kishi, Sur l'existence des mesures des condensateurs, Nagoya Math. J. **30** (1967), 1-7.
- [ 7 ] M. Kishi, Selected topics from potential theory, Lectures by M. Kishi given at the University of Copenhagen 1977-78.
- [ 8 ] H. Watanabe, On balayage on closed sets, Natur. Sci. Rep. Ochanomizu Univ. **33** (1982), 45-54.