

On a Characterization of Riemannian Spaces Admitting Minimal Subspaces Complementary Orthogonal

Shun-ichi Tachibana

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,
 Ochanomizu University, Tokyo

(Received June 9, 1971)

Introduction

Let M be an n dimensional Riemannian space of class C^∞ . A tensor field F_μ^λ is called an almost product structure if it satisfies

$$(0.1) \quad F_\mu^\alpha F_\alpha^\lambda = \delta_\mu^\lambda,$$

$$(0.2) \quad g_{\alpha\beta} F_\mu^\alpha F_\nu^\beta = g_{\mu\nu},$$

where $g_{\mu\nu}$ denotes the Riemannian metric, [4].¹⁾

By a metric tensor of rank p we shall mean, following to T.Y. Thomas [7], a parallel symmetric tensor $h_{\lambda\mu}$ of rank $(h_{\lambda\mu}) = p$.

It is known that the following four propositions **A**~**D** are equivalent to one another.

A. M admits a metric tensor of rank p .

B. M admits a parallel field of p -plane, [7].

C. M is covered with coordinate neighbourhoods $\{U\}$ such that the line element is written as

$$ds^2 = \sum_{i,j} g_{ij}(x^k) dx^i dx^j + \sum_{a,b} g_{ab}(x^c) dx^a dx^b$$

and the coordinates in $U \cap U' \neq \emptyset$ relate with each other by $x'^i = x'^i(x^j)$ and $x'^a = x'^a(x^b)$, [7].

D. M admits a parallel almost product structure satisfying $F_\lambda^\lambda = 2p - n$, [4].

A differential p -form u is called to be *simple*, if there exist 1-forms v_i in a neighbourhood of any point such that

1) All tensor fields, p -forms and subspaces in this paper are of class C^∞ . We shall use the following ranges of indices.

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \leq \lambda, \mu, \nu, \dots \leq n, & \quad 1 \leq A, B, C, \dots \leq n, \\ 1 \leq i, j, k, \dots \leq p (< n), & \quad p+1 \leq a, b, c, \dots \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

Indices λ, μ, ν, \dots represent the components of the tensor with respect to the natural base, and the summation convention is assumed for these indices.

$$u = v_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_p.$$

Let consider the following proposition :

E. *M admits a parallel simple p-form.*

When *M* is orientable, Proposition **E** is equivalent to Proposition **B** in which “*p*-plane” is replaced with “*oriented p-plane*”, [3], [5].

Generalizing **B** and **C**, Y.C. Wong [8] has discussed the line element of *M* which admits complementary subspaces. He proved that the following two propositions are equivalent to each other.

B'. *Through any point of M there are minimal submanifolds of dimensions p and n-p which are orthogonal to each other.*

C'. *M is covered with coordinate neighbourhoods {U} such that the line element is written as*

$$ds^2 = \sum_{i,j} g_{ij}(x^k, x^c) dx^i dx^j + \sum_{a,b} g_{ab}(x^k, x^c) dx^a dx^b,$$

where g_{ij} and g_{ab} satisfy

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} \det(g_{ij}) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \det(g_{ab}) = 0$$

and the coordinates in $U \cap U' \neq \phi$ relate with by $x'^i = x'^i(x^j)$ and $x'^a = x'^a(x^b)$.

Recently, the present author [5] has discussed the Riemannian space which admits a harmonic simple *p*-form, where a harmonic form means a form *u* satisfying $du = 0$ and $\delta u = 0$.

If we replace “*submanifolds*” in **B'** by “*orientable submanifolds*”, the proposition is equivalent to the following **E'** when *M* is orientable.

E'. *M admits a harmonic simple p-form of constant length.*

On the other hand, C.J. Hsu [2] has discussed the almost product structure and introduced its several special classes. The purpose of this paper is to find the proposition which is equivalent to **B'** and corresponds to **D**. The result is related with one of Hsu's special classes.

1. The coefficients of rotation of an orthogonal ennuple.

Consider an *n* dimensional Riemannian space *M* and let $v_A = (v_A^\lambda)$ be an orthogonal ennuple, i. e., a local orthonormal base field. We define scalar functions r_{ABC} by

$$(1.1) \quad \nabla_\lambda v_{A\mu} = \sum_{B,C} r_{ABC} v_{B\lambda} v_{C\mu},$$

where $v_{A\mu} = g_{\mu\lambda} v_A^\lambda$ and ∇_λ denotes the operator of the Riemannian covariant derivative. r_{ABC} are called the coefficients of rotation of v_A , [1, p. 100]. They satisfy

$$(1.2) \quad r_{ACB} + r_{BCA} = 0,$$

because of $v_{A\lambda} v_B^\lambda = \delta_{AB}$.

We shall identify v_A with the 1-form defined by $v_A = v_{A\lambda} dx^\lambda$ as far as the ambiguity does not occur. If the scalar functions C_{ABC} satisfying

$$(1.3) \quad C_{ABC} + C_{ACB} = 0$$

are introduced by

$$dv_A = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{B,C} C_{ABC} v_B \wedge v_C,$$

it is easily seen that the following relations

$$(1.4) \quad C_{ABC} = \gamma_{ABC} - \gamma_{ACB}$$

hold good.

In [5] we have got the following

THEOREM. *In an n dimensional Riemannian space M , Proposition **B'** or **C'** holds good if and only if*

$$(1.5) \quad C_{iab} = C_{aij} = 0,$$

$$(1.6) \quad \sum_i C_{iia} = \sum_a C_{aai} = 0$$

are valid for an orthogonal ennuple v_A .

In this case, the field of the p -plane spanned by the vectors v_i is the involutive field in **B'**, and its maximal integral manifold is given by $x^a = \text{const.}$ in **C'**. The analogous facts for v_a are valid.

The conditions (1.5) and (1.6) are written in terms of γ_{ABC} as follows:

$$(1.7) \quad \gamma_{iab} = \gamma_{iba}, \quad \gamma_{aij} = \gamma_{aji},$$

$$(1.8) \quad \sum_i \gamma_{aai} = \sum_a \gamma_{iaa} = 0$$

by virtue of (1.4) taking account of (1.2).

2. The almost product structure. Suppose that M admits an almost product structure F_μ^λ . The Nijenhuis tensor $N(F)$ is defined by

$$N(F)_{\lambda\mu}{}^\kappa = F_\lambda^\epsilon \nabla_\epsilon F_\mu^\kappa - F_\mu^\epsilon \nabla_\epsilon F_\lambda^\kappa - F_\epsilon^\kappa (\nabla_\lambda F_\mu^\epsilon - \nabla_\mu F_\lambda^\epsilon).$$

Now, we assume that $N(F)_{\lambda\mu}{}^\kappa$ vanish identically. Then

$$(2.1) \quad F_\lambda^\epsilon \nabla_\epsilon F_\mu^\omega - F_\mu^\epsilon \nabla_\epsilon F_\lambda^\omega - F_\omega^\epsilon (\nabla_\lambda F_\mu^\epsilon - \nabla_\mu F_\lambda^\epsilon) = 0$$

hold good, where $F_{\mu\omega} = g_{\omega\alpha} F_\mu^\alpha$ is symmetric. Transvecting this with F_ν^λ we have

$$\nabla_\nu F_{\mu\omega} = F_\nu^\lambda F_\mu^\epsilon \nabla_\epsilon F_{\lambda\omega} + F_\nu^\lambda F_\omega^\epsilon (\nabla_\lambda F_\mu^\epsilon - \nabla_\mu F_\lambda^\epsilon).$$

Thus it follows by transvection with $g^{\mu\omega}$ that

$$\nabla_\nu F_\lambda^\lambda = F_\nu^\lambda F^\omega{}^\epsilon \nabla_\epsilon F_{\lambda\omega} + F_\nu^\lambda F^\omega{}^\epsilon (\nabla_\lambda F_{\omega\epsilon} - \nabla_\omega F_{\lambda\epsilon}) = 0$$

hold good, by virtue of $F^{\omega\epsilon} = F^{\epsilon\omega}$ and $F^{\omega\epsilon} \nabla_\lambda F_{\omega\epsilon} = 0$. Hence

LEMMA 1. *If $N(F)_{\lambda\mu}{}^\kappa = 0$, we have trace $(F_\lambda^\mu) = \text{constant}$.*

By (0.2) the proper values of the matrix (F_{λ}^{μ}) are ± 1 , and Lemma 1 shows that the multiplicities of the proper values ± 1 are constant. If we denote the multiplicity of $+1$ by p , it follows that $F_{\lambda}^{\lambda} = p - (n-p) = 2p - n$.

Let v_i and v_a be the proper vectors of (F_{λ}^{μ}) corresponding to $+1$ and -1 respectively. We can choose them such that they constitute an orthogonal ennuple v_A which will be called adapted to F_{λ}^{μ} . Putting $F_{\lambda\mu} = \sum_{A,B} a_{AB} v_{A\lambda} v_{B\mu}$ and taking account of

$$(2.2) \quad F_{\lambda}^{\mu} v_i^{\lambda} = v_i^{\mu}, \quad F_{\lambda}^{\mu} v_a^{\lambda} = -v_a^{\mu},$$

we get

$$(2.3) \quad F_{\lambda\mu} = \sum_i v_{i\lambda} v_{i\mu} - \sum_a v_{a\lambda} v_{a\mu}.$$

If we substitute (2.3) into (2.1) and take account of (2.2) and (1.1), it follows after long computations that

$$\sum_{a,i,j} (\tau_{aij} - \tau_{aji}) v_{a\omega} v_{i\lambda} v_{j\mu} + \sum_{i,a,b} (\tau_{iab} - \tau_{iba}) v_{i\omega} v_{a\lambda} v_{b\mu} = 0$$

hold good by virtue of (1.2). Thus we obtain

LEMMA 2. $N(F)_{\lambda\mu}{}^{\kappa} = 0$ if and only if

$$(2.4) \quad \tau_{aij} = \tau_{aji}, \quad \tau_{iab} = \tau_{iba}.$$

As each of (2.4) is the condition for the distribution of the vectors v_i or v_a to be integrable, Lemma 2 states a well known theorem about the Nijenhuis tensor for the case of the almost product structure, [2].

Next, we shall consider $\nabla^{\lambda} F_{\lambda\mu}$. By (2.3) we have

$$\nabla_{\nu} F_{\lambda\mu} = \sum_i (\nabla_{\nu} v_{i\lambda} v_{i\mu} + v_{i\lambda} \nabla_{\nu} v_{i\mu}) - \sum_a (\nabla_{\nu} v_{a\lambda} v_{a\mu} + v_{a\lambda} \nabla_{\nu} v_{a\mu}).$$

If we take account of

$$g^{\nu\lambda} \nabla_{\nu} v_{B\lambda} = \sum_C \tau_{BCC},$$

$$g^{\nu\lambda} v_{B\lambda} \nabla_{\nu} v_{B\mu} = - \sum_C \tau_{CBB} v_C,$$

it follows that

$$\nabla^{\lambda} F_{\lambda\mu} = 2 \left(\sum_{i,a} \tau_{iaa} v_{i\mu} - \sum_{i,a} \tau_{aia} v_{a\mu} \right).$$

Thus we have

LEMMA 3. $\nabla^{\lambda} F_{\lambda\mu} = 0$ if and only if

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_a \tau_{iaa} = 0, \quad \sum_i \tau_{aia} = 0$$

for an adapted v_A .

Now let consider the following proposition:

D'. There exists an almost product structure F_{μ}^{λ} satisfying

$$N(F)_{\lambda\mu}{}^{\kappa} = 0, \quad \nabla^{\lambda} F_{\lambda\mu} = 0,$$

$$F_{\lambda}^{\lambda} = 2p - n, \quad 0 < p < n.$$

By the above arguments and Theorem in §1 we know that Proposition **D'** implies **B'** and **C'**.

Conversely, suppose that Proposition **B'** is valid. Then we define $F_{\lambda\mu}$ by (2.3) for an orthogonal ennuple v_a in Theorem of §1. By Lemma 2 and 3, the $F_{\lambda\mu}$ satisfies the conditions of Proposition **D'** because of (1.7) and (1.8).

Consequently we have proved the following

THEOREM. *Proposition **B'**, **C'** and **D'** are equivalent to one another.*

C.J. Hsu has given an example in which **D'** holds good for $p=1$, [2, Remark 3.4].

Bibliography

- [1] Eisenhart, L.P.: Riemannian geometry, Princeton 1966.
- [2] Hsu, C.J.: Remarks on certain almost product spaces, Pacific J., 14 (1964), 163-176.
- [3] Mogi, I.: On harmonic fields in Riemannian manifold, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep., 2 (1950), 61-66.
- [4] Tachibana, S.: Some theorems on locally product Riemannian spaces, Tôhoku Math. J., 12 (1960), 281-293.
- [5] Tachibana, S.: On harmonic simple forms, to appear.
- [6] Tachibana, S.: On the mean curvature for p -plane, to appear.
- [7] Thomas, T.Y.: The decomposition of Riemann spaces in the large, Monat. Math. und Phy., 47 (1939), 388-418.
- [8] Wong, Y.C.: A note on complementary subspaces in a riemannian space, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 49 (1943), 120-125.