# On a Separation Property of a Function Algebra

#### Kiyoko Nishizawa

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science & Technology, Sophia University, Tokyo (Received September 10, 1971)

## § 1. Introduction

In this paper we shall consider a separation property of a function algebra which is obtained in studying unit-boundaries of a function algebra. [2]\*, [3]

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and C(X) the Banach algebra of all complex valued continuous functions on X with the sup-norm. We consider a function algebra A on X, that is, A is a closed subalgebra of C(X) which separates the points of X and contains the constant functions.

Throughout this paper, let M(A) be the maximal ideal space,  $\Gamma(A)$  the Silov boundary and Cho(A) the Choquet boundary, of A, respectively. Further, let, for any set S, f(S) be the set  $\{f(x) : x \in S\}$ .

DEFINITION. A closed subset F of M(A) is called a unit-boundary of A iff F satisfies the following condition; for any function f in A which does not vanish of F, there is a function g in A with  $f \cdot g = 1$ .

The above definition is written equivalently as follows;

A unit-boundary F is a closed subset of M(A) with f(F) = f(M(A)).

In the paper [3], we have obtained the necessary & sufficient condition for F to be identical with the Silov boundary.

DEFINITION. A function algebra A satisfied the condition (\*) on a closed subset S in M(A) iff for any closed proper subset K in S there is a function f in A and a point x in S-K such that f(x)=0 and  $(Re\ f)$  (y)>0 (or <0) for all y in K.

A function algebra A satisfies the condition (\*\*) on a closed subset S in M(A) iff for any closed proper subset K in S and any positive number  $\varepsilon$ , there is a function h in A and a point x in S-K such that h(x)=1 and  $|h(y)| < \varepsilon$  on K.

Presented by S. Kametani.

<sup>\*</sup> The number in bracket refers to the paper in the reference.

By the lemma in [3], we know that for a closed subset S in M(A)the condition (\*) is equivalent to the condition (\*\*).

### On a separation property of A

The following definition we owe to D.R. Wilken [5].

DEFINITION. A function algebra A is said to be "approximately regular on X" iff, for each point p in X and each closed set K in X not containing p and for any positive number  $\varepsilon$ , there is a function f in A such that f(p)=1 and  $|f(y)| < \varepsilon$  on K. A is said to be "approximately normal on X" iff, for any two disjoint closed subset  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$  in X and any positive number  $\varepsilon$ , there is a function f in A such that  $|f(x)-1| < \varepsilon$  on  $K_1$  and  $|f(y)| < \varepsilon$  on  $K_2$ .

It is evident that if A is approximately normal, then A is approximately regular, and if A is approximately regular, then A satisfies the condition (\*\*).

In general the condition (\*\*) is weaker than "approximately regular".

In the following we shall construct an example for this.

Let  $X = \{z : |z| \le 1\}$ ,  $T = \{z : |z| = 1\}$ , EXAMPLE.  $\widetilde{A} = \{f \in C(X) : \text{ for the restriction of } f \text{ to } T, \text{ there is a } f \in C(X) : f \in C(X) :$ founction  $\tilde{f}$  which is analytic in X' (the interior of X) and  $f(0) = \tilde{f}(0)$ .

Then  $\widetilde{A}$  is a function algebra on X and its Silov boundary is X. By the proposition in [3], we know that  $\tilde{A}$  has the condition (\*) on X.

On the other hand  $\widetilde{A}$  in not approximately regular. In fact for the point 0, closed set T and any positive number  $\varepsilon(<1)$ , there is no function f in  $\tilde{A}$  such that f(0)=1 and  $|f(y)|<\varepsilon$  on T by the maximum modulus principle.

It is interesting that the condition (\*) and (\*\*) do not possess the property that if A satisfies the condition (\*) (or \*\*) on a closed subset of S again. On the other hand the concept of "approximately regular" and "approximately normal" has this property.

To show this we shall make use of the above example.

We know already that  $\tilde{A}$  satisfies the condition (\*) on X. But on the closed subset  $T\setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\widetilde{A}$  does not satisfies the condition (\*).

In fact if A satisfied the condition (\*) on  $T \cup \{0\}$ , then for the closed subset T of  $T\setminus \{0\}$  and any positive number  $\varepsilon$ , there would be a function f in A such that f(0)=1 and  $|f(y)| < \varepsilon$  on T. This contradicts the maximum modulus principle.

Now we try to strengthen the condition (\*\*).

At first we exchange the condition (\*\*) for the following condition (\*\*)'; if A satisfies the condition (\*\*) on S, then on any closed subset K in S A satisfies again the condition (\*\*).

We can prove easily that the condition (\*\*)' is equivalent to "approximately regular".

Therefore the stronger concept "(\*\*)' " is not interesting.

Now we define the condition (\*\*\*) as follows, which is stronger than (\*\*) and weaker than "approximately regular" and is equivalent on M(A) to "approximately regular in a weak sense\*" and "approximately normal in a weak sense\*".

DEFINITION. A function algebra A satisfies the condition (\*\*\*) on a closed subset S of M(A) iff A satisfies the condition (\*\*) on S and further on any closed subset K of S having no isolated points with respect to the relative topology of K, A satisfies the condition (\*\*) on K again i.e. for any proper closed subset J of K and positive number  $\varepsilon$ , there is a function f in A and a point x in K-J such that f(x)=1 and  $|f(x)| < \varepsilon$  on J.

To show that in general the condition (\*\*\*) is weaker than approximately regular, we shall make use of the above example.

Already we know that the function algebra  $\widetilde{A}$  in the example is not approximately regular and satisfies the condition (\*\*) on X. Then we shall show that  $\widetilde{A}$  satisfies the condition (\*\*\*) on X.

Let K be any closed subset which has no isolated points with relative topology of K. Then for any x in K and an open nghd U of x, the set  $U \cap K - \{x, 0\}$  is not empty. By the construction of  $\widetilde{A}$ , any point except 0 in X is a peak point of  $\widetilde{A}$ .

Let x' be a point of  $U \cap K - \{x, 0\}$ . Then the point x' is a peak point of  $\widetilde{A}$  i.e. there is a function f in  $\widetilde{A}$  such that f(x') = 1 and |f(y)| < 1 for any  $y(\neq x)$ .

Hence A satisfies the condition (\*\*\*).

In spite of the weakness of the condition (\*\*\*), on the maximal ideal sace this concept is equivalent to approximate normality and approximate regularity.

THEOREM. If a function algebra A satisfies the condition (\*\*\*) on M(A), then A is approximately normal.

In otherwords, on M(A) the following three concepts are equivalent;

- (a) A satisfies the condition (\*\*\*),
- (b) A is approximately regular in a weak sense,
- (c) A is approximately normal in a weak sense.

<sup>\*</sup> The definition of "approximate regularity in a weak sense" and "approximate normality in a weak sense" is written after the references of this paper.

PROOF. It is sufficient to show that (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (c).

Let  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$  be disjoint closed subsets in M(A) having at most finite isolated points, and  $A_0$  the uniform closure of the restriction of A to  $K_1 \bigcup K_2$  in  $C(K_1 \bigcup K_2)$ .

Then  $A_0$  is a function algebra on  $K_1 \bigcup K_2$ .

It is well known that the maximal ideal space of  $A_0$  is the set  $\{x \in M(A): |f(x)| \le ||f||_{K_1 \cup K_2} \text{ for any } f \text{ in } A\}.$ 

Hence the set  $K_1 \cup K_2$  is contained in  $M(A_0)$ .

Now if  $M(A_0)$  has isolated points  $\{x_\alpha: \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}\}$ , then by the Silov's theorem [4], there is a function  $f_\alpha$  in  $A_0$  such that  $f_\alpha(x_\alpha) = 1$  and  $f_\alpha(y) = 0$  for y in  $M(A_0) - \{x_\alpha\}$ , whence we have  $\{x_\alpha: \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}\} \subset K_1 \cup K_2$ . Therefore the set  $\{x_\alpha\}$  is a finite set.

Let  $M'=M(A_0)-\{x_\alpha:\alpha\in\mathfrak{A}\},\ K_1'=K_1-\{x_\alpha:\alpha\in\mathfrak{A}\}$  and  $K_2'=K_2-\{x_\alpha:\alpha\in\mathfrak{A}\}.$ 

Then M' has no isolated points. If  $M'-K_1' \cup K_2'$  (= $M(A_0)-K_1 \cup K_2$ ) were not empty, then by the condition (\*\*\*) there would be a point x in  $M'-K_1' \cup K_2'$  and a function f in A for any positive number  $\varepsilon(<1/3)$  such that f(x)=1 and  $|f(y)|<\varepsilon$  on  $K_1' \cup K_2'$ . On the other hand, there is a function g in  $A_0$  such that  $g(x_\alpha)=f(x_\alpha)$  for  $\alpha\in\mathfrak{A}$  and g(y)=0 on M' by the Silov's theorem.

There is a function h in A such that  $||g-h||_{K_1\cup K_2}<\varepsilon$ , as  $A_0$  is the closure of  $A|K_1\bigcup K_2$ .\*

The function f-h is in A and  $|f(x_{\alpha})-h(x_{\alpha})|<\varepsilon$  for  $\alpha\in\mathfrak{A}$  and  $|f(y)-h(y)|<2\varepsilon$  on  $K_1'\cup K_2'$ .

Therefore  $||f-h||_{K_1\cup K_2} < 2\varepsilon$ .

But  $|f(x)-h(x)| = |1-h(x)| > 1-\varepsilon$ .

The existence of the function f-h contradicts the construction of  $M(A_0)$ . Hence  $M(A_0)=K_1\bigcup K_2$ .

Again applying the Silov's theorem [4], there is a function f' in  $A_0$  such that f'(x) = 0 on  $K_1$  and f'(y) = 1 on  $K_2$ .

There is a function g' in A such that  $|g'(x)| = \varepsilon$  on  $K_1$  and  $|g'(y) - 1| < \varepsilon$  on  $K_2$  by the closedness of  $A_0$ .

Thus we know that A is approximately normal in a weak sense on M(A).

PROFOSITION. A function algebra A satisfies the condition (\*\*\*) on a closed subset F in M(A) if and only if for any closed subset K of F having no isolated points with respect to the relative topology of K and for the closure  $A_K$  in C(K) of  $A \mid K$ , the Silov boundary of  $A_K$  is identical with K.

<sup>\*</sup> We shall denote  $A \mid S$  the restriction of A to the set S.

PROOF. If, for some K, the Silov boundary of  $A_K$  were contained properly in K, then for  $\Gamma(A_K)$  and any positive number  $\varepsilon$ , there would be a function f in A and some point x in  $K-\Gamma(A_K)$  such that f(x)=1 and  $|f(y)| < \varepsilon$  on  $\Gamma(A_K)$  since A satisfies the condition (\*\*\*) on F.

Then existence of the function f contradicts that  $\Gamma(A_K)$  is the Silov boundary of  $A_K$ .

Then, for any closed subset K,  $\Gamma(A_K) = K$ .

Conversely we assume that  $\Gamma(A_K)$  is equivalent to any closed subset K. For any point x in K and open  $nbhd\ U$  of x in K with respect to the relative topology, there is a point x' in Choquet boundary and a  $nbhd\ V$  of x' with  $U\supset V$ . For x', V and any positive number  $\varepsilon(<1)$ , there is a function g in  $A_K$  and a point  $x_0$  in V such that  $||g|| \le 1$ ,  $|g(x_0)| = 1$  and  $|g(y)| < \varepsilon/3$  on K-V. Since  $A_K$  is the closure of  $A \mid K$ , there is a function f in A such that  $||g-f||_K < \varepsilon/3$ . Let h be  $f/f(x_0)$ . Then h is in A and  $h(x_0) = 1$  and  $|h(y)| < \varepsilon$  on K-V.

Therefore A satisfies the condition (\*\*\*) on F.

COROLLARY 1. If a function algebra A is maximal on the maximal ideal space M(A), then A is approximately normal in a weak sense on M(A).

PROOF. If A is maximal, then the Silov boundary is equivalent to M(A). Hence A satisfies the condition (\*\*) on M(A).

If A did not satisfy the condition (\*\*) on some closed subset K having no isolated point, then there would be a proper subset F such that for any f in A and any point x in K,  $|f(x)| \leq ||f||_F$ .

Let A be a uniform closure of  $A \mid F$ . Then  $M(A_F)$  is the set  $\{x \in M(A) : |f(x)| \leq |f|_F \text{ for all } f \text{ in } A\}$  and  $A_F$  is also maximal on  $M(A_F)$  and on F by the maximalty of A.

Hence  $\Gamma(A_K) = F = M(A_F)$ .

On the other hand  $F \subseteq K \subset M(A_F)$  by the choice of F.

This contradiction shows us that A must satisfy the condition (\*\*\*) on M(A). Applying the theorem in § 2, A is approximately normal in a weak sense on M(A).

#### References

- [1] E. Bishop & K. de Leeuw: The representation of linear functionals by measures on sets of extreme points, Ann. Inst. Fourier (grenoble) 9 (1959), 305-331.
- [2] K. Nishizawa: On a unit-boundary of a function algebra, Natural Science Report, Ochanomizu Univ. Vol. 22, no. 1 (1971).
- [3] K. Nishizawa: On a unit-boundary of a function algebra II, Natural Science Report, vol. 22, no. 2 (1972).
- [4] G. Silov: On decomposition of a commutative normed ring in a direct sum of ideals, Math. Sobornik 32 (1954), 37-48.

[5] D.R. Wilken: Approximate normality and function algebras on the interval and the circle. Proc. international Symposium on Function Algebra. Tulane Univ. 1965. Scott-Foresman (1966), 98-111.

132

\* Definition. A function algebra A is said to be "approximately regular in a weak sense on X" iff, for each point p in X and each closed subset K in X having at most finite isolated points and for any positive number  $\varepsilon$ , there is a function f in A such that |f(p)|=1 and  $|f(y)|<\varepsilon$  on K. A is said to be "approximately normal in a weak sense on X" iff, for any two disjoint closed subsets  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$  in X having at most finite isolated points and for any positive number  $\varepsilon$ , there is a function f in A such that  $|f(x)-1|<\varepsilon$  on  $K_1$  and  $|f(y)|<\varepsilon$  on  $K_2$ .

## — Postscript —

We can take away the assumption "in a weak sense" in the theorem. i.e. we get the sharp result as follows;

Theorem. Let A be a function algebra on M(A) satisfying the following condition; for any connected closed subset K of M(A) the Silov-boundary  $\Gamma(A_K) = K$ . Then A is approximately normal on M(A). And if A is approximately normal on M(A), then A satisfies the above condition.

[Natural Science Report, Ochanomizu Univ., Vol. 23, 1972]