

# ESTIMATES OF THE BESOV NORMS ON FRACTAL BOUNDARY BY VOLUME INTEGRALS

HISAKO WATANABE

Graduate School of Humanities and Sciences, Ochanomizu University  
Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 112-8610, Japan  
(Received August 30)

ABSTRACT. Consider a bounded domain  $D$  with fractal boundary in  $\mathbf{R}^d$  such that  $\partial D$  is a  $\beta$ -set ( $d-1 \leq \beta < d$ ). Under an additional condition we give the norms defined by the volume integrals "equivalent" to the  $L^p$ -norm and the Besov norms on the fractal boundary, respectively.

## 1. Introduction.

Let  $D$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbf{R}^d$  and assume that  $\partial D$  is a  $\beta$ -set ( $d-1 \leq \beta < d$ ), i.e., there exist a positive Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $\partial D$  and positive real numbers  $b_1, b_2, r_0$  such that

$$(1.1) \quad b_1 r^\beta \leq \mu(B(z, r) \cap \partial D) \leq b_2 r^\beta$$

for all points  $z \in \partial D$  and all positive real numbers  $r \leq r_0$ , where  $B(z, r)$  stands for the open ball in  $\mathbf{R}^d$  with center  $z$  and radius  $r$ . Such a measure  $\mu$  is called a  $\beta$ -measure.

We give examples.

1. If  $D$  is a bounded Lipschitz domain in  $\mathbf{R}^d$ , then  $\partial D$  is a  $(d-1)$ -set and the surface measure is a  $(d-1)$ -measure.

2. If  $\partial D$  consists of a finite number of self-similar sets, which satisfies the open set condition, and whose similarity dimensions are  $\beta$ , then  $\partial D$  is a  $\beta$ -set and the  $\beta$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure restricted to  $\partial D$  is a  $\beta$ -measure (cf. [H]).

It is well-known that a  $\beta$ -measure on  $\partial D$  is equivalent to the  $\beta$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure restricted to  $\partial D$  (cf. [JW1], [JW2]).

We fix a  $\beta$ -measure  $\mu$  on  $\partial D$ .

It is natural that we consider the  $L^p(\mu)$  as a function space on the boundary of  $D$ . But we often need consider spaces with more strong norms than the  $L^p$ -norm because of the fractal boundary of  $D$ . One of such spaces is a Besov space. In general, let  $F$  be a closed  $\beta$ -set in  $\mathbf{R}^d$  and  $\mu$  be a  $\beta$ -measure on  $F$ . Let  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ . We define a Besov space  $\Lambda_\alpha^p(F)$  by the Banach space of all function  $f \in L^p(\mu)$  such that

$$\iint \frac{|f(x) - f(z)|^p}{|x - z|^{\beta + p\alpha}} d\mu(x) d\mu(z) < \infty$$

with norm

$$\|f\|_{\alpha, p} = \left( \int |f(x)|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{1/p} + \left( \iint \frac{|f(x) - f(z)|^p}{|x - z|^{\beta + p\alpha}} d\mu(x) d\mu(z) \right)^{1/p}$$

Especially, since  $\mathbf{R}^d$  is a  $d$ -set,  $L^p_\alpha(\mathbf{R}^d)$  is the space of all  $L^p$ -functions  $f$  with respect to the  $d$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure, such that

$$\iint \frac{|f(x) - f(z)|^p}{|x - z|^{d+p\alpha}} dx dz < \infty.$$

For such a domain  $D$  volume integrals are more easy to deal with than integrals on  $\partial D$ , if  $f$  is defined on  $\overline{D}$ . It seems that the existence of a norm defined by a volume integral "equivalent" to the  $L^p$ -norm or the Besov norm on the fractal boundary is useful for us to prove that operators are bounded on  $L^p(\mu)$  or  $L^p_\alpha(\partial D)$ .

A. Jonsson and H. Wallin proved in [JW1] that there exists a continuous extension operator from  $L^p_\alpha(\partial D)$  to  $L^p_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^d)$  and that the restriction operator from  $L^p_\gamma(\mathbf{R}^d)$  to  $L^p_\alpha(\partial D)$  is continuous, where  $\gamma = \alpha + (d - \beta)/p$ .

But, what type of a volume integral in  $D$  is equivalent to the  $L^p$ -norm or the Besov norm on the boundary? In this paper we consider this problem.

Hereafter we suppose that  $\overline{D} \subset B(0, R/2)$  with  $R \geq 1$ . We may assume that (1.1) holds for all points  $z \in \partial D$  and all positive real numbers  $r \leq 3R$ .

To consider the above problem, we need add a condition to  $D$ . We say that a set  $G$  satisfies the condition (b) if there exist positive real numbers  $c$  and  $r_1 > 0$  such that

$$(1.2) \quad |B(z, r) \cap G| \geq cr^d$$

for each point  $z \in \partial G$  and each positive real number  $r \leq r_1$ , where  $|A|$  stands for the  $d$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure of a set  $A$ .

If  $D$  satisfies the condition (b) and  $r_1 < 3R$ , then, for each  $r$  satisfying  $r_1 < r \leq 3R$  and  $z \in \partial D$ , we have

$$|B(z, r) \cap D| \geq |B(z, r_1) \cap D| \geq cr_1^d \geq c\left(\frac{r_1}{3R}\right)^d r^d.$$

Consequently, if  $D$  satisfies the condition (b), then (1.2) holds for every  $r \leq 3R$  by replacing with another constant  $c$ .

In [W, Lemma 2.1] we proved the following lemma, which is fundamental. Lemma 2.1 in [W] was shown under more strong condition, but, to prove the lemma, it suffices to assume the condition (b).

LEMMA A. *Suppose that  $D$  is a bounded domain such that  $\partial D$  is a  $\beta$ -set ( $d - 1 \leq \beta < d$ ) and satisfies the condition (b). Let  $0 < \epsilon \leq r \leq 3R$  and  $z \in \partial D$ . Denote by  $\delta(y)$  the distance from  $y$  to  $\partial D$ . Then there exist positive numbers  $s_1, s_2$  such that*

$$s_1 r^\beta \epsilon^{d-\beta} \leq \int_{\{\delta(y) < \epsilon\} \cap B(z, r) \cap D} dy \leq s_2 r^\beta \epsilon^{d-\beta},$$

where  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  are independent of  $r, \epsilon$  and  $z$ .

We fix such numbers  $s_1$  and  $s_2$ . We may assume that  $s_1 \leq 1$  and  $s_2 \geq 1$ .

In this paper we shall prove the following two theorems in §3.

THEOREM 1. *Let  $D$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbf{R}^d$  such that  $\partial D$  is a  $\beta$ -set ( $d - 1 \leq \beta < d$ ) and satisfies the condition (b). Let  $a > 0$  and put*

$$A_t = \left\{ y \in D; \frac{at}{2} \left( \frac{s_1}{s_2} \right)^{1/(d-\beta)} \leq \delta(y) < at \right\},$$

where  $s_1, s_2$  are constant in Lemma A. If  $f$  is a nonnegative continuous function on  $\overline{D}$ , then

$$(1.3) \quad c_1 \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\beta-d} \int_{A_t} f(y) dy \leq \int_{\partial D} f(z) d\mu(z) \leq c_2 \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{\beta-d} \int_{A_t} f(y) dy,$$

where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are constants independent of  $f$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose that  $D$  satisfies the same conditions as in Theorem 1. Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $p - p\alpha - d + \beta > 0$  and  $\alpha + (d - \beta)/p < \lambda < 1$ . Further let  $a > 0$ . If  $f$  is  $\lambda$ -Hölder continuous on  $\overline{D}$ , then*

$$(1.4) \quad c_1 \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{A_t} \int_{A_t} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{d+p\alpha+d-\beta}} dx dy \\ \leq \int_{\partial D} \int_{\partial D} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{\beta+p\alpha}} d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \leq c_2 \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_{A_t} \int_{A_t} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{d+p\alpha+d-\beta}} dx dy,$$

where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are constants independent of  $f$ .

## 2. Lemmas

Hereafter we assume that  $D$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbf{R}^d$  such that  $\partial D$  is a  $\beta$ -set satisfying  $d - 1 \leq \beta < d$  and  $\overline{D} \subset B(0, R/2)$  ( $R \geq 1$ ). Furthermore assume that  $D$  satisfies the condition (b).

In this section we prepare several lemmas to prove Theorems 1 and 2.

The following lemma is an easy consequence of the property (1.1).

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Let  $\lambda > 0$  and  $z \in \partial D$ . Further, let  $b_1, b_2$  are positive real numbers in (1.1).*

(i) *If  $\beta < \lambda$  and  $a > 0$ , then*

$$\int_{\partial D \cap \{a \leq |x-z|\}} |x-z|^{-\lambda} d\mu(x) \leq b_2 \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - \beta} a^{\beta-\lambda}.$$

(ii) *If  $\beta > \lambda > 0$  and  $0 < b \leq R$ , then*

$$\int_{\partial D \cap \{|x-z| \leq b\}} |x-z|^{-\lambda} d\mu(x) \leq b_2 \frac{\lambda}{\beta - \lambda} b^{\beta-\lambda}.$$

**PROOF.** (i) By (1.1) we have

$$\int_{\partial D \cap \{a < |z-x|\}} |z-x|^{-\lambda} d\mu(x) \\ \leq \int_0^{a^{-\lambda}} \mu(\{x \in \partial D; |z-x|^{-\lambda} > t\}) dt = \int_0^{a^{-\lambda}} \mu(B(z, t^{-1/\lambda}) \cap \partial D) dt \\ \leq b_2 \int_0^{a^{-\lambda}} t^{-\beta/\lambda} dt = \frac{b_2 \lambda}{\lambda - \beta} a^{\beta-\lambda},$$

which shows (i).

(ii) This is shown by the same method as (i). □

**LEMMA 2.2.** *There exists a positive real number  $s$  such that  $sr^d \leq |B(x, r) \cap D|$  for each  $x \in D$  and each positive number  $r \leq R$ .*

PROOF. If  $r > (5/4)\delta(x)$ , then we take  $x' \in \partial D$  satisfying  $|x - x'| = \delta(x)$ . Since  $B(x', (1/4)r) \cap D \subset B(x, r) \cap D$ , the condition (b) yields that there is  $s > 0$  satisfying

$$s\left(\frac{1}{4}r\right)^d \leq |B(x', \frac{1}{4}r) \cap D|,$$

whence  $s(1/4)^d r^d \leq |B(x, r) \cap D|$ .

If  $\delta(x) \leq r < (5/4)\delta(x)$ , then  $B(x, (4/5)r) \subset D$  and hence  $\omega_d(4/5)^d r^d \leq |B(x, r) \cap D|$ , where  $\omega_d$  is the surface measure of the unit ball.

Finally if  $r < \delta(x)$ , then  $B(x, r) \subset D$  and hence  $\omega_d r^d \leq |B(x, r) \cap D|$ . Thus we have the conclusion.  $\square$

We fix positive real numbers  $b_3, b_4$  satisfying

$$(2.1) \quad s_3 r^d \leq |B(x, r) \cap D| \leq s_4 r^d$$

for all  $x \in \overline{D}$  and for all  $0 < r \leq R$ .

Using Lemma 2.2, we can easily show the following lemma by the same method as in the proof of Lemma 2.1.

LEMMA 2.3. Let  $\lambda > 0$  and  $z \in \overline{D}$ . Further let  $s_4$  be the positive real number in (2.1).

(i) If  $\lambda > d$  and  $R \geq a > 0$ , then

$$\int_{D \cap \{|x-y| \geq a\}} |x-y|^{-\lambda} dy \leq s_4 \frac{\lambda}{\lambda-d} a^{d-\lambda}.$$

(ii) If  $d > \lambda$  and  $0 < b \leq R$ , then

$$\int_{D \cap \{|x-y| \leq b\}} |x-y|^{-\lambda} dy \leq s_4 \frac{\lambda}{d-\lambda} b^{d-\lambda}.$$

Fix a  $C^\infty$ -function  $\phi$  on  $\mathbf{R}^d$  such that

$$\phi = 1 \text{ on } \overline{B(0, 1/2)}, \quad 0 \leq \phi \leq 1, \quad \text{supp } \phi \subset B(0, 1), \quad \phi(x) = \phi(-x)$$

and define, for  $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$  and  $r > 0$ ,

$$h_{x,r}(y) = \phi\left(\frac{y-x}{r}\right).$$

Note that  $h_{x,r} \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$ ,  $h_{x,r} = 1$  on  $\overline{B(x, r/2)}$  and  $\text{supp } h_{x,r} \subset B(x, r)$ . Furthermore,  $|\nabla h_{x,r}| \leq c/r$ , where  $c$  is a constant independent of  $x, r$ .

LEMMA 2.4. Let  $x_0, y_0 \in \partial D$ ,  $x_0 \neq y_0$ ,  $0 < 4r < |x_0 - y_0|$  and  $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ . Assume that  $p - p\alpha - d + \beta > 0$ . Then

$$(2.2) \quad \iint \frac{|a(h_{x_0,r}(x) - h_{x_0,r}(y)) + b(h_{y_0,r}(x) - h_{y_0,r}(y))|^p}{|x-y|^{\beta+p\alpha}} d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \leq c(|a|^p + |b|^p)r^{\beta-p\alpha}$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad \int_D \int_D \frac{|a(h_{x_0,r}(x) - h_{x_0,r}(y)) + b(h_{y_0,r}(x) - h_{y_0,r}(y))|^p}{|x-y|^{d+p\alpha+d-\beta}} dx dy \leq c(|a|^p + |b|^p)r^{\beta-p\alpha}$$

PROOF. To show (2.2) we write

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint \frac{|a(h_{x_0,r}(x) - h_{x_0,r}(y)) + b(h_{y_0,r}(x) - h_{y_0,r}(y))|^p}{|x - y|^{\beta+p\alpha}} d\mu(x)d\mu(y) \\ & \leq 2^p \iint \frac{|a(h_{x_0,r}(x) - h_{x_0,r}(y))|^p}{|x - y|^{\beta+p\alpha}} d\mu(x)d\mu(y) \\ & + 2^p \iint \frac{|b(h_{y_0,r}(x) - h_{y_0,r}(y))|^p}{|x - y|^{\beta+p\alpha}} d\mu(x)d\mu(y) \equiv I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by Lemma 2.1, (ii) and (i),

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{|x-y|<3r} \frac{|a(h_{x_0,r}(x) - h_{x_0,r}(y))|^p}{|x - y|^{\beta+p\alpha}} d\mu(x)d\mu(y) \\ & \leq c_1 |a|^p r^{-p} \int_{|x-x_0|<4r} d\mu(x) \int_{|x-y|<3r} |x - y|^{-\beta-p\alpha+p} d\mu(y) \\ & \leq c_2 |a|^p r^{-p} (3r)^{p-p\alpha} (4r)^\beta = c_3 |a|^p r^{\beta-p\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{|x-y|\geq 3r} \frac{|a(h_{x_0,r}(x) - h_{x_0,r}(y))|^p}{|x - y|^{\beta+p\alpha}} d\mu(x)d\mu(y) \\ & \leq c_4 |a|^p \int_{|x-x_0|<r} d\mu(x) \int_{|x-y|\geq 3r} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{\beta+p\alpha}} d\mu(y) \\ & + c_4 |a|^p \int_{|y-x_0|<r} d\mu(y) \int_{|x-y|\geq 3r} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{\beta+p\alpha}} d\mu(x) \\ & \leq c_5 |a|^p (3r)^{-p\alpha} r^\beta = c_6 |a|^p r^{\beta-p\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

From these we deduce

$$I_1 \leq c_7 |a|^p r^{\beta-p\alpha}.$$

Similarly we also have

$$I_2 \leq c_8 |b|^p r^{\beta-p\alpha}.$$

Thus we have (2.2).

We next prove (2.3). Noting that  $p - p\alpha - d + \beta > 0$  and using Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\{|x-y|<3r\} \cap (D \times D)} \frac{|a(h_{x_0,r}(x) - h_{x_0,r}(y))|^p}{|x - y|^{d+p\alpha+d-\beta}} dx dy \\ & \leq c_9 |a|^p r^{-p} \int_{\{|x-x_0|<4r\} \cap D} dx \int_{\{|x-y|<3r\} \cap D} |x - y|^{-d-p\alpha-d+\beta+p} dy \\ & \leq c_{10} |a|^p r^{-p} (3r)^{p-p\alpha-d+\beta} (4r)^d = c_{11} |a|^p r^{\beta-p\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{\{|x-y|\geq 3r\} \cap D} \frac{|a(h_{x_0,r}(x) - h_{x_0,r}(y))|^p}{|x - y|^{d+p\alpha+d-\beta}} dx dy \\ & \leq c_{12} |a|^p \int_{\{|x-x_0|<r\} \cap D} dx \int_{\{|x-y|\geq 3r\} \cap D} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{d+p\alpha+d-\beta}} dy \\ & + c_{12} |a|^p \int_{\{|y-x_0|<r\} \cap D} dy \int_{\{|x-y|\geq 3r\} \cap D} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{d+p\alpha+d-\beta}} dx \\ & \leq c_{13} |a|^p (3r)^{-p\alpha-d+\beta} r^d = c_{14} |a|^p r^{\beta-p\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have (2.3). □

LEMMA 2.5. *Let  $0 < r \leq a \leq R$  and  $z \in \partial D$ . Set*

$$F_r = \left\{ y \in D; \frac{r}{2} \left( \frac{s_1}{s_2} \right)^{1/(d-\beta)} \leq \delta(y) < r \right\}.$$

Then

$$|B(z, a) \cap F_r| \geq s_1(1 - 2^{\beta-d})a^\beta r^{d-\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad |B(z, a) \cap F_r| \leq s_2 \left(1 - \left(\frac{s_1}{s_2}\right)^2 2^{\beta-d}\right) a^\beta r^{d-\beta}.$$

PROOF. With the aid of Lemma A we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |B(z, a) \cap F_r| \\ &= |B(z, a) \cap \{y \in D; \delta(y) < r\}| - |B(z, a) \cap \{y \in D; \delta(y) < \frac{r}{2} \left(\frac{s_1}{s_2}\right)^{1/(d-\beta)}\}| \\ &\geq s_1 a^\beta r^{d-\beta} - s_1 a^\beta 2^{\beta-d} r^{d-\beta} = s_1(1 - 2^{\beta-d})a^\beta r^{d-\beta} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|B(z, a) \cap F_r| \leq s_2 a^\beta r^{d-\beta} - 2^{\beta-d} s_1^2 s_2^{-1} a^\beta r^{d-\beta} = s_2 \left(1 - \left(\frac{s_1}{s_2}\right)^2 2^{\beta-d}\right) a^\beta r^{d-\beta}.$$

Thus we have the conclusion. □

We denote by  $\text{diam } D$  the diameter of the set  $D$  and set

$$(2.4) \quad t_1 = \max\left\{1, \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{2b_2}{b_1}\right)^{1/\beta}, \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{2(2^{d-\beta} s_2^2 - s_1^2)}{s_1 s_2 (2^{d-\beta} - 1)}\right)^{1/\beta}\right\},$$

where  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$  and  $s_1$ ,  $s_2$  are constants in (1.1) and Lemma A, respectively. Then we have

LEMMA 2.6. *Let  $\text{diam } D/(4t_1) \geq r > 0$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $z, w \in \partial D$  and  $|z - w| \geq 4rt_1$ . Then*

$$(2.5) \quad \int_{F_r} \int_{F_r} \frac{|a\chi_{B(z,r)}(x) - b\chi_{B(w,r)}(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{d+p\alpha+d-\beta}} dx dy \geq cr^{\beta-p\alpha}.$$

(ii)

$$(2.6) \quad \int_{\partial D} \int_{\partial D} \frac{|a\chi_{B(z,r)}(x) - b\chi_{B(w,r)}(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{\beta+p\alpha}} d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \geq cr^{\beta-p\alpha}.$$

Here  $c$  is constants independent of  $z$  and  $r$ .

PROOF. (i) Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{F_r} dy \int_{F_r} \frac{|a\chi_{B(z,r)}(x) - b\chi_{B(w,r)}(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{d+p\alpha+d-\beta}} dx dy \\ &\geq |a|^p \int_{F_r} \chi_{B(z,r)}(x) dx \int_{F_r \cap \{|w-y|>r\}} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{d+p\alpha+d-\beta}} dy \\ &+ |b|^p \int_{F_r} \chi_{B(w,r)}(y) dy \int_{F_r \cap \{|z-x|>r\}} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{d+p\alpha+d-\beta}} dx \equiv I_{11} + I_{12}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x \in B(z, r)$  and  $2r < |z - y| < 3rt_1$  imply  $|w - y| > rt_1 \geq r$  and  $|x - y| < 3/2|z - y|$ , we have, by Lemma A,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{11} &\geq |a|^p \int_{F_r} \chi_{B(z,r)}(x) dx \int_{F_r \cap \{2r < |z-y| < 3rt_1\}} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{d+p\alpha+d-\beta} \frac{1}{|y-z|^{d+p\alpha+d-\beta}} dy \\ &\geq \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{d+p\alpha+d-\beta} (2r)^{-d-p\alpha-d+\beta} |B(z,r) \cap F_r| |F_r \cap (B(z, 3rt_1) \setminus B(z, 2r))|. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.5 yields

$$|B(z, r) \cap F_r| \geq s_1(1 - 2^{\beta-d})r^\beta r^{d-\beta}.$$

Noting that  $t_1$  is defined by (2.4), we have, by Lemma 2.5,

$$\begin{aligned} &|B(z, 3rt_1) \cap F_r| - |B(z, 2r) \cap F_r| \\ &\geq s_1(3rt_1)^\beta r^{d-\beta} (1 - 2^{\beta-d}) - (2r)^\beta r^{d-\beta} (s_2 - 2^{\beta-d} s_1^2 s_2^{-1}) \geq (s_2 - 2^{\beta-d} s_1^2 s_2^{-1}) 2^\beta r^d. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$I_{11} \geq c_1 |a|^p r^{\beta-p\alpha}.$$

The same estimate is obtained for  $I_{12}$ . Thus we see that (2.5) holds.

(ii) Similarly we write

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\partial D} \int_{\partial D} \frac{|a\chi_{B(z,r)}(x) - b\chi_{B(w,r)}(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{\beta+p\alpha}} d\mu(x) d\mu(y) \\ &\geq |a|^p \int_{\partial D} \chi_{B(z,r)}(x) d\mu(x) \int_{\partial D \cap \{|w-y| > r\}} |x-y|^{-\beta-p\alpha} d\mu(y) \\ &+ |b|^p \int_{\partial D} \chi_{B(w,r)}(y) d\mu(y) \int_{\partial D \cap \{|z-x| > r\}} |x-y|^{-\beta-p\alpha} d\mu(x) \equiv I_{21} + I_{22}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma A and Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{21} &\geq c_2 |a|^p \int_{\partial D} \chi_{B(z,r)}(x) d\mu(x) \int_{\partial D \cap \{2r < |z-y| < 3rt_1\}} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{\beta+p\alpha} |z-y|^{-\beta-p\alpha} d\mu(y) \\ &\geq c_3 |a|^p r^\beta (2r)^{-\beta-p\alpha} \mu(B(z, 3rt_1) \setminus B(z, 2r)). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\mu(B(z, 3rt_1) \setminus B(z, 2r)) \geq b_1(3rt_1)^\beta - b_2(2r)^\beta \geq b_2 2^\beta r^\beta,$$

we have

$$I_{21} \geq c_4 |a|^p r^{\beta-p\alpha}.$$

We also have the same estimate for  $I_{22}$ . Thus we have (2.6).  $\square$

### 3. Proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2

In this section we shall prove Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

**PROOF of THEOREM 1.** We first prove the second inequality of (1.3). Suppose that  $f$  is nonnegative and continuous on  $\overline{D}$ . Since  $f$  is uniformly continuous on  $\overline{D}$ , there is, for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , a positive real number  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|x - y| < \delta$  implies  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$ . We consider any positive real number  $t$  satisfying  $t < \delta/(10a)$ . Since

$$\partial D \cup \overline{A_t} \subset \cup_{z \in \partial D} B(z, at)$$

and  $\partial D \cup \overline{A_t}$  is compact, there is a subfamily of  $\{B(z, at)\}_{z \in \partial D}$  which covers  $\partial D \cup \overline{A_t}$  and consists of finitely many elements. Using Vitali's covering theorem, we can find,  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m \in \partial D$  such that  $\{B(z_j, at)\}_{j=1}^m$  is a subfamily of  $\{B(z, at)\}_{z \in \partial D}$  and  $\{B(z_j, at)\}_{j=1}^m$  are mutually disjoint and

$$\partial D \cup \overline{A_t} \subset \cup_{j=1}^m B(z_j, 5at).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial D} f(z) d\mu(z) &\leq \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{B(z_j, 5at) \cap \partial D} f(z) d\mu(z) \\ &\leq c_1 \sum_{j=1}^m \max\{f(z); z \in \overline{B(z_j, 5at)} \cap \partial D\} (5at)^\beta \\ &\leq c_2 t^{\beta-d} \sum_{j=1}^m (\min\{f(y); y \in \overline{B(z_j, at)} \cap \overline{A_t}\} + \epsilon) t^d. \end{aligned}$$

Since, by Lemma 2.5,

$$|B(z_j, at) \cap A_t| \geq c_3 t^d$$

and  $\{B(z_j, at)\}_{j=1}^m$  are mutually disjoint, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{\partial D} f(z) d\mu(z) \leq c_4 t^{\beta-d} \int_{A_t} (f(y) + \epsilon) dy.$$

On the other hand we have, by Lemma A,

$$\int_{A_t} dy \leq |B(z_0, R) \cap A_t| \leq c_5 t^{d-\beta} R^\beta,$$

where  $z_0$  is a fixed point on  $\partial D$ . This and (3.1) yield

$$\int_{\partial D} f(z) d\mu(z) \leq c_6 (t^{\beta-d} \int_{A_t} f(y) dy + \epsilon).$$

Thus we have the second inequality of (1.3).

We next prove the first inequality of (1.3). Using the above covering, we have, by (1.1),

$$\begin{aligned} t^{\beta-d} \int_{A_t} f(y) &\leq t^{\beta-d} \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{B(z_j, 5at) \cap A_t} f(y) dy \\ &\leq c_7 t^{\beta-d} \sum_{j=1}^m \max\{f(y); y \in \overline{B(z_j, 5at)} \cap \overline{A_t}\} (5at)^d \\ &\leq c_8 \sum_{j=1}^m (\min\{f(z); z \in \overline{B(z_j, at)} \cap \partial D\} + \epsilon) (at)^\beta \\ &\leq c_9 \int_{\partial D} (f(z) + \epsilon) d\mu(z) = c_9 \left( \int_{\partial D} f(z) d\mu(z) + \epsilon \mu(\partial D) \right). \end{aligned}$$

This leads the first inequality of (1.3). □

We next prove Theorem 2.



PROOF of THEOREM 2. Choose  $\eta > 0$  satisfying  $(d - \beta)/p + \alpha < \eta < \lambda$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $f$  is  $\lambda$ -Hölder continuous on  $\overline{D}$ , we can find  $t_0 > 0$  such that

$$|x - y| < t_0 \text{ implies } \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|^\eta} < \epsilon$$

and  $t_0 \leq \text{diam } D$ .

Consider any positive real number  $t$  satisfying  $t < t_0/(80at_1)$ , where  $t_1$  is the positive real number defined by (2.4). Put  $r = at$  and cover

$$\partial D \subset \cup_{z \in \partial D} B(z, r).$$

Using Vitali's covering theorem, we can find a countable subfamily  $\{B(z_j, r)\}$  of  $\{B(z, r)\}_{z \in \partial D}$  such that  $\{B(z_j, r)\}$  are mutually disjoint and

$$\partial D \subset \cup_j B(z_j, 5r).$$

Using the family, we define functions  $\{v_{i,j}\}$  on  $\mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}^d$  as follows. If  $B(z_i, 20rt_1) \cap B(z_j, 20rt_1) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $v_{i,j}(x, y) \equiv 0$ . If  $B(z_i, 20rt_1) \cap B(z_j, 20rt_1) = \emptyset$ , then we define

$$v_{i,j}(x, y) = f(z_i)(h_{z_i, 10r}(x) - h_{z_i, 10r}(y)) + f(z_j)(h_{z_j, 10r}(x) - h_{z_j, 10r}(y)).$$

Let  $(x, y) \in (B(z_i, 5r) \cap \overline{D}) \times (B(z_j, 5r) \cap \overline{D})$ . If  $B(z_i, 20rt_1) \cap B(z_j, 20rt_1) = \emptyset$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |v_{i,j}(x, y) - (f(x) - f(y))| &\leq |f(z_i)h_{z_i, 10r}(x) - f(x)| + |f(z_j)h_{z_j, 10r}(y) - f(y)| \\ &= |f(z_i) - f(x)| + |f(z_j) - f(y)| \\ &\leq \epsilon|z_i - x|^\eta + \epsilon|z_j - y|^\eta \leq 2\epsilon(5r)^\eta \leq 2\epsilon|x - y|^\eta. \end{aligned}$$

If  $B(z_i, 20rt_1) \cap B(z_j, 20rt_1) \neq \emptyset$ , then

$$|v_{i,j}(x, y) - (f(x) - f(y))| = |f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon|x - y|^\eta.$$

We also define functions  $\{w_{i,j}\}$  on  $\mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}^d$  as follows. If  $B(z_i, 2rt_1) \cap B(z_j, 2rt_1) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $w_{i,j}(x, y) \equiv 0$ . If  $B(z_i, 2rt_1) \cap B(z_j, 2rt_1) = \emptyset$ , we define

$$w_{i,j}(x, y) = f(z_i)\chi_{B(z_i, r)}(x) - f(z_j)\chi_{B(z_j, r)}(y).$$

Then we can also estimate

$$|w_{i,j}(x, y) - (f(x) - f(y))| < c_1\epsilon|x - y|^\eta$$

for each pair  $(x, y) \in (B(z_i, r) \cap \overline{D}) \times (B(z_j, r) \cap \overline{D})$ . Note that each  $x \in \overline{D}$  belongs to at most  $N$  many numbers of  $\{B(z_i, 5r)\}$ , where  $N$  is a constant depending only on  $d$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\equiv \iint \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{\beta+p\alpha}} d\mu(x)d\mu(y) \\ &\leq \sum_{i,j} \int_{B(z_i, 5r) \cap \partial D} \int_{B(z_j, 5r) \cap \partial D} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{\beta+p\alpha}} d\mu(x)d\mu(y) \\ &\leq \sum_{i,j} \iint \frac{|v_{i,j}(x, y)|^p}{|x - y|^{\beta+p\alpha}} d\mu(x)d\mu(y) + c_2\epsilon^p \iint |x - y|^{-\beta-p\alpha+p\eta} d\mu(x)d\mu(y) \\ &\leq \sum_{i,j} \iint \frac{|v_{i,j}(x, y)|^p}{|x - y|^{\beta+p\alpha}} d\mu(x)d\mu(y) + c_3\epsilon^p. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.4, we have

$$(3.2) \quad I_1 \leq c_4 \sum_{i,j}^I (|f(z_i)|^p + |f(z_j)|^p) r^{\beta-p\alpha} + c_4 \epsilon^p,$$

where  $\sum_{i,j}^I$  stands for the sum for  $(i, j)$  satisfying  $|z_i - z_j| \geq 40rt_1$ .

On the other hand using Lemma 2.6 and noting that  $p\eta - p\alpha - d + \beta > 0$  and  $A_t = F_r$ , we have

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{A_t} \int_{A_t} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{d+p\alpha+d-\beta}} dx dy \\ & \geq \sum_{i,j} \int_{F_r} \int_{F_r} \frac{|w_{i,j}(x, y)|^p}{|x - y|^{d+p\alpha+d-\beta}} dx dy - c_5 \epsilon^p \int_D \int_D |x - y|^{-d-p\alpha-d+\beta+p\eta} dx dy \\ & \geq c_6 \sum_{i,j}^{\prime\prime} (|f(z_i)|^p + |f(z_j)|^p) r^{\beta-p\alpha} - c_6 \epsilon^p, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sum_{i,j}^{\prime\prime}$  stands for the sum for  $(i, j)$  satisfying  $|z_i - z_j| \geq 4rt_1$ . Combining (3.2) with (3.3), we have the second inequality of (1.4).

We next show the first inequality of (1.4). Since

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 & \equiv \int_D \int_D \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{d+p\alpha+d-\beta}} dx dy \\ & \leq \sum_{i,j} \int_D \int_D \frac{|v_{i,j}(x, y)|^p}{|x - y|^{d+p\alpha+d-\beta}} dx dy + c_7 \epsilon^p \int_D \int_D |x - y|^{-d-p\alpha+d+\beta+p\eta} dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

we have, by Lemma 2.4,

$$I_2 \leq c_8 \sum_{i,j}^I (|f(z_i)|^p + |f(z_j)|^p) r^{\beta-p\alpha} + c_8 \epsilon^p.$$

On the other hand Lemma 2.6 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial D} \int_{\partial D} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{\beta+p\alpha}} d\mu(x) d\mu(y) & \geq c_9 \sum_{i,j} \int_{\partial D} \int_{\partial D} \frac{|w_{i,j}(x, y)|^p}{|x - y|^{\beta+p\alpha}} d\mu(x) d\mu(y) - c_9 \epsilon^p \\ & \geq c_{10} \sum_{i,j}^{\prime\prime} (|f(z_i)|^p + |f(z_j)|^p) r^{\beta-p\alpha} - c_{10} \epsilon^p. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we also have the first inequality of (1.4). □

## References

- [H] J. E. Hutchinson, Fractals and selfsimilarity, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **30** (1981), 713–747.
- [JW1] A. Jonsson and H. Wallin, A Whitney extension theorem in  $L_p$  and Besov spaces, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble **28**, 1 (1978), 139–192.
- [JW2] A. Jonsson and H. Wallin, Function spaces on subsets of  $\mathbf{R}^d$ , Harwood Academic Publishers, London-Paris-New York, 1984.
- [W] H. Watanabe, Besov spaces on fractal sets, Josai Math. Monograph **1** (1999), 121–134.