

# A NOTE ON SEMIGROUPS OF LOCALLY LIPSCHITZ OPERATORS ASSOCIATED WITH SEMILINEAR EVOLUTION EQUATIONS

KAORI KIKUCHI AND KIYOKO FURUYA

**ABSTRACT.** In this note we shall give a simple proof for a part of proof of T. Matsumoto and N. Tanaka [6] Theorem 2.2. This theorem is applied to the global solvability of the mixed problem for the complex Ginzburg-Landau equation by T. Matsumoto and N. Tanaka [5][6].

In this note we shall give a simple proof for a part of proof of T. Matsumoto and N. Tanaka[6] Theorem 2.2.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a Banach space with norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $D$  be a closed subset of  $X$ .

**Definition 1.** A one-parameter family  $\{S(t); t \geq 0\}$  of Lipschitz operators from  $D$  into itself is called a semigroup of Lipschitz operators on  $D$  if the following three conditions are satisfied:

(S1)  $S(0)x = x$  for  $x \in D$ ,  $S(t+s)x = S(t)S(s)x$  for  $s, t \geq 0$  and  $x \in D$ .

(S2) For each  $x \in D$ ,  $S(\cdot)x : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$  is continuous.

(S3) For each  $\tau > 0$ , there exists  $L_\tau > 0$  such that

$$\|S(t)x - S(t)y\| \leq L_\tau \|x - y\| \quad \text{for } x, y \in D \quad \text{and } t \in [0, \tau].$$

For semigroups of Lipschitz operators we have the following properties.

**Proposition 1.** Let  $\{S(t); t \geq 0\}$  be a semigroup of Lipschitz operators on  $D$ . Then there exist  $M \geq 1$ ,  $\omega \geq 0$  and a nonnegative functional  $\Phi$  on  $X \times X$  satisfying the following three conditions :

(i)  $|\Phi(x_1, y_1) - \Phi(x_2, y_2)| \leq M(\|x_1 - x_2\| + \|y_1 - y_2\|)$  for  $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in X \times X$ ,

(ii)  $\|x - y\| \leq \Phi(x, y) \leq M\|x - y\|$  for  $(x, y) \in D \times D$ ,

(iii)  $\Phi(S(t)x, S(t)y) \leq e^{\omega t}\Phi(x, y)$  for  $t \geq 0$  and  $(x, y) \in D \times D$ .

*Proof.* Cf . Y. Kobayashi, T. Matsumoto and N. Tanaka [3]. □

We consider a semilinear Cauchy problem in  $X$  of the form

$$u'(t) = Au(t) + Bu(t) \quad (t > 0), \quad u(0) = u_0 \quad (SP; u_0).$$

Here we assume :

(A)  $A$  is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic  $C_0$ - semigroup  $\{T(t); t \geq 0\}$  on  $X$  with  $\|T(t)\| \leq Const.e^{\omega_A t}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , where  $Const. \geq 1$  and  $\omega_A < 0$  are some constants.

**Remark 1.** We may assume without loss of generality that  $Const. = 1$ .

We know that, for any integer  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the operator  $A^n$  is defined. We are then concerned with extending the definition for all real exponents  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Definition 2** (Fractional powers). Let  $\alpha > 0$ . Define  $(-A)^{-\alpha}$  by

$$(-A)^{-\alpha}x = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\infty t^{\alpha-1} T(t)x dt \quad \text{for } x \in X \quad (1)$$

where,  $\Gamma(\alpha)$  is the Gamma function. An operator  $(-A)^\alpha$  is defined by  $(-A)^\alpha = ((-A)^{-\alpha})^{-1}$ .

**Proposition 2.**  $(-A)^\alpha$  satisfies the following conditions :

(i) For  $x \in D((-A)^\alpha)$

$$T(t)(-A)^\alpha x = (-A)^\alpha T(t)x \quad \text{for } t > 0. \quad (2)$$

(ii) For  $\alpha > 0$  there exists  $M_\alpha > 0$  such that

$$\|(-A)^\alpha T(t)\| \leq M_\alpha t^{-\alpha} \quad \text{for } t > 0. \quad (3)$$

(iii) For  $\gamma \in (\alpha, 1)$  there exists  $M_{\alpha,\gamma} > 0$  such that

$$\|(-A)^\alpha(T(t)x - x)\| \leq M_{\alpha,\gamma} t^{\gamma-\alpha} \|(-A)^\gamma x\| \quad \text{for } t \geq 0 \quad \text{and } x \in D((-A)^\gamma). \quad (4)$$

(iv) If  $0 \leq \alpha < \theta < \gamma \leq 1$ , then there exists  $M_{\alpha,\theta,\gamma} > 0$  such that

$$\|(-A)^\theta x\| \leq M_{\alpha,\theta,\gamma} \|(-A)^\alpha x\|^{\frac{\gamma-\theta}{\gamma-\alpha}} \|(-A)^\gamma x\|^{\frac{\theta-\alpha}{\gamma-\alpha}} \quad \text{for } x \in D((-A)^\gamma) \quad (5)$$

*Proof.* Cf . H. Tanabe[7]. □

## 2. ASSUMPTIONS AND MAIN RESULT

Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $Y = D((-A)^\alpha)$ . Then  $Y$  is a Banach space equipped with norm

$$\|v\|_Y := \|(-A)^\alpha v\| \quad \text{for } v \in Y \equiv D((-A)^\alpha) \quad (6)$$

Obviously  $Y \subset X$  and  $Y$  is dense in  $X$  with  $X$ -norm.

Let  $\mathcal{C} = D \cap Y$ . We assume that  $\mathcal{C}$  is dense in  $D$  with  $X$ -norm. In this case  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed in  $Y$ .

(B) For the operator  $B$  we make the following assumptions:

(B-i) The operator  $B$  is continuous from  $(\mathcal{C}, \|\cdot\|_Y)$  into  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ .

(B-ii) There exists  $M_B > 0$  such that  $\|Bx\| \leq M_B(1 + \|x\|_Y)$  for  $x \in \mathcal{C}$ .

(Φ) Let  $\Phi$  be a nonnegative functional on  $X \times X$  satisfying the following two conditions:

(Φ-i) There exists  $L \geq 0$  such that

$$|\Phi(x_1, y_1) - \Phi(x_2, y_2)| \leq L(\|x_1 - x_2\| + \|y_1 - y_2\|) \quad \text{for } (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in X \times X.$$

(Φ-ii) There exist  $M \geq m > 0$  such that

$$m\|x - y\| \leq \Phi(x, y) \leq M\|x - y\| \quad \text{for } (x, y) \in D \times D.$$

(F) Let  $\{F_h; h \in (0, h_0]\}$  ( $h_0 > 0$ ) be a family of nonlinear operators from  $\mathcal{C}$  into  $\mathcal{C}$  which satisfies the following two conditions:

(F-i) There exists  $\omega \geq 0$  such that for any sequence  $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  with  $h_n \downarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and any bounded sequences  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  and  $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  with respect to  $Y$ -norm in  $\mathcal{C}$ ,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{\Phi(F_{h_n}x_n, F_{h_n}y_n) - \Phi(x_n, y_n)}{h_n} - \omega\Phi(x_n, y_n) \right\} \leq 0.$$

(F-ii) There exists  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  such that for any sequence  $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  with  $h_n \downarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and any convergence sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect to  $Y$ -norm,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|F_{h_n}x_n - J(h_n)x_n\|}{h_n} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|F_{h_n}x_n - J(h_n)x_n\|_Y}{h_n^\beta} = 0$$

where

$$J(h)w = T(h)w + \int_0^h T(s)Bw ds \quad \text{for } w \in \mathcal{C} \text{ and } h > 0. \quad (7)$$

**Remark 2.** We may assume that in condition **(F-ii)**,  $\beta \in (0, 1 - \alpha]$ .

**Remark 3.** It is easily seen that **(F-i)** is equivalent to the following condition :  
**(F-i)'** There exists  $\omega \geq 0$  such that for any  $Y$ -bounded set  $W \subset \mathcal{C}$ ,

$$\limsup_{h \downarrow 0} \left( \sup_{x,y \in W} \left\{ \frac{\Phi(F_h x, F_h y) - \Phi(x, y)}{h} - \omega \Phi(x, y) \right\} \right) \leq 0.$$

The main theorem in this note is given by

**Theorem 1** ([6] Theorem 2.2.). Assume that **(B)**, **(Φ)** and **(F)** hold. Then there exists a semigroup  $\{S(t); t \geq 0\}$  of Lipschitz operators on  $D$  such that

- (i)  $BS(\cdot)x \in C([0, \infty); X)$  for  $x \in \mathcal{C}$ ,
- (ii)  $BS(\cdot)x \in C((0, \infty); X) \cap L^1_{loc}(0, \infty; X)$  for  $x \in D$ ,
- (iii)

$$S(t)x = T(t)x + \int_0^t T(t-s)BS(s)x ds \quad \text{for } x \in D \text{ and } t \geq 0. \quad (8)$$

Moreover, the following product formula hold:

- (iv)

$$S(t)x = \lim_{h \downarrow 0} F_h^{[\frac{t}{h}]} x \quad \text{for } x \in \mathcal{C} \text{ and } t \geq 0, \quad (9)$$

where the convergence of (9) is uniform on every compact subset of  $[0, \infty)$ . Here  $[\alpha]$  is the greatest integer that is less than or equal to  $\alpha$ .

For the proof of the existence of a semigroup  $\{S(t); t \geq 0\}$  of Lipschitz operators on  $D$  satisfying (i), (ii) and (iii) T. Matsumoto, and N. Tanaka used [4] Theorem 5.2. But this theorem treated more general case.

### 3. MILD SOLUTIONS

We need the followinfg notion of solutions.

**Definition 3.** Let  $u_0 \in D$  and  $\tau > 0$ . A function  $u \in C([0, \tau]; X) \cap C((0, \tau]; Y)$  is called a mild solution to  $(SP; u_0)$  on  $[0, \tau]$  if

- (i)  $u(t) \in \mathcal{C}$  for  $t \in (0, \tau]$ ,
- (ii)  $Bu \in C((0, \tau]; X) \cap L^1(0, \tau; X)$ ,
- (iii)  $u$  satisfies the integral equation :

$$u(t) = T(t)u_0 + \int_0^t T(t-s)Bu(s)ds \quad \text{for } t \in [0, \tau]. \quad (10)$$

A function  $u \in C([0, \infty); X) \cap C((0, \infty); Y)$  is called a global mild solution to  $(SP; u_0)$  if for each  $\tau > 0$  the restriction  $u$  to  $[0, \tau]$  is a mild solution to  $(SP; u_0)$  on  $[0, \tau]$ .

The continuous dependence of mild solutions to the Cauchy problem for (SP) on their initial data is given by following Proposition.

**Proposition 3.** Let  $\tau > 0$  and  $x_1, x_2 \in D$ . Let  $u : [0, \tau] \rightarrow X$  be a mild solution to  $(SP; x_1)$  on  $[0, \tau]$  and  $v : [0, \tau] \rightarrow X$  be a mild solution to  $(SP; x_2)$  on  $[0, \tau]$ . Suppose that conditions **(Φ)** and **(F)** are satisfied. Then there exist  $M > 0$  and  $\omega > 0$  such that

$$\|u(t) - v(t)\| \leq M e^{\omega t} \|x_1 - x_2\| \quad \text{for } t \in [0, \tau].$$

*Proof.* Let  $\omega > 0$  be a number appearing in condition **(F-i)**. From **(Φ-i)**, we have

$$|\Phi(u(s), v(s)) - \Phi(u(t), v(t))| \leq L \left( \|u(s) - u(t)\| + \|v(s) - v(t)\| \right) \quad \text{for } s, t \in [0, \tau].$$

The definition of mild solutions shows that  $u, v \in C([0, \tau] ; X)$ . Therefore we see that the map  $t \mapsto \Phi(u(t), v(t))$  is continuous on  $[0, \tau]$ . Let  $t \in (0, \tau)$  and let  $h > 0$  be such that  $t + h \leq \tau$ . By the semigroup property of  $\{T(t) ; t \geq 0\}$  and (10), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} u(t+h) &= T(t+h)x + \int_0^{t+h} T(t+h-s)Bu(s)ds \\ &= T(h)T(t)x + T(h) \int_0^t T(t-s)Bu(s)ds \\ &\quad - T(h) \int_0^t T(t-s)Bu(s)ds + \int_0^{t+h} T(t+h-s)Bu(s)ds \\ &= T(h) \left( T(t)x + \int_0^t T(t-s)Bu(s)ds \right) \\ &\quad - \int_0^t T(t+h-s)Bu(s)ds + \int_0^{t+h} T(t+h-s)Bu(s)ds \\ &= T(h)u(t) + \int_t^{t+h} T(t+h-s)Bu(s)ds \\ &= T(h)u(t) + \int_0^h T(s)Bu(t+h-s)ds. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

With this equation and (7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(t+h) &= T(h)u(t) + \int_0^h T(s)Bu(t)ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^h T(s)Bu(t)ds + \int_0^h T(s)Bu(t+h-s)ds \\ &= J(h)u(t) + \int_0^h T(s) \left( Bu(t+h-s) - Bu(t) \right) ds. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

From the definition of mild solutions we get  $Bu \in C((0, \tau] ; X)$ . Then with assumption **(A)** it follows that

$$\lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \|T(s) \left( Bu(t+h-s) - Bu(t) \right)\| ds = 0. \tag{13}$$

(12) and (13) yields that

$$\lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \|u(t+h) - J(h)u(t)\| = 0. \tag{14}$$

Similarly we have

$$\lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \|v(t+h) - J(h)v(t)\| = 0. \tag{15}$$

With condition **(Φ-i)**, we have the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{h} \left( \Phi(u(t+h), v(t+h)) - \Phi(u(t), v(t)) \right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{h} \left( \Phi(J(h)u(t), J(h)v(t)) - \Phi(u(t), v(t)) \right) \\
& \quad + L \frac{1}{h} \left( \|u(t+h) - J(h)u(t)\| + \|v(t+h) - J(h)v(t)\| \right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{h} \left( \Phi(F_h u(t), F_h v(t)) - \Phi(u(t), v(t)) \right) \\
& \quad + L \frac{1}{h} \left\{ \|u(t+h) - J(h)u(t)\| + \|v(t+h) - J(h)v(t)\| \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \|J(h)u(t) - F_h u(t)\| + \|J(h)v(t) - F_h v(t)\| \right\}. \tag{16}
\end{aligned}$$

From (14),(15) and condition **(F)** we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \limsup_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \left( \Phi(u(t+h), v(t+h)) - \Phi(u(t), v(t)) \right) \\
& \leq \limsup_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \left( \Phi(F_h u(t), F_h v(t)) - \Phi(u(t), v(t)) \right) \leq \omega \Phi(u(t), v(t)). \tag{17}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$D^+ \Phi(u(t), v(t)) \leq \omega \Phi(u(t), v(t)) \quad \text{for } t \in (0, \tau), \tag{18}$$

where  $D^+$ denotes the upper right Dini derivative which defined by

$$D^+ f(a) = \limsup_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{f(a+h) - f(a)}{h}.$$

Since  $\Phi(u(\cdot), v(\cdot))$  is continuous on  $[0, \tau]$  solving the differential inequality (18) yields that

$$\Phi(u(t), v(t)) \leq e^{\omega t} \Phi(x_1, x_2) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, \tau].$$

An application of condition **(Φ-ii)** shows that

$$\|u(t) - v(t)\| \leq \frac{1}{m} e^{\omega t} \Phi(x_1, x_2) \leq \frac{M}{m} e^{\omega t} \|x_1 - x_2\| \quad \text{for } t \in [0, \tau]. \tag{19}$$

Then we obtain the desired inequality.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.** Suppose that **(Φ)** and **(F)** are satisfied. Suppose that for each  $x \in \mathcal{C}$  there exist  $\tau > 0$  and a mild solution  $u$  to  $(SP; x)$  on  $[0, \tau]$ . Then for every  $x \in \mathcal{C}$  there exists a global mild solution  $u$  to  $(SP; x)$ .

**Proposition 5.** Suppose that **(Φ)** and **(F)** are satisfied. Suppose that for each  $x \in \mathcal{C}$  there exist a global mild solution  $u$  to  $(SP; x)$ . Then for every  $x \in D$  there exists a global mild solution  $u$  to  $(SP; x)$ .

*Proof.* From Proposition 2.5 in [4](resp Proposition 2.6 in [4]) with  $\varphi$  defined by

$$(\varphi) \begin{cases} \varphi(x) = 0 & x \in D \\ \varphi(x) = \infty & x \in X \setminus D \end{cases}, \text{ we have Prposition 4 (resp. Proposition 5).} \quad \square$$

#### 4. KEY ESTIMATE

In this section we give a key estimate to showing the convergence of approximate solutions.

**Lemma 1.** *There exists  $K \geq 1$  such that for any  $\tau \in (0, 1]$  and for any finite sequence  $\{s_k\}_{k=0}^N$  satisfying  $0 \leq s_0 < s_1 < \dots < s_N \leq \tau$ , the following two assertions hold:*

(i) *Let  $M_G > 0$  and let  $G : [0, \tau] \rightarrow X$  be a measurable function satisfying  $\|G(\xi)\| \leq M_G$  for  $\xi \in [0, \tau]$ . Then*

$$\int_{s_l}^{s_i} \|T(s_i - \xi)G(\xi)\|_Y d\xi \leq KM_G(s_i - s_l)^\beta \quad \text{for } 0 \leq l \leq i \leq N.$$

(ii) *Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then for any finite sequence  $\{\zeta_i\}_{i=1}^N$  in  $Y$  satisfying  $\|\zeta_i\| \leq \varepsilon(s_i - s_{i-1})$  and  $\|\zeta_i\|_Y \leq \varepsilon(s_i - s_{i-1})^\beta$  for  $1 \leq i \leq N$ , we have*

$$\sum_{l=k+1}^i \|T(s_i - s_l)\zeta_l\|_Y \leq K\varepsilon(s_i - s_k)^\beta \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq i \leq N.$$

Here as usual we put  $\sum_{l=k+1}^k = 0$ .

*Proof.* Cf. T. Matsumoto and N. Tanaka[4] Lemma 3.2.  $\square$

In the rest of this paper the symbol  $K$  stands for the constant specified in Lemma 1 and we define

$$E_h w = F_h w - J(h)w \quad \text{for } h \in (0, h_0] \quad \text{and } w \in \mathcal{C}. \quad (20)$$

For  $w_0 \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $h > 0$ ,  $\rho > 0$ ,  $M > 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  we introduce the condition

$$\mathbf{V}(w_0; h, \rho, M, \varepsilon) \equiv \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\text{i}) \|Bx\| \leq M \text{ for } x \in U_Y(w_0, \rho) \cap \mathcal{C}, \\ (\text{ii}) K(M + \varepsilon)h^\beta + \sup_{s \in [0, h]} \|T(s)w_0 - w_0\|_Y \leq \rho. \end{array} \right\} \quad (21)$$

where  $U_Y(w_0, \rho)$  denotes the closed ball in  $Y$  with center  $w_0$  and radius  $\rho$  and  $\beta$  is a constant appearing in condition (F-ii).

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $w_0 \in \mathcal{C}$ . Assume that  $0 < h \leq 1$ ,  $\rho > 0$ ,  $M > 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , satisfy condition  $\mathbf{V}(w_0; h, \rho, M, \varepsilon)$ . And take  $\sigma > 0$  satisfy  $\sigma \leq h$ . Assume that there exists a sequence  $\{(s_i, w_i, \zeta_i)\}_{i=1}^N$  in  $[0, \sigma] \times \mathcal{C} \times Y$  satisfies the following three conditions :*

- (i)  $0 = s_0 < s_1 < \dots < s_N \leq \sigma$ ,
- (ii)  $w_i = T(s_i - s_{i-1})w_{i-1} + \int_{s_{i-1}}^{s_i} T(s_i - \xi)Bw_{i-1}d\xi + \zeta_i \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq N,$
- (iii)  $\|\zeta_i\| \leq \varepsilon(s_i - s_{i-1}) \text{ and } \|\zeta_i\|_Y \leq \varepsilon(s_i - s_{i-1})^\beta \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq N.$

*Then the following assertions (a) and (b) hold:*

(a) *We have the following estimates with  $X$ -norm and  $Y$ -norm respectiverty :*

- (a-1)  $\|T(s_j - s_k)w_k - w_j\| \leq (M + \varepsilon)(s_j - s_k) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq j \leq N,$
- (a-2)  $\|T(s_j - s_k)w_k - w_j\|_Y \leq K(M + \varepsilon)(s_j - s_k)^\beta \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq j \leq N.$
- (b)  $w_j \in U_Y(w_0, \rho)$  and  $\|Bw_j\| \leq M \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq N.$

*Proof.* To prove this lemma we use Lemma 1 inductively.  $\square$

Given  $(t_0, x_0) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathcal{C}$  we set

$$\mathbf{W}(\tau; \varepsilon, \{(t_j, x_j, \zeta_j)\}_{j=1}^N) \equiv \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(i)} 0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N < \tau, \\ \text{(ii)} t_j - t_{j-1} \leq \varepsilon \\ \text{(iii)} x_j = T(t_j - t_{j-1})x_{j-1} + \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} T(t_j - \xi)Bx_{j-1}d\xi + \zeta_j, \\ \text{(iv)} \|\zeta_j\| \leq \varepsilon(t_j - t_{j-1}) \text{ and } \|\zeta_j\|_Y \leq \varepsilon(t_j - t_{j-1})^\beta \\ \text{(v)} \text{If } x \in \mathcal{C} \text{ satisfies the inequality} \\ \quad \|x - x_{j-1}\|_Y \\ \quad \leq K(M_B + 1)(t_j - t_{j-1})^\beta + \sup_{s \in [0, t_j - t_{j-1}]} \|T(s)x_{j-1} - x_{j-1}\|_Y \\ \quad \text{then } \|Bx - Bx_{j-1}\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4K} \\ \text{(vi)} (t_j - t_{j-1})(M_B + 1) + \sup_{s \in [0, t_j - t_{j-1}]} \|T(s)x_{j-1} - x_{j-1}\| \leq \varepsilon \\ \quad \text{where } j = 1, 2, \dots, N. \end{array} \right\}.$$

(vii)  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} t_j = \tau$ .

**Proposition 6.** Suppose that condition **(F)** is satisfied. Let  $x_0 \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2]$ . Assume that  $\tau \in (0, 1]$ ,  $\rho_0 > 0$  and  $M_B > 0$  satisfy condition  $\mathbf{V}(x_0; \tau, \rho_0, M_B, 1)$ . Then there exists a sequence  $\{(t_j, x_j, \zeta_j)\}_{j=1}^\infty$  in  $[0, \tau] \times \mathcal{C} \times Y$  satisfying the condition  $\mathbf{W}(\tau; \varepsilon, \{(t_j, x_j, \zeta_j)\}_{j=1}^\infty)$  and condition **(vii)**.

*Proof.* We shall construct inductively a sequence  $\{(t_j, x_j, \zeta_j)\}_{j=1}^\infty$  in  $[0, \tau] \times \mathcal{C} \times Y$  satisfying condition  $\mathbf{W}(\tau; \varepsilon, \{(t_j, x_j, \zeta_j)\}_{j=1}^\infty)$ . For this purpose, let  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume that a sequence  $\{(t_j, x_j, \zeta_j)\}_{j=1}^{i-1} \in [0, \tau] \times \mathcal{C} \times Y$  can be constructed so that it satisfies condition  $\mathbf{W}(\tau; \varepsilon, \{(t_j, x_j, \zeta_j)\}_{j=1}^{i-1})$ . For  $h > 0, t \in [0, \tau], y \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  we set

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}(h; t, y, \varepsilon) \equiv \left\{ \begin{array}{l} h < \tau - t, \\ h(M_B + 1) + \sup_{s \in [0, h]} \|T(s)y - y\| \leq \varepsilon, \\ \|Bx - By\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4K} \text{ for } x \in U_Y(y, \rho) \cap \mathcal{C}, \\ \text{where } \rho = K(M_B + 1)h^\beta + \sup_{s \in [0, h]} \|T(s)y - y\|_Y \end{array} \right\}. \quad (22)$$

By condition **(B-i)**, the strong continuity of  $T(\cdot)$  and **(F-ii)**, there exist  $h \in (0, \varepsilon]$  such that

$$\|E_h x_{i-1}\| \leq h\varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|E_h x_{i-1}\|_Y \leq h^\beta \varepsilon \quad (23)$$

and  $(h; t_{i-1}, x_{i-1}, \varepsilon)$  satisfying condition  $\boldsymbol{\theta}(h; t_{i-1}, x_{i-1}, \varepsilon)$ . We define  $\bar{h}_i$  by supremum of such numbers  $h$ . Then there exists  $h_i \in (0, \varepsilon]$  such that  $\bar{h}_i/2 < h_i$  which satisfy  $\boldsymbol{\theta}(h_i; t_{i-1}, x_{i-1}, \varepsilon)$ . We set  $t_i = t_{i-1} + h_i$ , then condition **(ii)** is satisfied. From (22) we get conditions **(i)**, **(vi)** and **(v)** in  $\mathbf{W}(\tau; \varepsilon, \{(t_j, x_j, \zeta_j)\}_{j=1}^i)$ . Next we shall show that there exist  $x_i \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $\zeta_i \in Y$  satisfying **(iii)** and **(iv)** in  $\mathbf{W}(\tau; \varepsilon, \{(t_j, x_j, \zeta_j)\}_{j=1}^i)$ . Here, we define  $x_i = F_{h_i} x_{i-1}$  and  $\zeta_i = E_{h_i} x_{i-1}$ . Obviously  $F_{h_i} x_{i-1} \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $E_{h_i} x_{i-1} \in Y$  and condition **(iv)** is satisfied by (23). With (7) and (20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_i &= F_{h_i} x_{i-1} = J(h_i) x_{i-1} + E_{h_i} x_{i-1} \\ &= T(h_i) x_{i-1} + \int_0^{h_i} T(s) B x_{i-1} ds + E_{h_i} x_{i-1} \\ &= T(t_i - t_{i-1}) x_{i-1} + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} T(t_i - s) B x_{i-1} ds + \zeta_i. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

It remains to show that condition **(vii)** is satisfied. We can show it in a way similar to that of T. Matsumoto, and N. Tanaka[4 Proposition 3.7]. It is concluded that a sequence

$\{(t_j, x_j, \zeta_j)\}_{j=1}^\infty$  in  $[0, \tau) \times \mathcal{C} \times Y$  can be constructed so that the condition  $\mathbf{W}(\tau; \varepsilon, \{(t_j, x_j, \zeta_j)\}_{j=1}^\infty)$  and condition (vii) are satisfied.  $\square$

**Proposition 7.** Let  $x_0 \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $0 < \bar{\tau} \leq \min\{\tau, 1\}$ ,  $\rho_0 > 0$ ,  $M_B > 0$  and  $0 < \varepsilon, \lambda, \mu \leq 1/2$  and suppose condition  $\mathbf{V}(x_0; \bar{\tau}, \rho_0, M_B, 1)$  satisfied. For each  $\varepsilon = \lambda$  or  $\mu$ , suppose that there exists a sequence  $\{(t_j^\varepsilon, x_j^\varepsilon, \zeta_j^\varepsilon)\}_{j=1}^\infty$  in  $[0, \bar{\tau}) \times \mathcal{C} \times Y$  satisfying conditions in  $\mathbf{W}(\bar{\tau}; \varepsilon, \{(t_j^\varepsilon, x_j^\varepsilon, \zeta_j^\varepsilon)\}_{j=1}^\infty)$  and condition (vii). Set  $P = \{t_i^\lambda; i = 0, 1, \dots\} \cup \{t_j^\mu; j = 0, 1, \dots\}$ , and define  $s_0 = 0$  and  $s_k = \inf(P \setminus \{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{k-1}\})(k \in \mathbb{N})$ . Then there exists a sequence  $\{(z_k^\lambda, z_k^\mu)\}_{k=0}^\infty$  in  $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$  satisfying the following conditions (where  $\varepsilon = \lambda$  or  $\mu$ ):

- (a) If  $s_k = t_i^\varepsilon$ , then  $z_k^\varepsilon = x_i^\varepsilon$ ,
- (b) If  $s_k \neq t_i^\varepsilon$ , then the element  $f_k^\varepsilon$  on  $Y$  defined by

$$f_k^\varepsilon = T(s_k - s_{k-1})z_{k-1}^\varepsilon + \int_{s_{k-1}}^{s_k} T(s_k - \xi)Bz_{k-1}^\varepsilon d\xi - z_k^\varepsilon, \quad (25)$$

satisfies  $\|f_k^\varepsilon\| \leq \varepsilon(s_k - s_{k-1})$  and  $\|f_k^\varepsilon\|_Y \leq \varepsilon(s_k - s_{k-1})^\beta$ .

- (c)  $\Phi(z_k^\lambda, z_k^\mu) \leq e^{\omega\bar{\tau}}\{L(\lambda + \mu)\bar{\tau} + \eta_k(\lambda, \mu)\}$  for  $k \geq 0$ , where

$$\eta_k(\lambda, \mu) = 3L \left( \lambda \sum_{t_i^\lambda \in \{s_1, \dots, s_k\}} (t_i^\lambda - t_{i-1}^\lambda) + \mu \sum_{t_j^\mu \in \{s_1, \dots, s_k\}} (t_j^\mu - t_{j-1}^\mu) \right).$$

Here  $\omega$  is constants appearing in condition (F-i).

*Proof.* The proof is assured by Proposition 4.2 in [4] with  $\varphi$  defined by

$$(\varphi) \begin{cases} \varphi(x) = 0 & x \in D \\ \varphi(x) = \infty & x \in X \setminus D \end{cases}.$$

$\square$

## 5. CHARACTERIZATION OF SEMIGROUPS

We characterize semigroups of locally Lipschitz operators associated with semilinear evolution equations of parabolic type.

**Theorem 2.** Assume that condition (B) is satisfied. Then, the following two statements are equivalent:

- (i) There exists a semigroup  $\{S(t); t \geq 0\}$  of Lipschitz operators on  $D$  such that for each  $x \in D$ ,  $S(\cdot)x$  is a global mild solution to  $(SP; x)$ .
- (ii) There exist a nonnegative functional  $\Phi$  on  $X \times X$  satisfying conditions  $(\Phi)$  and a family  $\{F_h; h \in (0, h_0]\}$  of nonlinear operators from  $\mathcal{C}$  into  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfying conditions  $(\mathbf{F})$ .

*Proof.* We begin by showing that (i) implies (ii). Applying Proposition 1 with  $L = M$  and  $m = 1$  there exists a nonnegative functional  $\Phi$  on  $X \times X$  satisfying condition  $(\Phi)$ .

It remains to check the existence of a family  $\{F_h; h \in (0, h_0]\}$  of nonlinear operators from  $\mathcal{C}$  into  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfying conditions  $(\mathbf{F})$ . Let  $h > 0$ . From (iv) in Proposition 1 we have

$$\Phi(S(h)x, S(h)y) \leq e^{\omega h}\Phi(x, y) \quad \text{for } (x, y) \in D \times D. \quad (26)$$

Then from the definition of mild solution we obtain that  $S(h)x$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}$ .

We define  $F_h x = S(h)x$ . Now we shall show that  $\{F_h; h \in (0, h_0]\}$  satisfies condition  $(\mathbf{F})$ .

Let  $W$  be a bounded subset of  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect to  $Y$ -norm. By (26), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{h} \left( \Phi(F_h x, F_h y) - \Phi(x, y) \right) - \omega \Phi(x, y) \\ &= \frac{1}{h} \left( \Phi(S(h)x, S(h)y) - \Phi(x, y) \right) - \omega \Phi(x, y) \\ &\leq \left( \frac{1}{h}(e^{\omega h} - 1) - \omega \right) \Phi(x, y) \quad \text{for } h \in (0, h_0] \text{ and } (x, y) \in W \times W. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Since  $W$  is bounded in  $Y$ , we have  $\sup\{\Phi(x, y); (x, y) \in W \times W\} < \infty$ . This and (27) imply that condition **(F-i)'** is satisfied. That is to say, condition **(F-i)** is valid. Next we shall show condition **(F-ii)**. Let take any sequence  $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  such that  $h_n \downarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and any convergence sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Note that  $S(\cdot)x$  is a mild solution in  $(SP; x)$ . From (7) and (10) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} F_h x - J(h)x &= S(h)x - J(h)x \\ &= \left( T(h)x + \int_0^h T(h-s)BS(s)x ds \right) - \left( T(h)x + \int_0^h T(s)Bx ds \right) \\ &= \int_0^h T(h-s)(BS(s)x - Bx)ds. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

From (28) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|F_{h_n}x_n - J(h_n)x_n\|}{h_n} &\leq \frac{1}{h_n} \int_0^{h_n} \|T(h_n-s)(BS(s)x_n - Bx_n)\| ds \\ &\leq \max_{0 \leq s \leq h_n} \|BS(s)x_n - Bx_n\|. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

With the strong continuity of  $S(\cdot)$  and condition **(B-i)**, from (29) it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|F_{h_n}x_n - J(h_n)x_n\|}{h_n} = 0. \quad (30)$$

By (3) and (6), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|F_{h_n}x_n - J(h_n)x_n\|_Y &\leq \int_0^{h_n} \|T(h_n-s)(BS(s)x_n - Bx_n)\|_Y ds \\ &= \int_0^{h_n} \|(-A)^\alpha T(h_n-s)(BS(s)x_n - Bx_n)\| ds \\ &\leq \int_0^{h_n} M_\alpha (h_n-s)^{-\alpha} \|BS(s)x_n - Bx_n\| ds \\ &\leq M_\alpha \frac{1}{1-\alpha} h_n^{1-\alpha} \max_{0 \leq s \leq h_n} \|BS(s)x_n - Bx_n\|. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

With the strong continuity of  $S(\cdot)$  and condition **(B-i)**, from (31) we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|F_{h_n}x_n - J(h_n)x_n\|_Y}{h_n^{1-\alpha}} = 0. \quad (32)$$

If  $\beta = 1 - \alpha$ , then (32) is the desired estimate. Therefore condition **(F-ii)** is showed.

To prove the converse implication, let  $x_0 \in \mathcal{C}$ . Then, condition **(B-i)** ensures the existence of  $\rho_0 > 0$  and  $M_B > 0$  satisfying condition  $\mathbf{V}(x_0; \tau, \rho_0, M_B, 1)$ . Therefore, Proposition 6 asserts that for each  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2]$  there exists a sequence  $\{(t_j^\varepsilon, x_j^\varepsilon, \zeta_j^\varepsilon)\}_{j=1}^\infty$  in  $[0, \tau] \times \mathcal{C} \times Y$

satisfying  $\mathbf{W}(\tau; \varepsilon, \{(t_j^\varepsilon, x_j^\varepsilon, \zeta_j^\varepsilon)\}_{j=1}^\infty)$  and condition **(vii)**. For each  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2]$ , we define a family  $\{u^\varepsilon\}$  of step functions by

$$u^\varepsilon(t) = x_i^\varepsilon \quad \text{for } t \in [t_i^\varepsilon, t_{i+1}^\varepsilon) \quad \text{and } i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The purpose is to demonstrate that the family  $\{u^\varepsilon\}$  converges in the space  $C([0, \tau]; X) \cap C((0, \tau]; Y)$ . For this purpose, let  $\lambda, \mu \in (0, 1/2]$ , and let  $\{s_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$  be a sequence constructed as in Proposition 7. Then, applying Proposition 7 we find a sequence  $\{(z_k^\lambda, z_k^\mu)\}_{k=0}^\infty$  in  $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$  satisfying **(a)**, **(b)** and **(c)** in Proposition 7, which plays an important role in accomplishing the above-mentioned purpose. In the following,  $\omega$  stands for the constants in **(c)**, which are specified by condition **(F-i)** in Proposition 7.

*The first step:* We shall show that  $\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} u^\varepsilon(t) = u(t)$  in  $X$ . Let  $t \in [0, \tau]$ . We begin by estimating the difference  $\|u^\lambda(t) - u^\mu(t)\|$ . Take  $i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that:

$$t \in [s_{k-1}, s_k), \quad t_{i-1}^\lambda \leq s_{k-1} < s_k \leq t_i^\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad t_{j-1}^\mu \leq s_{k-1} < s_k \leq t_i^\mu.$$

Then, from the definition of  $u^\varepsilon$  we have  $u^\lambda(t) = x_{i-1}^\lambda$  and  $u^\mu(t) = x_{j-1}^\mu$ . Take  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $t_{i-1}^\lambda = s_p$ . By **(a)** in Lemma 7, we have  $z_p^\lambda = x_{i-1}^\lambda$ . From Lemma 1 it follows that  $\|Bx_{i-1}^\lambda\| \leq M_B$ . This inequality and condition **(v)** together imply that,

$$\|Bx\| \leq M_B + \frac{\lambda}{4K} \quad \text{for } x \in U_Y(x_{i-1}^\lambda, \rho_i \lambda) \cap \mathcal{C}.$$

It follows **(b)** in Lemma 7 that

$$z_k^\varepsilon = T(s_k - s_{k-1})z_{k-1}^\varepsilon + \int_{s_{k-1}}^{s_k} T(s_k - \xi)Bz_{k-1}^\varepsilon d\xi - f_k^\varepsilon,$$

satisfies  $\|f_k^\varepsilon\| \leq \varepsilon(s_k - s_{k-1})$  and  $\|f_k^\varepsilon\|_Y \leq \varepsilon(s_k - s_{k-1})^\beta$ . Since  $0 = s_p - t_{i-1}^\lambda < s_{p+1} - t_{i-1}^\lambda < \dots < s_k - t_{i-1}^\lambda < \dots < t_i^\lambda - t_{i-1}^\lambda$ . We apply the sequence  $\{(s_{p+k} - t_{i-1}^\lambda, z_{p+k}^\lambda, -f_{p+k}^\lambda)\}_{k=1}^\infty$  in  $[0, t_i^\lambda - t_{i-1}^\lambda] \times \mathcal{C} \times Y$  for **(a-1)** in Lemma 2, it follows that

$$\|z_{k-1}^\lambda - T(s_{k-1} - t_{i-1}^\lambda)x_{i-1}^\lambda\| \leq (M_B + \frac{\lambda}{4K} + \lambda)(s_{k-1} - t_{i-1}^\lambda).$$

This inequality and **(vi)** in Lemma 6 together imply that  $\|z_{k-1}^\lambda - x_{i-1}^\lambda\| \leq \lambda$ . Similarly we have  $\|z_{k-1}^\mu - x_{j-1}^\mu\| \leq \mu$ . Since it follows from **(Φ-i)** that

$$|\Phi(x_{i-1}^\lambda, x_{j-1}^\mu) - \Phi(z_{k-1}^\lambda, z_{k-1}^\mu)| \leq L \left( \|x_{i-1}^\lambda - z_{k-1}^\lambda\| + \|x_{j-1}^\mu - z_{k-1}^\mu\| \right) \leq L(\lambda + \mu). \quad (33)$$

With inequality (33), **(Φ-ii)** and **(c)** in proposition 7, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} m\|u^\lambda(t) - u^\mu(t)\| &= m\|x_{i-1}^\lambda - x_{j-1}^\mu\| \leq \Phi(x_{i-1}^\lambda, x_{j-1}^\mu) \\ &\leq \Phi(z_{k-1}^\lambda, z_{k-1}^\mu) + L(\lambda + \mu) \\ &\leq e^{\omega\tau} \left\{ L(\lambda + \mu)\tau + \eta_{k-1}(\lambda, \mu) \right\} + L(\lambda + \mu) \\ &\leq 4Le^{\omega\tau}(\lambda + \mu)\tau + L(\lambda + \mu). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

This implies the existence of a measurable function  $u : [0, \tau] \rightarrow X$  such that  $\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} u^\varepsilon(t) = u(t)$  uniformly for  $t \in [0, \tau]$ .

*The second step:* We shall show that for any  $t \in (0, \tau)$ ,  $\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} u^\varepsilon(t) = u(t)$  in  $Y$ .

*The third step:* We shall prove that  $u \in C([0, \tau]; X) \cap C((0, \tau); Y)$ .

The proof of *The second step* and *The third step* is obtained in a way similar to that of T.

Matsumoto, and N. Tanaka[4.Theorem 5.2]. Therefore we have proved that to each  $x \in \mathcal{C}$  there corresponds  $\tau_x > 0$  such that the  $(SP; x)$  has a mild solution  $u$  on  $[0, \tau_x]$ . Proposition 4 and Proposition 5 therefore assert that for any  $x \in D$  and  $t \geq 0$ , the  $(SP; x)$  has a global mild solution  $u(t; x)$ . Next we shall show that the family  $\{S(t)x; t \geq 0\}$ , defined by  $S(t)x = u(t; x)$  for  $x \in D$  and  $t \geq 0$ , is a semigroup of locally Lipschitz operators on  $D$ . From the semigroup property of  $T(\cdot)$  it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} S(0)x &= u(0; x) = x, \\ S(t+s)x &= u(t+s; x) = T(t+s)x + \int_0^{t+s} T(t+s-\xi)Bu(\xi)d\xi \\ &= T(t)T(s)x + \int_0^{t+s} T(t)T(s-\xi)Bu(\xi)d\xi \\ &= T(t)\left(T(s)x + \int_0^s T(s-\xi)Bu(\xi)d\xi\right) + \int_s^{t+s} T(t+s-\xi)Bu(\xi)d\xi \\ &= T(t)u(s; x) + \int_0^t T(t-\xi)Bu(\xi+s)d\xi \\ &= u(t; u(s)) = S(t)u(s; x) = S(t)S(s)x. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain the semigroup property of  $\{S(t); t \geq 0\}$ . Note that  $u(t; x)$  is a global mild solution. For each  $\tau > 0$  we have that  $S(\cdot)x = u(\cdot) \in C([0, \tau]; X)$ . It proved that  $S(\cdot)x : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$  is continuous. Finally, we check condition **(S3)**. With Lemma 3 we have

$$\|S(t)x - S(t)y\| = \|u(t; x) - u(t; y)\| \leq \mathcal{M}e^{\omega t}\|x - y\|.$$

If we take  $L = \mathcal{M}e^{\omega t}$ , we obtain the estimate in **(S3)**.

The above argument proves that there exists semigroup  $\{S(t)x; t \geq 0\}$  of locally Lipschitz operators on  $D$ , which is a global mild solutions to  $(SP; x)$ .  $\square$

## 6. PROOF OF THE THEOREM 1

**(ii)** and **(iii)** in Theorem 1 is assured by Theorem 2. **(i)** follows from Theorem 2 and condition **(B-i)** too. The proof of **(iv)** follows the one given in T. Matsumoto, and N. Tanaka [6. Chapter 4]. Then the proof is complete.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would express their hearty thanks to Professor N.Tanaka and Professor T.Matsumoto for sending their preprint [6] to authors and they also thanks the referee for his valuable suggestions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] K.Ito and F.Kappel, *Evolution equations and approximations*. Series on Advances in Mathematics for Applied Sciences, 61. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, (2002).
- [2] Y.Kobayashi, *Lecture note on Nonlinear Semigroups* (in Japanese)(2009)
- [3] Y.Kobayashi, T. Matsumoto and N.Tanaka, *Semigroups of locally Lipschitz operators associated with semilinear evolution equations*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 330 (2007), no. 2, 1042-1067.
- [4] T.Matsumoto and N.Tanaka, *Semigroups of locally Lipschitz operators associated with semilinear evolution equations of parabolic type*. Nonlinear Anal. 69 (2008), no. 11, 4025-4054.
- [5] T.Matsumoto and N.Tanaka, *Well-posedness for the complex Ginzburg-Landau equations*. Current Advances in Nonlinear Analysis and Related Topics, 429-442, GAKUTO International Ser.Math.Sci.Appl.32,Gakkotosho, Tokyo,(2010).

- [6] T.Matsumoto and N.Tanaka, *Product formula for semigroups of Lipschitz operators associated with semilinear evolution equations of parabolic type*, J. Approximation theory(accepted).
- [7] H.Tanabe, *Equations of evolution*. Translated from the Japanese by N. Mugibayashi and H. Haneda. Monographs and Studies in Mathematics, 6. Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program), Boston, Mass.-London, 1979.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF HUMANITIES AND SCIENCE, OCHANOMIZU UNIVERSITY, 2-1-1 ŌTSUKA, BUNKYOU-KU, TOKYO, JAPAN,

*E-mail address:* furuya.kiyoko@ocha.ac.jp