

Large deviation around the origin for sums of nonnegative i.i.d. random variables

YUJI KASAHARA AND NOBUKO KOSUGI

Department of Information Sciences, Ochanomizu University

(Received August 22, 2000)

Abstract

Let X_1, X_2, \dots be nonnegative independent random variables with a common distribution attracted to the stable law G_α , and put $S_n = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$. That is for some monotone increasing function $\sigma(n)$, $P[S_n/\sigma(n) \leq x] \rightarrow G_\alpha(x)$, for every $x > 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The aim of the present paper is to study the asymptotic behaviour of $P[S_n/\sigma(n) \leq x_n]$, where x_n is a positive sequence such that $x_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

1. Introduction

Let $G_\alpha(x)$ ($0 < \alpha < 1$) be the distribution function such that

$$(1.1) \quad \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} dG_\alpha(x) = \exp\{-s^\alpha\}, \quad \text{for } s > 0.$$

So G_α is a one-sided stable law with index α . Throughout the paper, let X, X_1, X_2, \dots be nonnegative independent random variables with a common distribution which belongs to the domain of attraction of G_α , i.e., for some monotone increasing function $\sigma(x)$ tending to ∞ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, it holds that

$$(1.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left[\frac{1}{\sigma(n)}(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n) \leq x\right] = G_\alpha(x), \quad \text{for every } x > 0.$$

We remark here that $\sigma(x)$ is asymptotically equal to the inverse function of $1/P[X_1 > x]$ up to a multiplicative constant, and that $\sigma(x)$ is a regularly varying function with exponent $1/\alpha$, i.e., $\sigma(x) = x^{1/\alpha}L(x)$ (L : slowly varying function).

Thus if we denote by $F_n(x)$ the distribution function of $(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n)/\sigma(n)$, then (1.2) implies $F_n(x) \rightarrow G_\alpha(x)$, for every $x > 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The aim of the present paper is to study the relationship between the asymptotic behaviour of $F_n(x_n)$ and that of $G_\alpha(x_n)$ when x_n is a positive sequence such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 0$. In other words, we shall consider the case where $x \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (1.2) instead of a fixed $x > 0$. To this end, we first recall the following well-known

results. As for the tail probability, we have

$$(1.3) \quad 1 - G_\alpha(x) \sim \frac{x^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}, \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

On the other hand, G_α decreases exponentially as x goes to 0:

$$(1.4) \quad -\log G_\alpha(x) \sim D_\alpha x^{-\beta}, \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\beta = \alpha/(1-\alpha)$, and $D_\alpha = (1-\alpha)\alpha^\beta$. This fact can be shown by applying the result of [2]. In fact, more precise result is known (see [3]) as follows: For $c > 0$,

$$(1.5) \quad G_\alpha(x) \sim cx^{\beta/2} \exp\{-D_\alpha x^{-\beta}\}, \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow 0.$$

Now our problem is the following. Let $x = \gamma_n \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). Since $G_\alpha(0) = 0$, (1.2) implies

$$(1.6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left[\frac{1}{\sigma(n)}(X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_n) \leq \gamma_n\right] = 0.$$

Thus it is of interest to know its logarithmic rate, i.e., the asymptotic behaviour of

$$(1.7) \quad -\log P\left[\frac{1}{\sigma(n)}(X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_n) \leq \gamma_n\right].$$

Keeping (1.4) in mind, we can generally say that

$$(1.8) \quad -\log P\left[\frac{1}{\sigma(n)}(X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_n) \leq \gamma_n\right] \sim D_\alpha \gamma_n^{-\beta}, \quad \text{as } \gamma_n \rightarrow 0,$$

if γ_n goes to 0 slowly enough, but the aim of the present paper is to give the conditions on γ_n ($\rightarrow 0$) which assure (1.8).

2. Main results

Theorem 2.1. *Let X, X_1, X_2, \dots be nonnegative independent random variables with a common distribution, and assume (1.2) holds for some monotone regularly varying function $\sigma(x) = x^{1/\alpha}L(x)$. Put $\tau(t) = \sigma(t)/t$ and $a_n = n/\tau^{-1}(\gamma_n\tau(n))$. If $\gamma_n \rightarrow 0$ and $\gamma_n\tau(n) \rightarrow \infty$, then $a_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and we have*

(i)

$$(2.1) \quad -\log P\left[\frac{1}{\sigma(n)}(X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_n) \leq \gamma_n\right] \sim D_\alpha a_n, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where D_α is as in (1.4).

(ii) *Furthermore, a necessary and sufficient condition for $a_n \sim \gamma_n^{-\beta}$ ($\beta = \alpha/(1-\alpha)$)*

is

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{L(n\gamma_n^\beta)}{L(n)} \rightarrow 1, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

A sufficient condition for (2.2) is that $L(x)$ is a constant, that is X belongs to the normal domain of attraction of the stable law G_α .

Remark. The above theorem treats the case where $\gamma_n\tau(n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So let us here discuss a little on the case where $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n\tau(n) < \infty$. Notice that the left side of (2.1) can be rewritten as

$$(2.3) \quad -\log P\left[\frac{1}{n}(X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_n) \leq \gamma_n\tau(n)\right].$$

Thus, in the special case where $\gamma_n\tau(n)$ is a constant, (2.3) can be regarded as a problem of Cramer's large deviation principle. Also, if $\gamma_n\tau(n) \rightarrow 0$, then the result was obtained in one of the author's paper ([4]) which studied the relationship between the asymptotic behaviour of (2.3) and that of the distribution function of X around the origin.

3. Proof of Theorem 2.1

For the proof of Theorem 2.1, we use the following theorem and a lemma.

Theorem A ([4]). *Let $\varphi(s) \in C^1(0, \infty)$ be a decreasing strictly convex function such that*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \varphi'(\varepsilon) = -\infty, \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \varphi'(s) = 0,$$

and define

$$\varphi^*(x) = \inf_{s>0} \{sx + \varphi(s)\}, \quad x > 0.$$

Suppose $U_n(x)$ be a sequence of nondecreasing right-continuous functions on $[0, \infty)$ with $U_n(0) = 0$, and a_n be a positive sequence which tends to ∞ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{a_n} \log \int_0^\infty e^{-a_n s x} dU_n(x) = \varphi(s), \quad \text{for all } s > 0,$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{a_n} \log U_n(x) = \varphi^*(x), \quad \text{for all } x > 0.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f(x)$ be a regularly varying function with exponent $\rho(> 0)$, and a_n and b_n be positive sequences tending to ∞ as n goes to ∞ . Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n/b_n = c$ for $0 \leq c \leq \infty$ is a necessary and sufficient condition for*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(a_n)}{f(b_n)} = c^\rho.$$

Proof. Since $f(x)$ is regularly varying, it holds that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\lambda x)}{f(x)} = \lambda^\rho,$$

the convergence being uniform for λ on every compact sub-interval of $(0, \infty)$, which proves the assertion. \square

From (1.2), we have

$$(3.1) \quad \xi \log E[e^{(-s/\sigma(\xi))X}] \rightarrow \varphi_\alpha(s) := -s^\alpha, \quad \text{as } \xi \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since $\sigma(t)$ is regularly varying with exponent $1/\alpha$ ($0 < \alpha < 1$), so is $\tau(t)$ with exponent $1/\alpha - 1$. From the assumption, $\gamma_n \tau(n) \rightarrow \infty$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), and thus we have

$$(3.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{a_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau^{-1}(\gamma_n \tau(n)) = \infty.$$

By applying Lemma 3.1, we have

$$(3.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{\tau^{-1}(\gamma_n \tau(n))} = \infty,$$

since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau(n)/(\gamma_n \tau(n)) = \infty$. Therefore, we can put $\xi = n/a_n$ in (3.1) and have

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{n}{a_n} \log E[e^{(-s/\sigma(n/a_n))X}] \rightarrow \varphi_\alpha(s), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

From the definition of a_n , $\tau(n/a_n) = \gamma_n \tau(n)$, and thus multiplying both sides by n/a_n , we have

$$\sigma(n/a_n) = \gamma_n \sigma(n)/a_n,$$

and therefore, we can rewrite (3.4) as

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{n}{a_n} \log E[\exp\{-\frac{sa_n}{\gamma_n \sigma(n)} X\}] \rightarrow \varphi_\alpha(s), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, replacing X by $S = X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_n$, we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{1}{a_n} \log E[\exp\{-\frac{sa_n}{\gamma_n \sigma(n)} S_n\}] \rightarrow \varphi_\alpha(s), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Applying Theorem A, we have

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{1}{a_n} \log P[\frac{S_n}{\gamma_n \sigma(n)} \leq x] \rightarrow \varphi_\alpha^*(x), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $\varphi_\alpha^*(x) = -(1 - \alpha)\alpha^{\alpha/(1-\alpha)}x^{-\alpha/(1-\alpha)}$.

If we put $x = 1$ in (3.7), we have the assertion of Theorem 2.1(i).

Next, we prove Theorem 2.1(ii). Suppose $a_n \sim \gamma_n^{-\beta}$. From the definition of a_n , we have

$$(3.8) \quad \frac{n}{\tau^{-1}(\gamma_n \tau(n))} \sim \gamma_n^{-\beta},$$

which can be rewritten as

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{n\gamma_n^\beta}{\tau^{-1}(\gamma_n\tau(n))} \rightarrow 1, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By Lemma 3.1, (3.9) is equivalent to

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{\tau(n\gamma_n^\beta)}{\gamma_n\tau(n)} \rightarrow 1, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Replacing $\tau(x)$ by $\sigma(x)/x$, we get

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{n\sigma(n\gamma_n^\beta)}{n\gamma_n^{\beta+1}\sigma(n)} \rightarrow 1, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Furthermore, by putting $\sigma(x) = x^{1/\alpha}L(x)$, we get (2.2), which proves the necessity, and similarly, we can prove the sufficiency.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. H. Bingham, C. M. Goldie, and J. L. Teugels, *Regular variation*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987.
- [2] N. G. de Bruijn, *Pairs of slowly oscillating functions occurring in asymptotic problems concerning the Laplace transform*, Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde, **7** (1959), 20-26.
- [3] E. Çinlar, K. L. Chung, and R. K. Gettoor, *Seminar on stochastic processes, 1984*, Birkhäuser, Boston-Basel-Stuttgart, 1986.
- [4] N. Kosugi, *Tauberian theorem of exponential type and its application to multiple convolution*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **39** (1999), 331-346.
- [5] N. Kosugi, *Correction to "Tauberian theorem of exponential type and its application to multiple convolution"*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **40** (2000), 203.