

On Absolute Class Fields of Certain Algebraic Number Fields

Mitsuko Hamamura

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,
Ochanomizu University, Tokyo
(Received April 10, 1981)

§ 0. Introduction.

In [9], H. M. Stark showed that there exist only finitely many totally imaginary fields of fixed degree with class number one, which are quadratic extensions of totally real fields. In [11], K. Uchida proved the finiteness of imaginary abelian fields with class number one (see also [6]). Moreover it is known that absolute values of discriminants of such imaginary fields with class number one of degree ≥ 6 have effectively computable upper bounds. For a special case, in [12], K. Uchida determined all the imaginary abelian fields of type $(2, 2, \dots, 2)$ with class number one. On the other hand, it is well known that there exist only finitely many imaginary quadratic fields whose absolute class fields are equal to their genus fields ([7, Satz 25.5]). Recently, M. Bhaskaran [1] constructed the narrow genus field of any algebraic number field. Our problem is: how many fields are there whose absolute class fields are equal to their genus fields? In the present paper, we extend the above finiteness theorems to the fields whose absolute class fields are equal to their genus fields (§ 1, Theorem 1 and 2) and determine all such imaginary abelian fields of type $(2, 2, \dots, 2)$ of degree ≥ 8 (§ 2, Theorem 4).

It is my pleasant duty to express hearty gratitude to Professor M. Fujiwara for his continual guidance.

Notations and definitions.

Z, Q and R	the rational integer ring, the rational field and the real number field respectively.
k and K	finite number fields contained in the complex number field.
\tilde{K}	the absolute class field of K .
$h(K)=[\tilde{K}:K]$	the class number of K .
E_K and W_K	the groups of units of K and of roots of unity in K respectively.
E_K^*	the group generated by the fundamental units of all real quadratic fields contained in K .
$w_K=\#W_K$	the number of the elements of W_K .

$R(K)$	the regulator of K .
D_K	the absolute value of the discriminant of K .
D, D_i ($i=1, 2, \dots, m$)	in §§ 2 and 3 discriminants of quadratic fields.
$h_D = h(\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{D}))$.	
$w_D = w_{\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{D})}$.	
$K_0 = K \cap \mathbf{R}$	the maximal real subfield of an imaginary field K .

The following notations are used only for totally imaginary quadratic extensions K of totally real fields K_0 .

$q = (E_K : W_K E_{K_0})$	the unit index of K/K_0 .
$D_{K/K_0} = D_K / D_{K_0}^2$	the absolute norm of the relative different of K/K_0 .
$h_1(K) = h(K) / h(K_0)$	the first factor of the class number $h(K)$.

Genus fields and genus numbers are defined as follows.

DEFINITION. Let k be a subfield of an algebraic number field K . The genus field $K^*(k)$ of K over k is the maximal extension of K satisfying the conditions:

- (i) no prime divisor of K ramifies in $K^*(k)/K$,
- (ii) there is an abelian extension k_1/k such that $K^*(k) = Kk_1$.

The genus number $g(K/k)$ of K over k is $[K^*(k) : K]$. Especially, we call $K^* = K^*(\mathbf{Q})$ the genus field of K and $g(K) = g(K/\mathbf{Q})$ the genus number of K .

§ 1. The finiteness theorems.

In this section, we assume that K is a totally imaginary quadratic extension of a totally real field K_0 .

The genus number $g(K/k)$ of a normal extension K/k is given by Y. Furuta [4]. Lemma 1 is an immediate consequence of his formula.

LEMMA 1. (i) Let K be a totally imaginary quadratic extension of a totally real field K_0 , then

$$g(K/K_0) = h(K_0) 2^{r-1+g^*},$$

where r is the number of distinct prime ideals in K_0 ramified in K/K_0 and $2^{g^*} = (N_{K/K_0}(K) : E_{K_0}^2)$, $0 \leq g^* \leq [K_0 : \mathbf{Q}]$.

(ii) Let k be a real quadratic field, then

$$g(k) = 2^{r-2} \delta_{D_k},$$

where r is the number of distinct primes ramified in k/\mathbf{Q} and δ_{D_k} is defined as

$$\delta_{D_k} = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } N_{k/\mathbf{Q}}(k) \ni -1 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

LEMMA 2. Let k be an algebraic number field of degree m , \mathfrak{a} an integral ideal in k and r the number of distinct prime ideals dividing \mathfrak{a} . Then there exists an

effectively computable constant $r(m)$ depending only on m such that if $r > r(m)$,

$$\log N_{k/Q}(a) > (3m)^{-1}r(\log r - \log m).$$

PROOF. Let us put all primes in increasing order $p_1=2, p_2=3, \dots$. Let r' denote the number of distinct primes dividing $N_{k/Q}(a)$. Then $r \leq mr'$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \log N_{k/Q}(a) &\geq \sum_{i=1}^{r'} \log p_i \\ &\geq \sum_{\substack{p \\ \sqrt{p_{r'}} \leq p < p_{r'}}} \log \sqrt{p_{r'}} \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(1) \quad \log N_{k/Q}(a) \geq \frac{1}{2} \log p_{r'}(r' - \sqrt{p_{r'}}).$$

On the other hand, the prime number theorem shows effectively that

$$(2) \quad \log p_{r'}(r' - \sqrt{p_{r'}}) \sim p_{r'} \sim r' \log r' \quad (r' \rightarrow \infty).$$

(1) and (2) prove the lemma.

Q. E. D.

Though the following Brauer-Siegel theorem is essential, it is ineffective.

THEOREM A (Brauer-Siegel theorem [2, Theorem 2]). *For the fields k of fixed degree,*

$$\log(h(k)R(k)) \sim \log \sqrt{D_k} \quad (D_k \rightarrow \infty).$$

H. M. Stark gave an effective lower bound for $h(K)$ but what he obtained is actually an estimate of the first factor $h_1(K)$ of $h(K)$.

THEOREM B (H. M. Stark [9]). *Let K be a totally imaginary field of degree $2n$ containing a totally real subfield K_0 of degree n . For ϵ in the range $0 < \epsilon < 1/2$, there is an effectively computable function $c(\epsilon) > 0$ such that*

$$h_1(K) > (ng_K)^{-1}c(\epsilon)^n D_{K_0}^{1/2-1/n-\epsilon} D_{K/K_0}^{1/2-1/2n},$$

where $g_K=1$ if there exists a sequence of fields $\mathbf{Q}=k_0 \subset k_1 \subset \dots \subset k_m=K_0$ each normal over the preceding field and $g_K=n!$ otherwise.

Using the above theorems, we can prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *There are only finitely many totally imaginary fields K of a fixed degree $2n$ whose absolute class fields \tilde{K} are equal to their genus fields $K^*(K_0)$. Moreover, if $2n \geq 6$, D_K of such fields K have an effectively computable upper bound depending only on n .*

PROOF. In case $n > 2$, Theorem B and Lemma 2 show the inequality

$$(3) \quad h_1(K) > 2^{r-1+g^*}$$

for almost all K , where r and g^* are those in Lemma 1 (i). When $n \leq 2$, the

inequality $R(K) \leq 2R(K_0)$ and Theorem A show that

$$(4) \quad \frac{\log(h(K)R(K))}{\log \sqrt{D_K}} \leq \frac{\log(2h_1(K))}{\log \sqrt{D_K}} + \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$$

for almost all K and for any $0 < \varepsilon < 1/4$. (4) and Theorem A show that

$$(5) \quad \frac{1}{3} \log \sqrt{D_K} \leq \log h_1(K)$$

for almost all K of degree 2 or 4. Thus, in case $n \leq 2$,

$$(6) \quad h_1(K) > 2^{r-1+g^*}$$

for almost all K by virtue of (5) and Lemma 2. (3), (6) and Lemma 1 (i) prove the theorem. Q. E. D.

The case $n=1$ of Theorem 1 is Satz 25.5 of [7]. The following corollary can be easily obtained from Theorem 1.

COROLLARY. *There are only finitely many totally imaginary fields K of a fixed degree whose absolute class fields \tilde{K} are equal to their genus fields K^* . Moreover, D_K have an effectively computable upper bound depending only on the degree $[K:\mathbf{Q}]$ if $[K:\mathbf{Q}] > 4$.*

For abelian fields K , the conductor-discriminant formula gives a better lower bound of D_K . Therefore we can show that we don't have to fix the degree $[K:\mathbf{Q}]$ in the above theorem and corollary (cf. Theorem 2 and its proof). The following lemma was proved by K. Uchida in [11].

LEMMA 3 (K. Uchida). *Let f_K denote the conductor of an abelian field K of degree $2n$. Then*

$$D_K \geq f_K^n.$$

THEOREM 2. *There exist only finitely many fields K whose absolute class fields \tilde{K} are imaginary abelian over \mathbf{Q} . And the conductors f_K of such fields K have an effectively computable upper bound if $[K:\mathbf{Q}] \geq 6$.*

PROOF. We first show that

$$(7) \quad h_1(K) > 2^{r-1+g^*}$$

for any large n , where r and g^* are those in Lemma 1 (i). Note that $f_K \rightarrow \infty$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$, and that Theorem B and Lemma 3 imply the inequality

$$(8) \quad h_1(K) > \frac{c(\varepsilon)^n}{n} f_K^{n/4-1/2-\varepsilon n/2}.$$

Let r' be the number of distinct primes dividing f_K . Then clearly,

$$(9) \quad 2^{r-1+g^*} < 2^{n(r'+1)}.$$

Lemma 2, (8) and (9) show the inequality (7) for any large n . Thus, we proved

that there exist only finitely many imaginary abelian fields K whose absolute class fields \tilde{K} are equal to $K^*(K_0)$. On the other hand, by the definition of genus fields K^* , $\tilde{K}=K^*$ if and only if \tilde{K} is abelian over \mathbf{Q} . Those facts prove the theorem. Q. E. D.

For imaginary cyclic biquadratic fields K , the effectiveness of Theorem 2 is also true (cf. [11, Proof of Theorem 1']).

§ 2. Imaginary abelian fields of type $(2, 2, \dots, 2)$.

Our purpose now is to determine all the imaginary abelian fields of type $(2, 2, \dots, 2)$ of degree ≥ 8 whose absolute class fields are abelian over \mathbf{Q} . Dirichlet's class number formula and prime discriminants of quadratic fields will fully be used. Henceforth we will mainly be concerned with abelian fields of type $(2, 2, \dots, 2)$ of degree 2^m .

LEMMA 4. *Let K be an abelian field and k a subfield of K . Then $h(K)=g(K)$ implies $h(k)=g(k)$.*

PROOF. Obvious.

LEMMA 5. *Let G be an abelian group of type $(2, 2, \dots, 2)$ of order 2^m and \hat{G} its character group. Then the rank of the $(2^m-1) \times 2^m$ matrix*

$$S(m) = (\chi(\tau))_{\substack{\tau \in G, \tau \neq 1 \\ \chi \in \hat{G}}}$$

is 2^m-1 and all the minors of order (2^m-1) of $S(m)$ have the same absolute value.

PROOF. Easily verified.

Let $s(m)$ denote the absolute value of any minor of order 2^m-1 of $S(m)$. Then Dirichlet's class number formula shows the following :

LEMMA 6. *Let K be an imaginary abelian field of type $(2, 2, \dots, 2)$ of degree 2^m . Assume that $h(K)=g(K)$, then*

$$h(K) = Q \prod_{D>0} \delta_D \frac{1}{s(m-1)} \frac{1}{w} 2^{\sum_D r_D - 2^m - 2^{m-1} + 2},$$

where the product $\prod_{D>0}$ runs through the discriminants $D>0$ of all the real quadratic subfields of K , the sum \sum_D runs through the discriminants of all the quadratic subfields of K , r_D is the number of primes dividing D and Q, δ_D and w are as follows.

$$Q = (E_K : W_K E_{K^*}),$$

$$\delta_D = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } N(\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{D})) \ni -1 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$w = \begin{cases} 2^{2^{m-1}-2} & \text{if } K \supset \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{-2}) \\ 2^{2^{m-1}-1} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

PROOF. From the formula $\zeta_K(s) = \zeta(s) \prod_D L(s, (D/\cdot))$ and Lemma 5, it follows that

$$h(K) = Q \frac{1}{s(m-1)} \frac{1}{w} \prod_D h_D,$$

where the product \prod_D runs through the discriminants D of all the quadratic subfields of K . Lemmas 5, 4 and 1 (ii) and equality $g(\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{D})) = 2^{r_{D-1}}$ for $D < 0$ prove the lemma. Q. E. D.

A prime discriminant P is either -4 , ± 8 or $(-1)^{(p-1)/2}$ for an odd prime number p . It is well known that discriminants of quadratic fields can uniquely be factorized into prime discriminants. Let $D = P_1 P_2 \cdots P_t$ be such a factorization of discriminant D . We call P_i ($i=1, 2, \dots, t$) be the prime discriminant factors of D .

LEMMA 7. *Let K be an abelian field of type $(2, 2, \dots, 2)$ of degree 2^m . Then there exists a set of discriminants D_i and prime discriminants P_i ($i=1, 2, \dots, m$) such that*

- (i) $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{D_1}, \dots, \sqrt{D_m})$,
- (ii) P_i is a prime discriminant factor of D_i but of D_j ($i \neq j$),
- (iii) D_j ($j=3, 4, \dots, m$) are all odd,
- (iv) if D_2 is even, then D_1 is also even and $P_1 = -4$, $P_2 = -8$.

To prove Lemma 7, we introduce the symbol $(,)_a$ for convenience.

DEFINITION. *Let D and D' denote discriminants of quadratic fields and P and P' the even prime discriminant factors of D and D' respectively. We define the symbol $(,)_a$ as follows.*

$$\begin{aligned} (-4, \pm 8)_a &= (\pm 8, -4)_a = \mp 2, & (-8, 8)_a &= (8, -8)_a = -4, \\ (D, 1)_a &= (1, D)_a = 1, & (1, 1)_a &= 1, & (D, D)_a &= D, \\ (D, D')_a &= (P, P')_a \{ \pm g. c. d. (D/P, D'/P') \}, \end{aligned}$$

where the signature in $\{ \}$ must be taken so that the term in $\{ \}$ is the discriminant of a quadratic field.

It is easily verified that $(P, D)_a = (D, P)_a = P$ if and only if P is a prime discriminant factor of D . We shall list the principal properties of the symbol $(,)_a$ in Lemma 8. The proof is easy and left to the readers.

LEMMA 8. *Let D_1, D_2, D and D' be discriminants of quadratic fields and P a prime discriminant. Then*

- (i) if $D_1 = DD'$, then $(D_1, D_2)_a = (D, D_2)_a (D', D_2)_a$,

- (ii) $D_1D_2/(D_1, D_2)_a^2 \in \mathbf{Z}$ and if it is not a discriminant, then it is equal to 1.
- (iii) if P is a prime discriminant factor of D_1 and $(P, D_2)_a$ is a discriminant, then $(D_1D_2/(D_1, D_2)_a^2, P)_a$ is not a discriminant.
- (iv) if P is a prime discriminant factor of D_1 and $(P, D_2)_a=1$, then $(D_1D_2/(D_1, D_2)_a^2, P)_a=P$.

PROOF OF LEMMA 7. We may set $K=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{D_1}, \dots, \sqrt{D_m})$. In case both of 8 and -8 are prime discriminant factors of D_j ($j=1, 2, \dots, m$), fix one of the discriminants D_i for which -8 is a prime discriminant factor. Let us call it D and set

$$D_j' = \begin{cases} DD_j/(D, D_j)_a^2 & \text{if } (8, D_j)_a=8 \\ D_j & \text{otherwise .} \end{cases}$$

Then $K=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{D_1'}, \dots, \sqrt{D_m'})$ and $(8, D_j')_a$ ($j=1, 2, \dots, m$) are -4 's or not discriminants by Lemma 8 (iii). And so 8 is not a prime discriminant factor of D_j' ($j=1, 2, \dots, m$). In case both of -4 and 8 are prime discriminant factors of D_j ($j=1, 2, \dots, m$), similarly, we can replace D_j by D_j' ($j=1, 2, \dots, m$) whose prime discriminant factors are not 8's. Hence we assume that if there are more than two even prime discriminant factors of D_j , they are not 8's and that

$$\begin{cases} (D_1, -4)_a=-4, P_1=-4 & \text{if } (-4, D_j)_a=-4 \text{ for some } j=1, 2, 3, \dots, m \\ (D_1, 2)_a=2, (P_1, 2)_a=2 & \text{if there is one and only one even prime} \\ & \text{discriminant factor of } D_j \text{ (} j=1, 2, \dots, m \text{)} \\ P_1 \text{ is any one of } P \text{ such that } (P, D_1)_a=P & \\ & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For $j \neq 1$, set

$$D_j' = \begin{cases} D_1D_j/(D_1, D_j)_a^2 & \text{if } (P_1, D_j)_a=\text{discriminant} \\ D_j & \text{otherwise .} \end{cases}$$

Then by Lemma 8 (iii), $(P_1, D_j')_a$ ($j=2, 3, \dots, m$) are not discriminants. Obviously, $K=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{D_1}, \sqrt{D_2'}, \dots, \sqrt{D_m'})$ and $(P_1, D_1)_a=P_1$. Moreover if there are even prime discriminant factors of D_2', \dots, D_m' , then they have to be -8 's. In such a case we may assume $(D_2', -8)_a=-8$. In other case, D_2' is odd and $D_1 \neq D_2'$, and we set

$$\begin{cases} P_2=-8 & \text{if } (D_2', -8)_a=-8 \\ P_2 \text{ is any one of } P \text{ such that } (P, D_2')_a=P & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We use D_i again in state of D_i' and set

$$D_j' = \begin{cases} D_2D_j/(D_2, D_j)_a^2 & \text{if } (P_2, D_j)_a=\text{discriminant (} j \neq 2 \text{)} \\ D_j & \text{otherwise .} \end{cases}$$

Then we have

- (i) $K=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{D_1'}, \dots, \sqrt{D_m'})$,

- (ii) P_i is a prime discriminant factor of D_i but of D_j ($j \neq i, i=1, 2, j=1, 2, \dots, m$),
 - (iii) D_j' ($j=3, 4, \dots, m$) are all odd,
 - (iv) if D_2' is even, then D_1' is also even and $P_1=-4, P_2=-8$.
- (iii) can easily be checked. (ii) is shown by Lemma 8 (iii), (iv). Induction on t shows that there is a set of discriminants D_j ($j=1, 2, \dots, m$) and prime discriminants P_i ($i=1, 2, \dots, t, 3 \leq t \leq m$) such that

- (i) $K=Q(\sqrt{D_1}, \dots, \sqrt{D_m})$,
- (ii) P_i is a prime discriminant factor of D_i but of D_j ($j \neq i$),
- (iii) D_j ($j=3, 4, \dots, m$) are all odd,
- (iv) if D_2 is even, then D_1 is also even and $P_1=-4, P_2=-8$.

The rest of the proof is left to the readers.

Let $K=Q(\sqrt{D_1}, \dots, \sqrt{D_m})$, where D_i ($i=1, 2, \dots, m$) is chosen as in Lemma 7, and let r be the number of distinct prime discriminant factors of D_i ($i=1, 2, \dots, m$) and e_p the ramification index of a prime p in K/Q . Furthermore, note that D_2 being even if and only if $e_2=4$.

LEMMA 9. Let K, r and e_p be as in the above remark and $\sum_D r_D$ be as in Lemma 6. Then

$$\sum_D r_D = \begin{cases} 2^{m-1}r & \text{if } e_2 \leq 2 \\ 2^{m-1}r - 2^{m-2} & \text{if } e_2 = 4. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. Let us choose D_i ($i=1, 2, \dots, m$) as in Lemma 7 and let r_0, r_1, r_2 and r_3 be the numbers of distinct prime discriminant factors of $(D_3, D_4, \dots, D_m), (D_1, D_3, D_4, \dots, D_m), (D_2, D_3, D_4, \dots, D_m)$ and $(D_1 D_2 / (D_1, D_2)_d^2, D_3, D_4, \dots, D_m)$ respectively. Then

$$(10) \quad r_1 + r_2 + r_3 - r_0 = \begin{cases} 2r & \text{if } D_2 \text{ is odd} \\ 2r - 1 & \text{if } D_2 \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, let $k=Q(\sqrt{D_3}, \sqrt{D_4}, \dots, \sqrt{D_m})$, and let $D(0), D(1), D(2)$ and $D(3)$ denote symbolically the discriminants of quadratic subfields of $k, k(\sqrt{D_1}), k(\sqrt{D_2})$ and $k(\sqrt{D_1 D_2})$ respectively, then

$$(11) \quad \sum_D r_D = \sum_{D(1)} r_{D(1)} + \sum_{D(2)} r_{D(2)} + \sum_{D(3)} r_{D(3)} - 2 \sum_{D(0)} r_{D(0)},$$

where $r_{D(j)}$ ($j=0, 1, 2, 3$) is the number of primes dividing $D(j)$. (11), (10) and induction on m prove the lemma. Q. E. D.

From Lemmas 6 and 9, we have

LEMMA 10. Let K be an imaginary abelian field of type $(2, 2, \dots, 2)$ of degree 2^m . If $h(K)=g(K)$, then

$$h(K) = \frac{Q(\prod_{D>0} \delta_D)}{s(m-1)} \begin{cases} 2^{2^m-1r-2^m+1+3} & \text{if } e_2 \leq 2 \\ 2^{2^m-1r-2^m-2-2^m+1+3} & \text{if } e_2=4, K \supset Q(\sqrt{-1}\sqrt{-2}). \\ 2^{2^m-1r-2^m-2-2^m+1+4} & \text{if } K \supset Q(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{-2}) \end{cases}$$

Taking into account the inequality $g(K) \geq h'(K) = h(K)/Q(\prod_{D>0} \delta_D)$, we obtain an upper bound of r for given m . For example, in case $m=2$,

$$h'(K) = \begin{cases} 2^{2r-5} & \text{if } e_2 \leq 2 \\ 2^{2r-6} & \text{if } e_2=4, K \neq \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{-2}). \end{cases}$$

and $g(K) = 2^{r-2}$, so

$$r \leq \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } e_2 \leq 2 \\ 4 & \text{if } e_2=4 \text{ and } K \neq \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{-2}). \end{cases}$$

As K. Uchida determined all the imaginary abelian fields of type $(2, 2, \dots, 2)$ with class number one, we consider only the case $h \geq 2$ i.e. the case $r \geq m+1$.

First factor $h_1(K)$ is written as $h_1(K) = q(1/w) \prod_{D>0} h_D$, where $q = (E_K : W_K E_{K_0})$, and therefore

$$h(K) \geq \frac{h_1(K)}{q} = \begin{cases} 2^{\sum_{D<0} r_D - 2^{m+1}} & \text{if } K \supset \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{-2}) \\ 2^{\sum_{D<0} r_D - 2^{m+2}} & \text{if } K \supset \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{-2}). \end{cases}$$

Now, let P_j ($j=1, 2, \dots, r$) be all the prime discriminant factors of D_i ($i=1, 2, \dots, m$), then

$$K^* = \begin{cases} \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{P_1}, \dots, \sqrt{P_r}) & \text{if } K \text{ is imaginary} \\ \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{P_1}, \dots, \sqrt{P_r}) \cap \mathbf{R} & \text{if } K \text{ is real,} \end{cases}$$

and $h(K) \geq h(K_0)$.

Using above remarks and Lemma 7, we write down the tables of all imaginary abelian fields K of type $(2, 2, \dots, 2)$ of degree 4 whose absolute class fields \tilde{K} are abelian and $\tilde{K} \neq K$.

Table I

	D_1	D_2	P_1	P_2	P_3	$Q=q$
1	$P_1 P_3$	$P_2 P_3$	-	-	+	1
2			-	-	+	1
3	$P_1 P_3$	P_2	-	-	-	1

Table II

	D_1	D_2	P	$Q=q$
1	$-4P$	$-8P$	+	1
2			-	2
3	$-4P$	-8	+	1
4			-	2
5	-4	$-8P$	+	1

Table III

	D_1	D_2	P_1	P_2	$Q=q$	discriminants of imaginary quadratic subfields of K
1			-	+	1	$-8P_2, 8P_1P_2$
2	$-4P_1$	$-8P_2$	+	-	1	$-4P_2, 8P_1P_2$
3			-	+	1	$-8P_2, 8P_1$
4	$-4P_1P_2$	$-8P_2$	-	-	1	$-4P_1P_2, 8P_1$
5			+	-	1	$-4P_1, 8P_2$
6	$-4P_1$	$-8P_1P_2$	-	-	1	$-8P_1P_2, 8P_2$
7			-	-	1	$-4P_1P_2, -8$
8	$-4P_1P_2$	-8	+	-	1	$-8, 8P_1P_2$
9			-	-	1	$-4, -8P_1P_2$
10	-4	$-8P_1P_2$	+	-	1	$-4, 8P_1P_2$

In the tables, D_i ($i=1, 2$) are the discriminants of quadratic fields such that $K=\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{D_1}, \sqrt{D_2})$, where K is an imaginary bicyclic biquadratic field whose absolute class field is equal to its genus field, and P_j ($j=1, 2, 3$) and P are the prime discriminant factors of D_i . Furthermore, in Table I, at most only one of P_i ($i=1, 2, 3$) is even, in Table II, P is odd and in Table III, P_i ($i=1, 2$) are both odd.

THEOREM 3. *Let K be an imaginary abelian field of type $(2, 2, \dots, 2)$ of degree 2^m . Suppose that the absolute class field \tilde{K} of K is equal to the genus field K^* , then $m \leq 3$.*

PROOF. If $\tilde{K}=K^*$, from $g(K) \geq h'(K)$, it follows that

$$r \leq \begin{cases} 4 & \text{if } e_2 \leq 2 \\ 5 & \text{if } e_2 = 4 \end{cases}$$

for $m=4$. There is no imaginary abelian field of type $(2, 2, 2, 2)$ with class number one (see [12]), so if $\tilde{K}=K^* \neq K$ and $m=4$, then it must hold that $r=5$ and $e_2=4$. On the other hand, the fields such that $m=4$, $r=5$ and $e_2=4$ contain at least one imaginary bicyclic biquadratic field which is not in any of Tables I, II and III. It is a contradiction to Lemma 4. Thus, the theorem was proved.

Q. E. D.

Now, it suffices to consider the case $m=3$ for our purpose. The remarks above Table I, Lemma 7 and Satz 29 of [5] give Table IV of all imaginary abelian fields K of type $(2, 2, 2)$ whose absolute class fields \tilde{K} are abelian and $\tilde{K} \neq K$.

Table IV

D_1	D_2	D_3	P_1	P_2	Q	q	discriminants of imaginary quadratic subfields of K
$-4P_2$	$-8P_2$	P_1	$-$	$+$	2	1	$-4P_2, -8P_2, 8P_1, P_1$

In Table IV, P_i ($i=1, 2$) are both odd.

By using Table IV, Lemma 4 for all the quadratic subfields k of K , the result of K. Uchida on imaginary abelian fields of type $(2, 2, \dots, 2)$ with class number one and the list of all the imaginary quadratic fields with class number two, we obtain

THEOREM 4. *The imaginary abelian fields of type $(2, 2, \dots, 2)$ of degree ≥ 8 whose absolute class fields are abelian over \mathbf{Q} are as follows:*

- $(-4, -8, 5), (-4, -3, 5), (-4, -7, 5), (-4, -7, 13), (-8, -3, 5),$
- $(-8, -7, 5), (-3, -7, 5), (-3, -11, 8), (-3, -11, 17), (-4, -8, -3),$
- $(-4, -8, -11), (-4, -3, -7), (-4, -3, -11), (-4, -3, -19),$
- $(-4, -7, -19), (-8, -3, -7), (-3, -11, -19),$
- $(-4 \cdot 5, -8 \cdot 5, -3), (-4 \cdot 5, -8 \cdot 5, -11),$

where (D_1, D_2, D_3) means the field $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{D_1}, \sqrt{D_2}, \sqrt{D_3})$.

§ 3. Some remarks.

The following theorem is an immediate consequence of the estimates of r in the preceding section.

THEOREM 5. *If the absolute class field \tilde{K} of an imaginary abelian field K is of type $(2, 2, \dots, 2)$ of degree $\geq 2^5$, then K is a quadratic field.*

We will give some remarks on imaginary bicyclic biquadratic fields and imaginary quadratic fields.

For bicyclic biquadratic fields, from the table in [3], it can be seen that all the fields in Table I or II are known except for in I 3. The number of such fields are

- 19 fields in I 1,
- 85 fields in I 2,
- one field in II 1,
- 2 fields in II 2,
- 3 fields in II 3,
- 2 fields in II 4,

2 fields in II 5.

Moreover, it is known that at least 26 fields are in I 3. On the other hand, Theorem 3 of [8] shows that all the fields in Table I, II or III except for the fields in I 3, III 4 or III 7 can be determined.

For quadratic fields, T. Tatzawa obtained the following estimate.

THEOREM C (T. Tatzawa [10]). *Let D be the discriminant of an imaginary quadratic field. Then, for a given natural number N , if*

$$-D \geq 2100 \cdot N^2 \cdot \log^2(13 \cdot N),$$

then $h_D > N$ with at most one exception.

Theorem C shows that if an imaginary quadratic field K has the absolute class field \tilde{K} being abelian over \mathbf{Q} , then $[\tilde{K} : \mathbf{Q}] \leq 2^9$, and

$$D_K \leq 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdots 29$$

with at most one exception.

From Theorem 5, above remark and Table III, we can easily obtain the following:

THEOREM 6. *If the absolute class field \tilde{K} of an imaginary abelian field K is of type $(2, 2, \dots, 2)$, then $[\tilde{K} : \mathbf{Q}] \leq 2^9$ with at most one exception and K is $\leq 8 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdots 29$ with at most four exceptions.*

References

- [1] M. Bhaskaran, Construction of genus fields and some applications, *J. Number Theory* 11 (1979), 488-497.
- [2] R. Brauer, On the zeta-functions of algebraic number fields, *Amer. J. Math.* 69 (1947), 243-250.
- [3] D. A. Buell, H. C. Williams and K. S. Williams, On the imaginary bicyclic biquadratic fields with class-number 2, *Math. Comp.* vol. 31 (1977), 1034-1042.
- [4] Y. Furuta, The genus field and genus number in algebraic number fields, *Nagoya Math. J.* 29 (1967), 281-285.
- [5] H. Hasse, Über die Klassenzahl abelscher Zahlkörper, Akademie Verlag (1952).
- [6] A. M. Odlyzko, Some analytic estimates of class numbers and discriminants, *Invent. Math.* 29 (1975), 275-286.
- [7] C. L. Siegel, Analytische Zahlentheorie II, Universität Göttingen (1963/1964).
- [8] H. M. Stark, A transcendence theorem for class-number problems II, *Ann. Math.* 96 (1972), 174-209.
- [9] H. M. Stark, Some effective cases of Brauer-Siegel theorem, *Invent. Math.* 23 (1974) 135-152.
- [10] T. Tatzawa, On a theorem of Siegel, *Japan J. Math.* 21 (1951), 163-178.
- [11] K. Uchida, Class numbers of imaginary abelian number fields II, *Tôhoku Math. Journ.* 23 (1971), 335-348.
- [12] K. Uchida, Imaginary abelian number fields with class number one, *Tôhoku Math. Journ.* 24 (1972), 487-499.