

The Absolute Value of an Element of the Complexification of a Simplex Space

Fukiko Takeo

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,
Ochanomizu University, Tokyo

(Received September 10, 1979)

§1. Introduction.

For an element \tilde{f} of the complexification \tilde{E} of a simplex space E , its absolute value $|\tilde{f}|$ can be considered in the second dual E'' , which is an AM space. In this paper, we shall investigate the property of this absolute value. §2 is devoted to the study of the Riesz separation property concerning the absolute values. In §3, we examine the absolute value $|\tilde{f}|$ in case of a separable simplex space and show that $|\tilde{f}|$ satisfies the barycentric calculus and is an upper semi-continuous function, if it is considered as a function on the stump of the positive cone of the dual space endowed with the weak*-topology. These results will be applied to the study of spectral theory of positive operators in a simplex space [8].

§2. Complexification of a simplex space and Riesz separation property.

Let E be a simplex space over the real field, i.e. an ordered Banach space whose dual is a Banach lattice of type L [3, 5]. The stump X of the positive cone of the dual space E' is defined as the set

$$\{x \in E' ; x \geq 0, \|x\| \leq 1\},$$

endowed with the weak*-topology. Then X is a simplex [2, §28]. $A_0(X)$ [resp. $A_{C,0}(X)$] denote the space of real-valued [resp. complex-valued] continuous affine functions on X vanishing at 0. Then E may be identified with $A_0(X)$ [5, Th. 2.2].

For any $f_1, f_2 \in E$, there exists in E'' the element

$$\bigvee_{0 \leq \theta < 2\pi} ((\cos \theta)f_1 + (\sin \theta)f_2),$$

since a simplex space E may be considered as a subspace of the second dual space E'' , which is an order complete Banach lattice [3]. Therefore, for any $\tilde{f} = f_1 + if_2$, we can define the absolute value $|\tilde{f}|$ in E'' by

$$|\tilde{f}| = |f_1 + if_2| = \bigvee_{0 \leq \theta < 2\pi} ((\cos \theta)f_1 + (\sin \theta)f_2).$$

We also define the norm as usual

$$\|\tilde{f}\|_1 = \sup_{x \in X} |\tilde{f}(x)|. \quad (1)$$

The complexification $\tilde{E} = E + iE$ of a simplex space E with the norm (1) is a complex Banach space, which may be identified with $A_{C,0}(X)$.

PROPOSITION. For $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{E}$, the norm $\|\tilde{f}\|_1$ defined by (1) is equal to the norm $\|\tilde{f}\|$ considered in the second dual E'' .

PROOF. It is clear that $\|\tilde{f}\|_1 \leq \|\tilde{f}\|$ holds by the definition. Since the second dual E'' has an order unit $\mathbf{1}$ such as $\mathbf{1}(x) = \|x\|$ for $x \in E'$ with $x \geq 0$, we have

$$|((\cos \theta)f_1 + (\sin \theta)f_2)(x)| \leq |\tilde{f}(x)| \leq \|\tilde{f}\|_1 \|x\| = \|\tilde{f}\|_1 \cdot \mathbf{1}(x)$$

for $\tilde{f} = f_1 + if_2$ and $x \in E'$ with $x \geq 0$. This implies $|\tilde{f}| \leq \|\tilde{f}\|_1 \cdot \mathbf{1}$ in E'' . Therefore $\|\tilde{f}\| \leq \|\tilde{f}\|_1$ holds //

For the absolute value, we have the following extension of the Riesz separation property.

THEOREM 1. Let \tilde{E} be the complexification of a simplex space E . If $h_1, h_2 \geq |\tilde{f}|, |\tilde{g}|$ in E'' , for $h_1, h_2 \in E$ and $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g} \in \tilde{E}$, then we can find an element $k \in E$ such that

$$h_1, h_2 \geq k \geq |\tilde{f}|, |\tilde{g}| \text{ in } E''.$$

PROOF. Define $h_0(x) = \min\{h_1(x), h_2(x)\}$ and $f_0(x) = \max\{|f_1(x) + if_2(x)|, |g_1(x) + ig_2(x)|\}$ as functions on X , where $\tilde{f} = f_1 + if_2$ and $\tilde{g} = g_1 + ig_2$. Then f_0 [resp. h_0] is a continuous convex [resp. concave] function on X with $f_0(0) = h_0(0) = 0$. Therefore, there exists $k \in A_0(X)$ such that $h_0 \geq k \geq f_0$, since X is a simplex [2, Th. 28.6]. Then k is a desired one. //

A face of a convex set S in a vector space is a convex subset F such that if $\alpha x + (1-\alpha)y \in F$ with $x, y \in S$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$, then $x, y \in F$. The refinement of the above theorem is the following, which is the extension of [5, Th. 2.4].

THEOREM 2. Let E be a simplex space, \tilde{E} be its complexification, X be the stump of the positive cone of E' and F be a closed face of X . Suppose $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{E}$ and $f_0 \in E$ satisfy $|\langle \tilde{f}, x \rangle| \leq \langle f_0, x \rangle$ for any $x \in F$. Then there exists $h \in E$ such that

$$h \geq f_0, |\tilde{f}|$$

$$\|h\| \leq \max\{\|f_0\|, \|\tilde{f}\|\}$$

and

$$\langle h, x \rangle = \langle f_0, x \rangle \text{ for any } x \in F.$$

And moreover if we suppose in addition that $g \geq |\tilde{f}|, f_0$ in E'' for some $g \in E$, then there exists $h_1 \in E$ such that

$$g \geq h_1 \geq |\tilde{f}|, f_0 \text{ in } E''$$

and

$$\langle h_1, x \rangle = \langle f_0, x \rangle \quad \text{for any } x \in F.$$

PROOF. Put $\phi(x) = \max\{|\langle \tilde{f}, x \rangle|, \langle f_0, x \rangle\}$ for $x \in X$, $\psi(x) = \max\{\|\tilde{f}\|, \|f_0\|\}$ for $x \in X \setminus (F \cup \{0\})$ and $\phi(x) = \langle f_0, x \rangle$ for $x \in F \cup \{0\}$. Since F is a closed face of X , ϕ [resp. ψ] is convex continuous [resp. concave lower semi-continuous] with $\phi \leq \psi$. Therefore, there is a continuous affine function h with $\phi \leq h \leq \psi$ since X is a simplex, and h is a desired one.

Next, put $f'(x) = |\langle \tilde{f}, x \rangle|$. Then the function ϕ_1 [resp. ψ_1] equal to f_0 on F and to f' [resp. g] on the complement is convex and upper semi-continuous [resp. concave lower semi-continuous] with $\phi_1 \leq \psi_1$. So in the same way, we can find h_1 . //

Let $\partial_e X$ be the set of extreme points of X . Then any element x of $\partial_e X$ is a one-point face of X , so we have

COROLLARY 1. For any $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{E}$ and $x \in \partial_e X$, there exists $h \in E$ such that $h \geq |\tilde{f}|$ and $\langle h, x \rangle = |\langle \tilde{f}, x \rangle|$.

The absolute value $|\tilde{f}|$ of $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{E}$ is affine but not continuous in general, while $|\langle \tilde{f}, x \rangle|$ is a continuous function on X but not affine and moreover,

$$|\langle \tilde{f}, x \rangle| \leq \langle x, |\tilde{f}| \rangle.$$

For $x \in \partial_e X$, we have the following.

COROLLARY 2. For any $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{E}$ and $x \in \partial_e X$, the equality

$$|\langle \tilde{f}, x \rangle| = \langle x, |\tilde{f}| \rangle$$

holds.

§ 3. The absolute value.

By using the unique maximal probability measure μ_x on a simplex X with resultant $x \in X$, we know [6, Cor. 2.5] that a simplex space E is isomorphic to the space $\{f \in C(X); f(x) = \mu_x(f) \text{ for all } x \in X \text{ and } f(0) = 0\}$.

We say a function f on X satisfies the barycentric calculus if $f(x) = \mu_x(f)$ for all $x \in X$.

An element of E'' can be considered as a function on X , but does not necessarily satisfy the barycentric calculus as Choquet's example shows [1, Example I. 2.10], since the topology on X is the weak*-topology. But if E is separable, the following theorem holds for the absolute value $|\tilde{f}|$ of $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{E}$, which is an element of E'' .

THEOREM 3. Let E be a separable simplex space and \tilde{E} be its complexification. Then for any $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{E}$, the absolute value $|\tilde{f}|$ satisfies the barycentric calculus.

In the proof of the theorem, we shall apply the following notation for the oscillation of a real valued function f over a subset Y of its domain X ;

$$Of(Y) = \sup_{x, y \in Y} |f(x) - f(y)|.$$

In case of a separable simplex space, X is metrizable [4, Th. V. 5.1], and so the maximal probability measure μ_x with resultant $x \in X$ is supported by the set of extreme points $\partial_e X$ of X (Choquet's theorem [7, Sec. 3]). The absolute value $|\tilde{f}|$ of $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{E}$ in E'' is not continuous, but as for the oscillation of $|\tilde{f}|$ and the maximal measure μ_x with resultant $x \in X$, we have the following.

LEMMA. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and every Borel subset B of X with $\mu_x(B) > 0$, there exists a Borel set $D \subset B$ such that $\mu_x(D) > 0$ and $O|\tilde{f}|(\overline{\text{co}} D) \leq \varepsilon$, where $\overline{\text{co}} D$ means the convex closure of D .

PROOF. Since X is metrizable, $\partial_e X$ is a Borel set and the maximal probability measure μ_x is supported by $\partial_e X$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_x(B) &= \mu_x(B \cap \partial_e X) \\ &= \sup\{\mu_x(K); K \text{ is compact and } K \subset B \cap \partial_e X\}, \end{aligned}$$

by the regularity of μ_x . Therefore there exists a compact set $K \subset \partial_e X \cap B$ such that $\mu_x(K) > 0$. Since $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{E}$ is a complex-valued, continuous affine function, the range of \tilde{f} is a bounded set of a complex plane. So the range of \tilde{f} may be included in a finite union of disjoint rectangles Q_j , each of the diagonal length less than ε . This induces a decomposition of K into a finite union of disjoint Borel sets

$$D_j = \tilde{f}^{-1}(Q_j) \cap K.$$

Since Q_j is convex and \tilde{f} is affine, $\tilde{f}^{-1}(Q_j)$ is convex and so $\text{co } D_j$ (convex hull of D_j) is contained in $\tilde{f}^{-1}(Q_j)$, that is, $\tilde{f}(\text{co } D_j)$ is contained in Q_j . By the continuity of \tilde{f} , $\tilde{f}(\overline{\text{co}} D_j)$ is contained in $\overline{Q_j}$ (closure of Q_j). Put

$$I_j = \{|\xi + i\eta|; (\xi, \eta) \in Q_j\}.$$

Then $\overline{I_j}$ is a closed interval of length less than ε , i. e.

$$\overline{I_j} = [c_j, d_j] \quad \text{with } c_j \leq d_j < c_j + \varepsilon. \quad (4.1)$$

For any $x \in \overline{\text{co}} D_j$, $|\tilde{f}(x)| \in \overline{I_j}$, which implies $|\tilde{f}(x)| \geq c_j$. By the definition of $|\tilde{f}|$, $|\tilde{f}|(x) \geq |\tilde{f}(x)|$ for any $x \in X$. Therefore

$$|\tilde{f}|(x) \geq c_j \quad \text{for any } x \in \overline{\text{co}} D_j. \quad (4.2)$$

Put $g_j(x) = |\tilde{f}(x)|$ for $x \in D_j$. Then there exists a continuous affine extension g on X of g_j such that

$$g(x) \leq d_j \quad \text{for any } x \in X,$$

as

$$d_j \geq |\tilde{f}(x)| = g_j(x) \quad \text{for } x \in D_j.$$

Since $\overline{\text{co}} D_j$ is a closed face [2, Prob. 27.7], we get $h \in E$ such that

$$h \geq |\tilde{f}| \quad \text{and} \quad h(x) = g(x) \leq d_j \quad \text{for } x \in \overline{\text{co}} D_j \quad (4.3)$$

by applying Theorem 2 with g and \tilde{f} . From (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3), we have $O|\tilde{f}|(\overline{\text{co}} D_j) \leq \varepsilon$. Now $\sum_j \mu_x(D_j) = \mu_x(K) \neq 0$ and so there is at least one index k such that $\mu_x(D_k) \neq 0$. By defining $D = D_k$, we have the desired result. //

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. For $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{E}$ and a maximal measure μ_x on X , the above lemma shows that the assumption of Lemma I. 2.5 in [1] is satisfied. So there exists a sequence $\{D_n\}$ of pairwise disjoint Borel subsets of X such that

$$\begin{aligned} O|\tilde{f}|(\overline{\text{co}} D_n) &\leq \varepsilon \quad n=1, 2, \dots \\ \sum_n \mu_x(D_n) &= 1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Choose a natural number N such that

$$\lambda_0 = \sum_{n > N} \mu_x(D_n) \leq \varepsilon \quad (4.5)$$

and define

$$\lambda_n = \mu_x(D_n) \quad \text{for } n=1, 2, \dots, N.$$

Define

$$\mu_n = \lambda_n^{-1} \mu_x|_{D_n} \quad \text{for } n=1, 2, \dots, N$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_0 &= \lambda_0^{-1} \mu_x|_{K \setminus (D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_N)} \quad \text{if } \lambda_0 \neq 0 \\ \mu_0 &= 0 \quad \text{if } \lambda_0 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then we can express μ_x as a convex combination $\mu_x = \sum_{n=0}^N \lambda_n \mu_n$. Letting x_n be the resultant of μ_n and using the fact that μ_n is concentrated on D_n , we obtain $x_n \in \overline{\text{co}} D_n$ for $n=1, 2, \dots, N$. By (4.4), this gives

$$|\tilde{f}(x_n) - \mu_n(\tilde{f})| \leq \varepsilon, \quad n=1, 2, \dots, N. \quad (4.6)$$

Clearly the resultant x of μ_x is $\sum_{n=0}^N \lambda_n x_n$ and so by (4.5), (4.6) and by the affine nature of \tilde{f} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{f}(x) - \mu_x(\tilde{f})| &= \left| \sum_{n=0}^N \lambda_n \tilde{f}(x_n) - \sum_{n=0}^N \lambda_n \mu_n(\tilde{f}) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^N \lambda_n |\tilde{f}(x_n) - \mu_n(\tilde{f})| \leq \varepsilon(2\|\tilde{f}\| + 1). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary. //

Using the above theorem, we have

THEOREM 4. Let E be a separable simplex space and \tilde{E} be its complexification. For any $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{E}$, the absolute value $|\tilde{f}|$ is an upper semi-continuous function on X with the weak*-topology and $|\tilde{f}|(x) = \inf\{g(x); g \in E, g \geq |\tilde{f}| \text{ in } E''\}$.

PROOF. Let $h(x) = \inf\{g(x); g \in E, g \geq |\tilde{f}| \text{ in } E''\}$. It is clear that

$$h(x) \geq |\tilde{f}|(x). \quad (4.7)$$

For $x \in \partial_e X$, there exists $g \in E$ such that

$$g \geq |\tilde{f}| \quad \text{and} \quad g(x) = |\tilde{f}(x)|$$

by Corollary 1 of Theorem 2. Therefore

$$h(x) \leq g(x) = |\tilde{f}(x)| \leq |\tilde{f}|(x),$$

which implies $h(x) = |\tilde{f}|(x)$ by (4.7). So

$$h|_{\partial_e X} = |\tilde{f}| |_{\partial_e X}. \quad (4.8)$$

For any $x \in X$, there corresponds a maximal probability measure μ_x with resultant x supported by $\partial_e X$. By the definition of h and Theorem 1, h is an upper semi-continuous, affine function on X and so satisfies the barycentric calculus [1, Th. I. 2.6], i. e. $h(x) = \mu_x(h)$. Therefore we have by Theorem 3 and (4.8),

$$h(x) = \mu_x(h) = \int_{\partial_e X} h d\mu_x = \int_{\partial_e X} |\tilde{f}| d\mu_x = \mu_x(|\tilde{f}|) = |\tilde{f}|(x),$$

which completes the proof. //

References

- [1] E. M. Alfsen: Compact convex sets and boundary integrals. *Ergebnisse der Math.*, 57, Springer Verlag 1971.
- [2] G. Choquet: *Lectures on Analysis II*, Benjamin, New York 1969.
- [3] E. B. Davies: The structure and ideal theory of the predual of a Banach lattice. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 131 (1968) 544-555.
- [4] N. Dunford and J. Schwartz: *Linear operators, Part I*, Interscience 1958.
- [5] E. G. Effros: Structure in simplexes. *Acta Math.*, 117 (1967) 103-121.
- [6] E. G. Effros: Structure in simplexes II. *J. Func. Anal.* 1 (1967) 379-391.
- [7] R. R. Phelps: *Lectures on Choquet's theorem*. Van Nostrand, New York, 1966.
- [8] F. Takeo: Reduction of a positive operator in a simplex space, to appear.