# Note on Regular K-c ntact 3-structures

#### Mariko Konishi

Department of Mathematics, Ochanomizu University and Tokyo Institute of Technology (Received February, 28, 1973)

In a previous paper [4] we studied fibred Riemannian manifolds with Sasakian 3-structure. That is a fibred Riemannian manifold  $(\tilde{M}, M, \pi; \tilde{g})$  such that  $\tilde{M}$  has a Sasakian 3-structure and their associated 3 vector fields are tangent to each fibre  $\pi^{-1}(p)$   $(p \in M)$ . Then it has been shown in [2] that the base manifold M has a quaternion Kaehler structure.

More generally, if  $\widetilde{M}$  is a fibred Riemannian manifold with K-contact 3-structure, an almost quaternion structure can be induced in the base manifold. In this note, we show that if the structure induced in M is a quaternion Kaehler structure, then the K-contact 3-structure in  $\widetilde{M}$  is necessarily Sasakian 3-structure.

#### §1. Preliminaries

First we recall definitions and some fundamental properties of a fibred Riemannian manifold with K-contact 3-structure (cf. [3, 4]). Let  $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$  be a Riemannian manifold and  $\xi$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\zeta$  be three unit Killing vector fields which are mutually orthogonal and satisfy

(1.1) 
$$\xi = \frac{1}{2} [\eta, \zeta], \quad \eta = \frac{1}{2} [\zeta, \xi], \quad \zeta = \frac{1}{2} [\xi, \eta].$$

Such a set  $\{\xi, \eta, \zeta\}$  is called a triple of Killing vectors, for simplicity. We put

(1.2) 
$$\phi = \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}\xi, \quad \psi = \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}\eta, \quad \theta = \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}\zeta,$$

 $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$  being the Riemannian connection of  $(\widetilde{M}, \widehat{g})$ . Assume that the sectional curvature of  $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$  with respect to any section containing at least one of  $\xi$ ,  $\eta$  and  $\zeta$  is equal to 1, then  $(\phi, \xi, \widetilde{g})$ ,  $(\phi, \eta, \widetilde{g})$  and  $(\theta, \zeta, \widetilde{g})$  are all K-contact structures. Assume further that

$$egin{aligned} heta \psi = \phi + eta \otimes \zeta, & \phi \theta = \psi + \gamma \otimes \xi, & \psi \phi = \theta + \alpha \otimes \eta, \\ \psi \theta = -\phi + \gamma \otimes \eta, & \theta \phi = -\psi + \alpha \otimes \zeta, & \phi \psi = -\theta + \beta \otimes \xi, \end{aligned}$$

 $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  being 1-forms associated with  $\xi$ ,  $\eta$  and  $\zeta$ , respectively. Then we call  $\{\xi, \eta, \zeta\}$  a *K-contact 3-structure*. In particular, if  $\xi$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $\zeta$  define Sasakian structures,  $\{\xi, \eta, \zeta\}$  is called a *Sasakian 3-structure*.

Let  $(\widetilde{M}, M, \pi; \widetilde{g})$  be a fibred Riemannian manifold with triple of Killing vectors  $\{\xi, \eta, \zeta\}$ . That is, a fibred Riemannian manifold such that  $\widetilde{M}$  admits a triple of Killing vectors  $\{\xi, \eta, \zeta\}$  and each fibre is a maximal integral manifold of the distribution spanned by  $\xi$ ,  $\eta$  and  $\zeta$ . In the sequel we denote  $(\widetilde{M}, M, \pi; \widetilde{g})$  by  $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$  for brevity.

If a vector field is tangent to each fibre, then it is called a *vertical* vector field. If a vector field is orthogonal to each fibre, then it is called a horizontal vector field. A 1-form  $\omega$  is called horizontal if  $\omega(\xi) = \omega(\eta) = \omega(\zeta) = 0$ . And a horizontal tensor field of any type is defined in the usual way (cf. [3, 4]). We define the horizontal part  $\tilde{T}^H$  of any kind of tensor field  $\tilde{T}$  following [3, 4].

A tensor field  $\widetilde{T}$  in  $\widetilde{M}$  is projectable, if it satisfies

$$(\pounds_{\widetilde{X}}\widetilde{T}^{H})^{H}=0$$
 for any vertical vector field  $\widetilde{X}$ ,

where  $\pounds_{\widetilde{X}}$  denotes the Lie derivative with respect to  $\widetilde{X}$ . Then  $\widetilde{T}$  is projectable if  $\pounds_{\xi}\widetilde{T}=\pounds_{\eta}\widetilde{T}=\pounds_{\zeta}\widetilde{T}=0$ . If  $\widetilde{T}$  is projectable, we can define a tensor field in M, which is called the projection of  $\widetilde{T}$  and is denoted by  $p\widetilde{T}$ . The Riemannian metric  $\widetilde{g}$  is projectable, since  $\pounds_{\xi}\widetilde{g}=\pounds_{\eta}\widetilde{g}=\pounds_{\zeta}\widetilde{g}=0$ . So we adopt g (= $p\widetilde{g}$ ) as a Riemannian metric in the base manifold M. We can see that the Riemannian connection  $\Gamma$  in M is identical with the connection induced by  $\pi$  from  $\widetilde{\Gamma}$  (cf. [4]).

Given a vector field X in M. Then there is a unique horizontal projectable vector field  $X^L$ , called the lift of X, such that  $d\pi(X^L) = X$ ,  $d\pi$  being the differential of  $\pi$ . Let there be given a tensor field  $\widetilde{F}$  (not necessarily projectable) of type (1,1) in  $\widetilde{M}$ . We take a coordinate neighborhood U of M and a local cross section  $\tau: U \to \pi^{-1}(U)$ , where  $\pi \circ \tau$  is the identity. We now define a local tensor field  $F_{\tau}$  in U by

$$(F_{\tau}X)_p\!=\!d\pi(\tilde{F}X^{\scriptscriptstyle L})_{\tau^{(p)}}\!, \text{ for any } p\!\in\!U,$$

X being an arbitrary vector field in U. Then tensor field  $F_{\tau}$  thus constructed in U is called the projection of  $\tilde{F}$  in U with respect to the cross section  $\tau$ .

#### §2. Quaternion Kaehler structure.

Let  $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$  be a fibred Riemannian manifold with triple of Killing vectors  $\{\xi, \eta, \zeta\}$ . We define  $\phi, \psi$  and  $\theta$  by (1.2). Then we have

LEMMA 1. A triple of Killing vectors  $\{\xi, \eta, \zeta\}$  is a K-contact 3-structure if and only if

(2.1) 
$$(\phi^{H})^{2} = (\phi^{H})^{2} = -I^{H}$$

$$\theta^{H} \phi^{H} = -\phi^{H} \theta^{H} = \phi^{H}, \quad \phi^{H} \theta^{H} = -\theta^{H} \phi^{H} = \psi^{H}$$

$$\phi^{H} \phi^{H} = -\phi^{H} \phi^{H} = \theta^{H}$$

hold, where I is the identity tensor field (see Proposition 3.3 and 3.5 in [3]).

In the sequel  $\{\xi, \eta, \zeta\}$  is assumed to be a K-contact 3-structure. Then we have seen in [3]

$$\begin{split} (\pounds_{\xi}\phi^{H})^{H} &= 0, & (\pounds_{\eta}\phi^{H})^{H} = -2\theta^{H}, & (\pounds_{\zeta}\phi^{H})^{H} = 2\phi^{H} \\ (2.2) & (\pounds_{\xi}\phi^{H})^{H} = 2\theta^{H}, & (\pounds_{\eta}\phi^{H})^{H} = 0, & (\pounds_{\zeta}\phi^{H})^{H} = -2\phi^{H} \\ (\pounds_{\xi}\theta^{H})^{H} &= -2\phi^{H}, & (\pounds_{\eta}\theta^{H})^{H} = 2\phi^{H}, & (\pounds_{\zeta}\theta^{H})^{H} = 0. \end{split}$$

We now consider local tensor fields  $F_{\tau}$ ,  $G_{\tau}$  and  $H_{\tau}$  which are the projections of  $\phi$ ,  $\psi$  and  $\theta$  with respect to a local cross section  $\tau$  in the sense of § 1. That is,  $F_{\tau}$ ,  $G_{\tau}$  and  $H_{\tau}$  are defined as

$$(F_{\tau}X)_{p} \! = \! d\pi (\phi X^{\!\scriptscriptstyle L})_{\tau(p)}, \quad (G_{\tau}X)_{p} \! = \! d\pi (\phi X^{\!\scriptscriptstyle L})_{\tau(p)}, \quad (H_{\tau}X)_{p} \! = \! d\pi (\theta X^{\!\scriptscriptstyle L})_{\tau(p)}.$$

Then we easily find

$$\begin{split} &F_{\tau}^{\;2}\!=\!G_{\tau}^{\;2}\!=\!H_{\tau}^{\;2}\!=\!-I\\ &G_{\tau}F_{\tau}\!=\!-F_{\tau}G_{\tau}\!=\!H_{\tau},\quad H_{\tau}G_{\tau}\!=\!-G_{\tau}H_{\tau}\!=\!F_{\tau}\\ &F_{\tau}H_{\tau}\!=\!-H_{\tau}F_{\tau}\!=\!G_{\tau}, \end{split}$$

because of Lemma 1 (cf. [2]).

If we take various local cross sections, we can construct in M, an almost quaternion metric structure  $\{F_{\tau}, G_{\tau}, H_{\tau}\}$  together with the metric g (cf. [1]). And by means of (2.2)

$$\tilde{\Lambda} = \phi \otimes \phi + \psi \otimes \psi + \theta \otimes \theta$$

is a projectable tensor field of type (2.2) in  $\widetilde{M}$ , and its projection  $p\widetilde{A}$  coincides with

$$\Lambda = F_{\tau} \otimes F_{\tau} + G_{\tau} \otimes G_{\tau} + H_{\tau} \otimes H_{\tau}.$$

for any local cross section  $\tau$ . An almost quaternion structure  $\{(F_{\tau}, G_{\tau}, H_{\tau}), g\}$  is a quaternion Kaehler structure if and only if

$$V(2.5)$$
  $V\Lambda = 0$ 

(cf.  $\lceil 1 \rceil$ ).

## §3. Condition to induce a quaternion Kaehler structure.

Let  $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$  be a fibred Riemannian manifold with K-contact 3-structure  $\{\xi, \eta, \zeta\}$ . We define  $\phi$ ,  $\phi$  and  $\theta$  by (1.2). We are now finding

a condition that the induced almost quaternion structure is a quaternion Kaehler structure.

First we have

LEMMA 2. A necessary and sufficient condition that  $\phi$ ,  $\psi$  and  $\theta$  induce a quaternion Kaehler structure in the base manifold M is that

$$(3.1) \qquad (\tilde{\mathcal{V}}\tilde{\Lambda}^H)^H = 0$$

holds in  $\widetilde{M}$ .

This is clear from the definitions and (2.5).

We take coordinate neighborhoods  $\{\tilde{U}, x^h\}$  of  $\tilde{M}$  and  $\{U, v^a\}$  of M such that  $\pi(\tilde{U}) = U$ . Then  $\pi$  can be expressed by

$$v^a = v^a(x^h)$$

For a fibre F  $(F \cap \widetilde{U} \neq \phi)$ , we introduce coordinates  $(u^{\alpha})$  such that  $(v^{\alpha}, u^{\alpha})$  is a system of coordinates in  $\widetilde{U}$ . In the usual way, we take a local coframe  $\{E_b, C_{\beta}\}$  in  $\widetilde{U}$  and the coframe  $\{E^a, C^{\alpha}\}$  dual to  $\{E_b, C_{\beta}\}$ . That is,  $E^a$  has components  $E_i{}^a = \partial v^a/\partial x^i$  and  $C_{\beta} = \partial/\partial u^{\beta}$ .

Then the horizontal part of any tensor field in  $\widetilde{M}$ , say  $\widetilde{T}$  of type (1.2), can be represented by

$$\tilde{T}^{H} = T_{cb}{}^{a}E^{c} \otimes E^{b} \otimes E_{a},$$

where  $T_{cb}{}^a$  are local functions in  $\widetilde{U}$ . We put

$$\begin{split} &\widetilde{g} = g_{cb} E^c \otimes E^b \\ &\phi^H = \phi_c{}^b E^c \otimes E_b, \quad \phi^H = \phi_c{}^b E^c \otimes E_b, \quad \theta^H = \theta_c{}^b E^c \otimes E_b, \\ &(\widetilde{\mathcal{V}} \phi^H)^H = (\mathcal{V}_d \phi_c{}^b) E^d \otimes E^c \otimes E_b, \quad (\widetilde{\mathcal{V}} \psi^H)^H = (\mathcal{V}_d \psi_c{}^b) E^d \otimes E^c \otimes E_b, \\ &(\widetilde{\mathcal{V}} \theta^H)^H = (\mathcal{V}_d \theta_c{}^b) E^d \otimes E^c \otimes E_b. \end{split}$$

then we have

$$(\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}\widetilde{A}^{\scriptscriptstyle{H}})^{\scriptscriptstyle{H}} = \{ \mathcal{V}_{e}(\phi_{\scriptscriptstyle{d}}{}^{\scriptscriptstyle{c}}\phi_{\scriptscriptstyle{b}}{}^{\scriptscriptstyle{a}} + \psi_{\scriptscriptstyle{d}}{}^{\scriptscriptstyle{c}}\psi_{\scriptscriptstyle{b}}{}^{\scriptscriptstyle{a}} + \theta_{\scriptscriptstyle{d}}{}^{\scriptscriptstyle{c}}\theta_{\scriptscriptstyle{b}}{}^{\scriptscriptstyle{a}}) \} E^{\scriptscriptstyle{e}} \otimes E^{\scriptscriptstyle{d}} \otimes E^{\scriptscriptstyle{b}} \otimes E_{\scriptscriptstyle{c}} \otimes E_{\scriptscriptstyle{d}}.$$

The condition (3.1) can be written by

$$(3.2) (\nabla_{e}\phi_{d}^{c})\phi_{b}^{a} + \phi_{d}^{c}\nabla_{e}\phi_{b}^{a} + (\nabla_{e}\phi_{d}^{c})\phi_{b}^{a} + \phi_{d}^{c}\nabla_{e}\phi_{b}^{a} + (\nabla_{e}\theta_{d}^{c})\theta_{b}^{a} + \theta_{d}^{c}\nabla_{e}\theta_{b}^{a} = 0.$$

Transvecting (3.2) with  $\phi_c^d$  and taking account of Lemma 1, we see that  $(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}\phi^H)^H$  is linear combination of  $\phi^H$  and  $\theta^H$ . Similarly, we see that  $(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}\phi^H)^H$  and  $(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}\theta^H)^H$  are linear combinations of  $\phi^H$ ,  $\phi^H$  and  $\theta^H$ . That is, we get

LEMMA 3. The condition (3.1) is equivalent to that

$$(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}}_{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}}}\phi^{\scriptscriptstyle H})^{\scriptscriptstyle H} = c(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}})\phi^{\scriptscriptstyle H} - b(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}})\theta^{\scriptscriptstyle H}$$

$$(3.3) \qquad (\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\widetilde{X}}\phi^{H})^{H} = -c(\tilde{X})\phi^{H} + a(\tilde{X})\theta^{H}$$

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{\widetilde{X}}\theta^{H})^{H} = b(\tilde{X})\phi^{H} - a(\tilde{X})\phi^{H}$$

holds for certain horizontal 1-forms a, b, c.

If we define 2-forms  $\Phi$ ,  $\Psi$  and  $\Theta$  by

$$\Phi(\widetilde{X}, \ \widetilde{Y}) = \widetilde{g}(\phi\widetilde{X}, \ \widetilde{Y}), \quad \Psi(\widetilde{X}, \ \widetilde{Y}) = \widetilde{g}(\phi\widetilde{X}, \ \widetilde{Y}), \quad \Theta(\widetilde{X}, \ \widetilde{Y}) = \widetilde{g}(\theta\widetilde{X}, \ \widetilde{Y}),$$

then  $\Phi$ ,  $\Psi$  and  $\Theta$  are all closed forms, i.e.,

$$d\Phi = 0$$
,  $d\Psi = 0$ ,  $d\Theta = 0$ ,

and hence

Substituting the relation (3.3), into (3.4), and contracting with  $\psi^{cb} = g^{ca} \phi_a^b$ , we get

(3.5) 
$$\phi_a^a b_a = (1 - 2m)c_d,$$

where  $b=b_aE^a$ ,  $c=c_aE^a$  and  $4m=\dim M$ . Next, contracting (3.4), with  $\theta^{cb}=g^{ca}\theta_a{}^b$ , then we have

$$\phi_d^{\ a}c_a = -(1-2m)b_d.$$

Since  $(\phi^H)^2 = -I^H$ , (3.5) and (3.6) lead us to

$$c_d = 0$$
.

In the similar way, we have  $a \equiv 0$ ,  $b \equiv 0$ . That is, we conclude that

$$(\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}\phi^H)^H = 0, \quad (\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}\phi^H)^H = 0, \quad (\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}\theta^H)^H = 0.$$

From Lemma 3.7 in [3],  $\phi$ ,  $\psi$  and  $\theta$  are seen to be all Sasakian structures. Thus we get

THEOREM. Let  $(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{g})$  be a fibred Riemannian manifold with triple of Killing vectors  $\{\xi, \eta, \zeta\}$ . In the base manifold M, a quaternion Kaehler structure can be induced from  $\{\xi, \eta, \zeta\}$ , when and only when  $\{\xi, \eta, \zeta\}$  defines a Sasakian 3-structure.

### Bibliography

- [1] S. Ishihara, Notes on Quaternion Kaehler manifolds, to appear in J. Diff. Geometry.
- [2] S. Ishihara, Quaternion Kaehler manifolds and fibred Riemannian space with Sasakian 3-structure, to appear in Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.
- [3] S. Ishihara and M. Konishi, Fibred Riemannian space with triple of Killing vectors, to appear in Kōdai Math, Sem. Rep.
- [4] S. Ishihara and M. Konishi, Fibred Riemannian space with Sasakian 3-structure. Differential Geometry, in honor of K. Yano, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1972, 179-194.